```
00001
1
       NORTHWEST ARCTIC FEDERAL SUBSISTENCE
2
         REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL MEETING
3
               VOLUME I
4
5
6
             Kotzebue, Alaska
             September 18, 2002
8:45 o'clock a.m.
8
10 COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT:
12 Raymond Stoney, Chairman
13 Joe Arey
14 Percy C. Ballot
15 Lillian Johnson
16 Attamuk - Enoch Shiedt
17
18 Regional Coordinator, (Not Present)
```

```
00002
            PROCEEDINGS
1
2
3
         (Kotzebue, Alaska - 9/18/2002)
5
           (On record)
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Good morning, ladies
8 and gentlemen. Pick up your coffee and your roll so we
9 can open the meeting to order. There's lots of coffee
10 and rolls. Tom, you about ready?
11
12
           MR. BOYD: Yes, sir.
13
14
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Steve.
15
16
           MR. FRIED: Yes.
17
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Good morning. I'll
18
19 call the Northwest Arctic Subsistence Regional Advisory
20 Council to order at 8:45 a.m. May we have a roll call
21 please?
22
23
           MR. BOYD: Yes, Mr. Chair. I'll call the
24 names of the active membership. Raymond Stoney.
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Here.
26
27
28
           MR. BOYD: Joe Arey.
29
30
           MR. AREY: Here.
31
32
           MR. BOYD: Percy Ballot.
33
34
           MR. BALLOT: Here.
35
36
           MR. BOYD: Lillian Johnson.
37
38
           MS. JOHNSON: Here.
39
40
           MR. BOYD: Attamuk.
41
42
           ATTAMUK: Here.
43
           MR. BOYD: Mr. Chair, all the active
45 membership is present, there is a quorum.
47
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Thank you. Welcome to
48 the RAC, I see all the agencies are here and they are
49 very welcome to this meeting today and I hope that we'll
50 accomplish something.
```

```
00003
           First of all, I'll make a brief comment.
2 Our coordinator, Helen, was not able to make it to this
3 meeting, she's not feeling good or something?
5
           MR. BOYD: That's correct.
6
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: And on elections I'm
8 still an acting Chair, which I'm not excited about, and I
9 certainly am glad that Willie Goodwin is here, and I'm
10 sitting on your Chair, so I'm a little bit nervous about
11 it so we'll do our business today and then we'll see what
12 we can accomplish.
13
14
            So I'll start off with introductions from
15 Percy.
            MR. BALLOT: Hi, Percy Ballot. I'm from
17
18 Buckland representing Buckland and Deering.
2.0
            MS. JOHNSON: I'm Lillian Johnson from
21 Ambler.
22
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: I'm Raymond Stoney and
23
24 I'm from Kiana.
25
            MR. AREY: Joe Arey, I'm from Noatak.
26
27
28
            ATTAMUK: Attamuk, Kotzebue.
29
            MR. FRIED: Steve Fried, Office of
30
31 Subsistence Management in Anchorage.
            MR. BOYD: I'm Tom Boyd with the Office
34 of Subsistence Management, Fish and Wildlife Service in
35 Anchorage.
            MS. COLE: Jeannie Cole. I'm with the
37
38 Bureau of Land Management in Fairbanks.
            MS. MEYERS: Randy Meyers and I'm also
41 with the Bureau of Land management in Kotzebue.
            MS. GEORGETTE: Susan Georgette. I work
43
44 with the Fish and Game Department in Kotzebue.
            MR. MAGDANZ: I'm Jim Magdanz with Fish
47 and Game Subsistence Division, Kotzebue.
            MR. ADKISSON: Ken Adkisson with the
```

50 National Park Service, Nome.

```
00004
           MR. GOODWIN: Willie Goodwin, National
2 Park Service in Kotzebue.
           MR. RABINOWITCH: Sandy Rabinowitch,
5 National Park Service in Anchorage.
7
           MR. JACK: Carl Jack, Native Liaison,
8 OSM.
           MR. ADAMS: My name's Jeff Adams. I'm
10
11 with the Fish and Wildlife Service, Fairbanks Fishery
13
14
           MS. SPANGLER: Beth Spangler, Office of
15 Subsistence Management, Anchorage.
17
            MS. AYRES: LeeAnn Ayres, Selawik Refuge
18 here in Kotzebue.
2.0
           MR. SCHNORR: Mike Schnorr, National Park
21 Service, Kotzebue.
           MR. SHULTZ: Brad Shultz, Wildlife
23
24 Biologist with the Park Service.
26
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Anybody else, right
27 there.
28
29
           REPORTER: My name's Tina, I'm the court
30 reporter.
31
32
           MR. LEAN: Me.
33
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Oh, Charlie.
34
35
           MR. LEAN: Charlie Lean with the National
36
37 Park Service in Nome.
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Welcome Charlie.
39
40 Welcome to this meeting. I'm glad most of the agencies
41 are here and we're certainly glad we got a quorum. So
42 we'll go to -- did I miss anything Tom?
43
44
           MR. BOYD: No, sir.
45
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Item 4. Review and
47 adopting the agenda. Before you you've got a booklet and
48 then your agenda is -- I think this is the latest we got,
49 the blue one?
```

```
00005
1
           MR. BOYD: Yes.
2
3
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: And I'll just give you
4 a few minutes for adopting the agenda at today's meeting.
5
           (Pause)
6
7
8
           ATTAMUK: Mr. Chairman.
10
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Attamuk.
11
            ATTAMUK: On the agenda here I think what
12
13 we need to do is add the user conflict we were talking
14 about. I don't see it anywhere in the agenda. The one
15 for the Selawik and Noatak, upper Kobuk so we need to put
16 it on the agenda somewhere.
17
18
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay. Could I see
19 your.....
20
21
            ATTAMUK: A letter was written to you
22 about it back in -- I got it -- we still need to address
23 the problem that's still happening.
25
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay.
26
27
            ATTAMUK: We just can't drop it.
28
            MR. FRIED: Mr. Chair, there is some
30 reference to it under Tab I.
31
32
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: On I?
33
            MR. FRIED: There was the letter that was
35 sent by the Chair to the Board and then the response back
36 so maybe that can be discussed when that's taken up and
37 that would be under the annual report, which is number
38 12. Would that be a good way to do it?
39
40
            ATTAMUK: I didn't see it.
41
42
            MR. BALLOT: Mr. Chairman.
43
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Percy.
44
45
46
            MR. BALLOT: I move to approve the agenda
47 with.....
48
49
            MS. COLE: Mr. Chair, I'd like to add
50 something. This is Jeannie Cole with the Bureau of Land
```

```
00006
1 Management. John Trent asked me to give a quick update
2 on the Western Arctic Caribou Herd planning process.
3
4
          CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay.
5
          MS. COLE: So I'd like to have that added
6
7 onto the agenda at some point.
          CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay. It's been moved
10 by Percy to adopt today's agenda. Moved by Percy.
           MR. BALLOT: Uh-huh.
12
13
14
           ATTAMUK: Excuse me, Raymond, Enoch here.
15 Are we going to put it under agency reports, under other?
16
17
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay.
18
19
           ATTAMUK: I'll second, Percy, with the
20 addition of Western Arctic Caribou Herd.
21
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Second by Attamuk or
22
23 Enoch?
24
           ATTAMUK: I seconded Percy.
25
26
27
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay, second on.....
28
           ATTAMUK: With the addition of hers.
29
30
31
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay. Second. All in
32 favor of adopting the agenda signify by saying aye.
33
34
           IN UNISON: Aye.
35
36
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Any opposed.
37
38
           (No opposing votes)
39
40
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: The agenda to the
41 meeting has been approved.
42
43
           Item No. 5, review and adoption of the
44 minutes of March 21, 2002 meeting. It's on Tab C. Let's
45 take a few minutes to go through the minutes.
46
47
           (Pause)
48
49
           MR. BALLOT: Mr. Chairman.
```

```
00007
1
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Percy.
2
3
           MR. BALLOT: I move to approve the March
4 21, 2002 minutes.
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: It's been moved by
6
7 Percy to adopt the minutes of March 21, 2002 meeting.
9
           MS. JOHNSON: Second.
10
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Second by Lillian. Any
12 objections on the second? All in favor of adopting the
13 minutes of March 21 signify by saying aye.
14
15
           IN UNISON: Aye.
16
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Opposed.
17
18
19
           (No opposing votes)
20
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: The minutes from March
22 21, 2002 has been approved.
           Item No. 6 Council member reports. Go
25 ahead and start first, Percy.
           MR. BALLOT: I think we're doing fine,
27
28 Mr. Chairman. Yes, I do have one, I was wondering about
29 the boundaries for the State hunts up in our area, for
30 NANA's lands and then to farther up for State and Federal
31 lands.
32
33
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay.
34
           MR. BALLOT: Can we get that sometime?
35
36
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Yeah. Percy, I'm
38 pretty aware of it myself because I work for NANA as a
39 resource protection officer but I can go along with you,
40 if I get to Buckland and give you the boundary lines of
41 NANA and then the BLM because BLM manages the upper part
42 of Buckland.
43
44
           MR. BALLOT: Right.
45
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: And then I imagine BLM
46
47 also has more information than I do, hey?
           MS. MEYERS: Yeah. If I had known to
50 bring a map I certainly would have and I can bring a map
```

```
00008
1 assuming we break for lunch or something, if that would
2 help?
3
4
           MR. BALLOT: Okay.
5
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay. For the
7 information to Percy, I'll try and get you a map that
8 shows the allottees and the NANA and then the BLM.
10
            MR. BALLOT: Yeah, there's concerns
11 because we saw some planes and some guys, I think they
12 were kind of farther down than they usually are, some
13 hunters and stuff. And I know a few years ago we had to
14 get them back to where they usually do their hunting.
15 But we seen a plane the other day up there just flying
16 around and don't know what they're doing but just kind of
17 wondering.
18
19
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay.
2.0
            MR. BALLOT: We can make our hunters
22 aware of what the boundary lines are so that it won't be
23 confusing or the conflicts going on in the future.
25
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: I was planning to go up
26 there all last week to Buckland and meet with some of you
27 guys, I wanted to put up a sign, you know. We got signs
28 now that are visible from the water. All I need is
29 approval from you guys to make sure that I wasn't putting
30 it on somebody's allotment.
31
32
            MR. BALLOT: Uh-huh.
33
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: I thought we could do
34
35 that, uh?
            MR. BALLOT: You could work that with our
37
38 IRA, we'd be glad to get the allottee's and stuff and get
39 their cooperation or understanding of what they're going
40 to be used for.
41
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay. How about BLM,
43 does the BLM -- I know BLM manages by Buckland, they
44 don't have any kind of reference of markings in that
45 area, do they?
46
47
            MS. MEYERS: No, not between say, State
48 land and BLM land or.....
```

REPORTER: Can you, please.

```
00009
           MS. MEYERS: No, we don't have any
2 boundary markings on the ground between BLM lands and
3 State lands or BLM lands and NANA lands. The only thing
4 that BLM has put out in the past are trail markers for
5 the easements and not all the easements are marked
6 either. The most easement markers are up in the Upper
7 Kobuk in the Ambler/Shungnak/Kobuk region. But we can
8 get you some maps Percy.
10
            MR. BALLOT: Okay, we'd appreciate it.
11
            MS. MEYERS: Some land status maps.
12
13
14
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay, thank you Percy.
15 Is that all?
16
17
            MR. BALLOT: Yeah.
18
19
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay, is that it?
20
21
            MR. BALLOT: Yeah.
22
23
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Lillian.
            MS. JOHNSON: All fishing and hunting
26 okay right now. We got good subsistence fishing. We got
27 salmon early this time when there's no commercial fishing
28 down there in June, first part almost we got salmon.
29 Many years we used to have late. But everybody's
30 catching well on fishing and hunting right now. There's
31 some caribou still crossing up and below. But we don't
32 know, later on, I hope not like last year. There's moose
33 and all the animals up there. The low water we had and
34 then we got too high of water right now, that's it.
35 That's all.
36
37
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Done?
38
39
            MS. JOHNSON: Uh-huh.
40
41
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Joe.
42
            MR. AREY: Yes. I don't know my people
44 have been complaining quite a bit about that out of state
45 or at least out of the area, people been coming in and
46 they've been going up river with a couple of guides, I
47 don't know if they're licensed or not. And they bring
48 them right where the migrations at and there's nothing
49 crossing there, they have to go cross some place else and
```

50 some of the people, they can't catch their subsistence

```
00010
1 for the fall of caribou because they're not crossing
2 where they usually cross. They're doing that on both
3 sides of the village down and up. They're talking about
4 planes landing on the sand bars, float planes flying in
5 with pontoons. They're lucky to get their caribou
6 because of all that -- all the people coming in.
            I don't know who we talk to about them
9 people that get those guide licenses because I know one
10 up at the village, that was Art, the other one -- we got
11 another one up there but I don't know if he's licensed.
12 So I don't know who I have to ask to see what they can do
13 about those guys. They won't go up there by themselves
14 and just go out. A lot of those hunters, they come back
15 with more racks than the meat, so I don't know what
16 they're doing with the meat. I mean they're getting
17 frustrated. I just thought I'd bring that up because,
18 man, they're just too many hunters up there now, out of
19 state or Lower 48.
2.0
             That's all I got.
21
22
             Moose -- they get moose but not that
24 much. All the others are getting them, them out of
25 state.
26
27
28 know of -- I probably know a little bit about it that
```

26
27 CHAIRMAN STONEY: The one thing that I
28 know of -- I probably know a little bit about it that
29 it's managed by the National Park agency, and Preserve.
30 Maybe Willie -- maybe ask Willie a question. Willie, as
31 you know now that you work for Park Service and then like
32 for Joe's concerns, is there any agencies that Noatak,
33 like the law enforcement or any other way to monitor the
34 hunting procedure, what's happening at Noatak Valley from
35 the Park Service? Willie.

MR. GOODWIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 38 The incident that Joe is talking about, the Park Service 39 is aware of it. I've had a discussion with the ranger. 40 The wildlife protection officer for the Alaska State 41 Troopers certainly is aware of it and they are taking 42 steps, I think -- they're at least checking the licenses 43 and such, that's why they know they're not from the area. 44

45 Certainly we can't do anything when the 46 hunters are at someone's allotment. Once they get 47 outside of the allotment action can be taken if they're 48 caught with game.

I know that the troopers are checking

```
00011
1 into the guiding part of it but I'm not aware of to what
2 extent.
            Later on on the agenda I'll give you a
5 report on where we're at with the commercial services
6 plan and the permitting process that we're working on to
7 try to address the problem that Joe is talking about.
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okav. Willie, if I can
10 ask another question. Like you said, at least they are
11 doing something about it and they are aware of it. What
12 is your procedure of monitoring these hunters outside of
13 allotments, they got a float aircraft, a wheeled aircraft
14 or boat or what?
15
16
            MR. GOODWIN: We have a ranger at the
17 Kelly River. We've had them there, I don't know I don't
18 think they're there right now or they could be there
19 right now. I know we've had flights that are covering
20 the area and the ranger has been talking and checking
21 licenses both in the Kobuk Valley and in the Noatak
22 Preserve.
23
24
            ATTAMUK: I got a question for Willie.
25
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Enoch.
26
27
            ATTAMUK: You said flights, you mean you
28
29 guys got a plane up there?
31
            MR. GOODWIN: We have a plane here in
32 Kotzebue.
33
            ATTAMUK: A charter plane or what, or is
34
35 it.....
            MR. GOODWIN: We've chartered -- yes, we
38 do charter float planes.
            ATTAMUK: Yeah, that's a problem -- I
41 think with a charter plane I got nothing against it. But
42 we need to identify the charter plane that way the people
43 who are complaining, they could see the difference
44 between, you know, a service airplane to help the problem
45 out there. But if it's a chartered plane, we need to --
46 like I always state, we need to identify the rangers
47 versus the other private airlines.
            MR. GOODWIN: I understand that. But the
50 problem with that is we don't have a chief ranger and the
```

```
00012
1 process is just about over to select one now. I know
2 they haven't made a selection yet but they will soon.
4
           ATTAMUK: Okay, thanks.
5
6
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Thank you, Willie.
8
            MR. GOODWIN: Thank you.
10
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Joe, any more?
11
            MR. AREY: No. Something will come up
12
13 later.
14
15
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Thanks, Joe. I have to
16 practice your name, Attamuk?
18
            ATTAMUK: Attamuk.
19
2.0
            MR. BALLOT: Attamuk.
21
22
            MR. AREY: That's close enough.
23
            ATTAMUK: Okay, I'm hearing the same
25 thing as Joe from Noatak. And I've been at our cabin and
26 hear what he's saying. And the people from the village
27 is hunting more down river, same as last year what I
28 stated, migration is being changed somewhere by someone.
29 The Noatak Preserve agencies is what need to do is just
30 hurry up and start working on this conflict before we
31 have another incident. Instead of saving, we're working
32 on it, what you need to do is rush on it because they're
33 getting tired of it and it's harder for the people to
34 hunt down river than up river. Because when you're
35 loaded you could always drift down at Noatak because it's
36 shallow and this year it was extra shallow. A majority
37 of the people, that river's like an accordion now. You
38 want to hear different sounds of the boats, I hear it
39 from my camp, I can tell you the difference between a
40 Yamaha and a Honda now.
41
            And yes, planes are coming in heavily.
43 When we were there, my boy count 16 just going up,
44 Maverick Air, three other planes, every half -- 16 just
45 going up every half an hour to 45 minutes. We timed
46 them. Right between -- and they are well under 500 feet
47 because I -- they'd even be lower than my plane, they got
48 no respect for the no-fly zone that's in effect because
49 they know there's no one out there enforcing it. The
```

50 enforcers are worried about the Natives, they're not

1 worried about the transporters, guides or anybody. It's 2 got to change. We need to change it where we make it all 3 equal to everyone to be out there, not just for the 4 Natives, for other people, too. You know, we see it and 5 that's why that no fly zone is in effect and we need to 6 use it as a tool. You agencies always want to put a 7 limit on something and that's to use against us, this is 8 a tool to use against the others see. And we got to make 9 it fair. And I'm hearing this now from the people, the 10 younger kids aren't understanding the regulations, 11 teenagers are now growing up and getting families. I 12 hear this when I went to Noatak and I hear it from Kiana, 13 there's two boys, and Selawik and they're having a 14 conflict of people coming in and interfering with their 15 subsistence. It's getting harder for them. Even if there is caribou at 450,000 17 18 strong, we are taking a lot less at fall time when 19 everybody loves the fall caribou because they are fat. 20 That's why we need to look into this and we need to start 21 addressing this now instead of saving we'll work on this 22 later, it's got to be done today. And I'm hearing this 23 from the villages. When they do come down for meetings, 24 I work for Maniilag and they talk to me and I hear them. 25 It's just not Noatak, it's everywhere, Buckland, Selawik 26 and Kiana, Kivalina's the same and upper Kobuk. I'm 27 hearing it. 28 So what we need to do is we need rangers 30 now, we got one up there, we need more rangers than that, 31 there's ways to get rangers, you guys got money; move 32 some funds around. So please we need to start addressing 33 this in a hurry before we have another conflict and we. 34 the Natives are going to be hurt in our own land. We're 35 going to be hurt right here trying to protect our 36 subsistence, that's what's going to happen. 37 38 Thanks. 39 CHAIRMAN STONEY: Thank you, Enoch. My, 41 myself am from Kiana, I know that this year, the 42 migration of caribou was about like 10 to 14 days late. 43 We've been monitoring caribou for a while there and in 44 July they started out going south but they turned back 45 for about two weeks, they finally started showing up 46 about three weeks ago. The hunters in the Kiana area, I 47 talked to quite a few, mostly all of them, that this 48 year's caribou migration is good and they're real 49 healthy. 50

```
00014
```

```
Sharing information, because I work also
2 for NANA protecting all private land, like NANA land. I
3 do a lot of on a chartered aircraft, air patrol and boat
4 patrol and then I was glad, you know, in the last 10
5 days, that I see a lot more bull, caribou than in the
6 last four years. There's a lot of big bulls everywhere.
7 You don't see that much bulls in the last four years and
8 they're real healthy.
10
             Of course, like Enoch said, the
11 migrations at Noatak Valley, that's going through Noatak,
12 well, it seems like to me, and generally, Enoch, they're
13 like almost the same as last year. They started off,
14 they cross way up around someplace and they went up to
15 the hills and migrate through headwaters at Ely.
16
            ATTAMUK: Uh-huh.
17
18
             CHAIRMAN STONEY: And they come out
20 through Aggie and down river Aggie and they start coming
21 up from Aggie all the way down to Hugo. I don't know why
22 they do that but maybe they had some hunters in that area
23 that -- I don't go that far on the air patrol. But they
24 were way off on Noatak. And there's quite a few camps on
25 Ely and Aggie. Like in the Squirrel River, it's pretty
26 popular. I notice they got one camp, one camp has 14
27 tents. There weren't, when I see it in the air, they
28 were not having too much luck with the caribou, like 15
29 or 20 miles away.
31
             Other than that, the local people, you
32 know, really has been happy about the migration of
33 caribou. They're not wild and then I guess they got one
34 already and there's still a lot more coming.
35
             I was kind of surprised this fall at my
37 work, what I do for NANA, started out pretty quiet, just
38 took local hunters, not much air carriers, but in the
39 last 10 days there's big changes. Quite a few hunters
40 came, some were successful and some wasn't. Number 1 was
41 the weather, the weather's always the boss. Of course,
42 you know, we all know in the month of July and August it
43 hasn't rained very much at all and the river's almost
44 went drive like in the Noatak and Kobuk, you could walk
45 across the river and you couldn't hardly get anywhere.
46 And again, in the last 10 days everything's changing,
47 everything's just flooded.
             Other than that, you know, it's been a
50 good fall. A lot of guys asked me, do these guys got
```

```
00015
```

```
1 permits, hunting someplace like these guides and
2 outfitters, they're just about -- what they normally
3 follow the law procedure and requirements by the guides.
4 they're doing their work but there's a lot of concerned
5 people from everywhere, especially Selawik now. Can't do
6 much about it because they're doing their work.
            Any questions on the village concern
9 reports from the agencies.
             Okay, we'll be moving right along, Item
12 No. 7, Chair's report.
14
            MR. BOYD: Mr. Chair.
15
16
             CHAIRMAN STONEY: Tom.
17
            MR. BOYD: I do have a question just for
18
19 clarification. Not working in the field in this region
20 and just knowing generally the regulations, is the
21 concern that I'm hearing, particularly with regard to
22 Noatak, violations of the controlled use area? Is that
23 what I'm hearing?
25
            ATTAMUK: Yeah, because there states no-
26 fly zones from August -- right around the 20th, I can't
27 remember the closing date, that they could fly only at
28 certain feet in the air and they're well below it.
29 Because I stated, the planes I mentioned, of the 16, were
30 mostly double, of two planes flying together and they're
31 only about -- how many times they fly, I had to look down
32 from my camp and they're scooping the planes. And I was
33 busy. I had no radio. I can't remember the numbers, our
34 pen didn't want to work of all things. And my wife tried
35 to -- I gave her the numbers, I look at them with
36 binoculars and we both couldn't remember them when we
37 come to town. I could recognize the planes if I see them
38 here. But they're constantly traveling. They're
39 together sometimes, three planes all going toward Aggie.
40 That mountain, there's two mountains, you go right over
41 the pass, right from my camp they go over, see. Within a
42 quarter of a mile from my camp, you fly over. When they
43 fly further down they go half a mile.
             That's why, I see it firsthand that's why
45
46 I'm mentioning it. And also the people in Noatak are
47 hunting a lot more down river than before because they're
48 not seeing any caribou like I mentioned, migration being
49 changed by someplace, somewhere.
```

```
00016
1
           MR. GOODWIN: Mr. Chairman, let me.....
2
3
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Just a minute. Tom,
 you got more?
           MR. BOYD: No, I was just trying to
7 understand the issue.
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay. Before you get
10 going, Willie, I got a question. My question would be
11 maybe to all the agencies, including you, because I do a
12 lot of air patrol, especially in the Squirrel and in the
13 Kobuk. This year I've seen some aircraft, you know, that
14 lands and they were skinning caribou within a hundred
15 feet of the aircraft, that's same day airborne caribou
16 shooting and I thought there was some regulation that if
17 you were hunting with aircraft you can't shoot until the
18 next, what do they call it 3:00 a.m. or something like
19 that, you know, not same day. That's my question.
2.0
21
            Go ahead, Willie.
22
            MR. GOODWIN: Yeah, Tom the time period
24 from August 25 to September 15th, for the controlled use
25 area but it's only for hunting.
26
27
            MR. BOYD: Right.
28
            MR. GOODWIN: For hunting of ungulates,
30 you know, bear, wolves or wolverine. There's nothing
31 that prohibits anyone from flying that low saying they're
32 going to go fishing. So the problem there is that you
33 can't stop the airplane in the air for sure just to check
34 and see if he had a fishing rod, you know, but it's legal
35 for them to fly anywhere if they're going to go fishing.
36 But the no-fly zone applies to hunting.
37
38
            MR. BOYD: Is it a no-fly zone?
39
40
            MR. GOODWIN: Yes, controlled use area.
41
42
            MR. BOYD: The controlled use area just
43 does not.....
44
            MR. GOODWIN: 500 feet.
45
46
47
            MR. BOYD: Okay, there is a regulation.
48
            MR. AREY: Them people that go fishing,
50 they know about that controlled use area and you'll see
```

```
00017
1 them flying high when they're going to Kelly and these
2 guys that come up looking for something, they're not
3 going fishing. Before they started that controlled use
4 area, before, we used to go up river and we used to wait
5 there and watch the caribou come down, when they come
6 down the airplane is coming down real low following the
7 river and then when they got that controlled use area up
8 then they guit coming around. Now, they're starting all
9 over again. You know they're not going fishing.
            MR. GOODWIN: But that's a loophole in
12 the regulation. Thank you.
```

14 CHAIRMAN STONEY: Can you get that mike 15 there.

MR. RABINOWITCH: Good morning. I'm 17 18 Sandy Rabinowitch with the Park Service in Anchorage. 19 Mr. Chairman, you asked about same day airborne hunting.

CHAIRMAN STONEY: Yes.

21 22

MR. RABINOWITCH: I think different 24 agencies have different regulations. The Park Service 25 regulation, which I have here with me, I can summarize it 26 for you, it's only for Park Service areas. But we do 27 have a prohibition against same day airborne hunting and 28 there's 14 species listed in this regulation. We can 29 make copies of this if you want.

31 Let me look and, yes, I see caribou. I 32 just had to look down and make sure it was here on that 33 list but I do see it. So you are exactly correct, you 34 have to wait until 3:00 a.m. the next day. So that 35 prohibition is in effect for Park Service lands, so that 36 would be the Noatak Preserve, you know, the area that 37 you're talking about. The other agencies, I can't speak 38 for, I don't know their regulations, you know, as well as 39 I know Park Service.

41 The one other thing I would point out 42 just to go on for one minute about the controlled use 43 area. I don't think any of the wildlife agencies have 44 any control of air space so when planes are in the area 45 they can basically -- people kind of do what they want. 46 As far as I know we don't really have any control. It's 47 only for the hunting of big game that that controlled use 48 area is in effect if I'm not mistaken.

49 50

ATTAMUK: Mr. Chairman.

```
00018
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Enoch.
1
2
            ATTAMUK: Yeah, just to add on, Enoch
4 here, maybe we could put a proposal in to make the same
5 adoption on the regulation for people that are fishing.
6 too, because it conflicts whether they're going out to
7 fish or hunt caribou. You know, maybe we need to add
8 that on on the regulation. And maybe what we need to do
9 is come up -- Helen's not here. Tom needs to come up with
10 -- maybe need to come with a proposal saying we need to
11 add that on for people that are fishing, too, in the
12 preserve of Noatak. Because if this keeps up, like Joe
13 said, we see it and we are the people out there we're
14 seeing things you guys are in an office somewhere and
15 we're on the land in Noatak and we're seeing it
16 completely different, see, and we don't want to see
17 another incident again is what I am saving. Because what
18 I'm scared of is I don't know if the kid had binoculars
19 or not but he had his rifle, probably he was trying to
20 see the numbers but he had his rifle pointed toward the
21 plane. I know he was probably looking with his scope but
22 you don't know, see. And these, they were flying, my
23 wife hollered, you know, somebody was drifting down, a
24 boat, and we didn't know whose boat it was but we saw a
25 couple of boats, a couple of those people in the boat and
26 their rifles pointed towards the plane and they were low
27 and the planes were lower than my cabin. I see it and I
28 was on the roof.
29
             And maybe we need to, like I say, we need
31 to come up with a proposal, we could work it in some how,
32 you know, we got start working on this now ahead of time.
33 Because this is our, you know, this is our livelihood and
34 our people live off the land and we're going to get hurt
35 if something do happen. They're going to turn and blame
36 us for something we're trying to protect and it's our
37 right to hunt subsistence.
38
39
             Thanks.
40
41
             CHAIRMAN STONEY: Yes.
42
             MR. RABINOWITCH: Mr. Chairman, if I.
44 might just add that the current regulation that I think
45 we're all talking about in the Federal book, the green-
46 colored book, I think you all have a copy there, it's on
47 Page 134 under special provisions. It is currently
48 written for ungulates, bears, wolves or wolverine, that's
49 simply what it says. It's the first full paragraph on
50 the top of Page 134.
```

```
00019
```

```
The other comment I would make and I
2 presume all of you already know this. Controlled use
3 areas have certainly been a challenging topic in the
4 state the last several years. I mean there's a lot of
5 controversy. My observation is there's a lot of
6 controversy about controlled use areas and it seems like
7 where they've been proposed in recent years they've had a
8 tough go at making changes because of various local
9 controversies and the few that seem to have been changed
10 -- again, at least with what I've seen is where the State
11 agencies and the Federal agencies line up on a
12 recommendation. So I share that observation.
13
14
             Again, I won't try to speak for the State
15 or any other agencies but they seem to be harder to make
16 changes.
17
18
            Thank you.
19
            ATTAMUK: Okay. What I'm saying, I'm not
21 saying we're going to open a new controlled use area.
22 Controlled use area is already in effect in Noatak, I'm
23 just going to add on for the people for the fishing,
24 okay, I'm not trying to open a new controlled use area.
25 I know it's going to be a challenge because I don't want
26 to close out another area. But if we do close out
27 another area we're just going to push off the problem
28 somewhere else and this is the land and it's open -- let
29 it be open where it's at, just add on to what's already
30 in effect.
31
32
            That's all.
33
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Thank you. Yeah.
34
35
            MR. MAGDANZ: Mr. Chair, Jim Magdanz,
37 Alaska Department of Fish and Game. I was at the two
38 meetings of the Alaska Board of Game in November and
39 January where they considered and rejected a proposal
40 from the Upper Kobuk to create a new controlled use area
41 to limit hunting up there like it is on the Noatak. And
42 I concur with Sandy's observations that it's been really
43 difficult to get controlled use areas expanded or created
44 on the Board for a variety of reasons.
45
             But one of the things that was discussed
47 at some length during these meetings was the transporter
48 industry in general statewide creating these kinds of
49 issues, not just here but in the Kuskokwim and in the
50 Koyukuk and throughout the state. And if there was one
```

```
00020
```

```
1 area where it seemed to us that consensus might be
2 possible, it would be that a commercial services board
3 needs to be reinstated by the State to manage the
4 operations of transporters. Not in this area or that
5 area or another area in particular but overall to manage
6 the number of hunters that they can carry, the volume of
7 their operations. And there is the possibility of some
8 consensus between the guiding industry which is pretty
9 heavily regulated and subsistence users who feel heavily
10 regulated to bring the transporter industry under an
11 umbrella of regulation as well because right now
12 transporters are just like taxis. You get an airplane,
13 you get an air taxi operation, you get an air taxi
14 certificate and you can begin transporting hunters
15 anywhere.
             So that's something to keep in mind is to
17
18 talk to your legislators about regulating the transporter
19 industry on a statewide basis. Mr. Chair.
             CHAIRMAN STONEY: I noticed this years
21
22 operations for outfitters and guides, there's something
23 like 40, 45 outfitters in the area this year that's been
24 active?
25
            MR. MAGDANZ: We've kept the list of the
26
27 number of people registered that guide and transport in
28 the area and that's approximately the number, I don't
29 know exactly. But you're approximately correct.
31
             CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay. Otherwise
32 there's no limit of these transporters and guides, there
33 could be a hundred if they wanted to be.
            MR. MAGDANZ: I could be one tomorrow if
35
36 I filed the paperwork.
             CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay. Would there be
39 any control of the transporters and guides to limit them.
40 let's say we have five in Noatak and then two at Selawik
41 and five at Kobuk, can they be managed that way? Just
42 limit the transporters and guides?
43
            MR. MAGDANZ: There are limits on guides.
45 There are areas that guides have to apply for. But
46 transporters presently are not restricted in any way in
47 that. And so that's one of the things that the guides
48 would like to see is that the transporters be restrained
49 in their operations in some fashion so it's an area where
50 I think the subsistence users and the guides have common
```

```
00021
1 interests.
2
3
           ATTAMUK: Jim, I'll meet with you and you
4 and I will work on it together.
6
           MR. MAGDANZ: Okay.
8
           MR. BOYD: Mr. Chair.
10
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Tom.
11
            MR. BOYD: Mr. Chair, I have a question
12
13 while Jim is at the table if I may and I'm coming at this
14 with some ignorance. Is there a regulation that
15 prohibits and, I'm not aware of any, that prohibits
16 aircraft from flying at certain levels in some of these
17 areas? We don't have such but I.....
18
19
            MR. MAGDANZ: No.
2.0
            MR. BOYD: Okay.
21
22
            MR. MAGDANZ: Only the FAA's general
24 FAR's that restrict the operations of aircraft in
25 unpopulated areas to no less than 500 feet.
27
            MR. BOYD: 500 feet, so that's an FAA
28 regulation?
            MR. MAGDANZ: It's an FAA regulation,
31 it's nationwide.
            MR. BOYD: Nationwide, yeah.
33
34
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Well, all my time of
36 flying and I fly with commercial air taxis and they know
37 within either 500 feet altitude or keep distance from one
38 person or a hundred person you cannot get closer than 500
39 feet. We see aircraft just 50 feet off the ground going
40 full bore a lot of times, sometimes a DC-6.
41
            MR. MAGDANZ: If there's no person or
42
43 apparent property, you know, a house, a cabin, boat, then
44 they can operate 10 feet off the ground if they want to.
45
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Thank you.
46
47
48
            MR. MAGDANZ: Uh-huh.
49
50
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Enoch.
```

```
00022
```

```
ATTAMUK: I just wanted to comment on
2 we're hearing the same thing on the migratory birds, even
3 our commuter airplanes flying at certain level s and
4 they're disturbing the nesting. So we could prove it
5 like the birds, it is disturbing the caribou at certain
6 levels of flying, it's changing the migration route of
7 the caribou
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okav. we're still at
10 Item 6, village concerns. Any concerns from the audience
11 of what the Council just brought up, you know, it's just
12 the reports -- any questions about where the caribou is
13 and how they are? Moving right along, we'll take a break
14 shortly, No. 7, Chair's report, it's on Tab D.
15
16
             I attended some of these meetings in
17 Anchorage, one was in May. There was some proposals that
18 were put to the Chairs for discussion. I learned one
19 thing which is very important to all of us is the black
20 bear and the parts of the black bear and like a
21 furbearer. There was a proposal from some other Councils
22 throughout Alaska, the sale of parts of black bear. It's
23 been favored by some other Councils throughout Alaska. I
24 didn't make too much comments on it because I wanted some
25 input from our area, like the villages.
             I'll give you an example, it did happen
27
28 before and about 15 years ago the antlers came, caribou
29 antlers and moose antlers. Well, we say we'll give you a
30 five bucks a pound, you know, for those antlers for
31 caribou and moose, the next year, you know, we started
32 seeing dead caribou everywhere with no antlers, people
33 just shooting them and then taking the antlers and go
34 sell them, it got way out of control.
35
        I don't know who my question would be to the
37 agencies, if that becomes legalized to sell parts of a
38 black bear, like a furbearer, would that become
39 uncontrolled or just -- otherwise my question would be if
40 I wanted to sell a black bear skin or the head or the
41 claws and shoot the black bear and leave the rest, that's
42 how it will come to be.
43
             And there was a lot of discussion on this
45 and I didn't put no input at all. I wanted to hear from
46 this Council before the proposal comes up and effect
47 through statewide Alaska. That was the main concern from
48 most of the Chairs that was brought up.
49
50
            Secondly, of course, I'm making the
```

```
1 report up from when I went lat May. The Federal Board
2 meeting, of course, some Chairs were very concerned about
3 the meeting place in Anchorage. Of course Anchorage is a
4 good place to meet for the Chairs. I didn't like how it
5 was maintained and organized in Anchorage because I had
6 to walk four blocks to a meeting place. But we stayed at
7 a hotel and then we had to walk all the way down -- well.
8 it was the Days Inn and then we had to walk all the way
9 down to the Hilton, that's four blocks. So I think, you
10 know, the Federal agencies, the Staff should be aware
11 that if we have to walk that far, that's a long ways to
12 walk, there should be a better place to meet with the
13 Board, like stay at maybe a better hotel because there
14 was no meals or nothing at that hotel we stayed at,
15 there's no coffee, no nothing and you have to find
16 breakfast somewhere else.
17
            So on some of these meetings, the Chairs
18
19 were very concerned about, at least a decent place to
20 stay and meet in the same building.
21
            Now, if we have to bring the RAC to
23 Anchorage, if you go through that situation it would be
24 kind of an embarrassment to all of us if we have to make
25 Lillian walk four blocks, you know, I think that doesn't
26 make any sense. So if you have to have a meeting in
27 Anchorage, you know, just put us in one hotel and the
28 meeting in the same place, like that. It was a very,
29 very concern for almost all the Chairs throughout Alaska.
31
            Tom, I think you're aware about this.
32 Tom.
33
            MR. BOYD: And on that point, Mr. Chair.
35 we did look into that. I think our administrative Staff
36 was working under the assumption that they had to work
37 with those hotels where we had contractual arrangements
38 and that's not the case. So we were going to be able to
39 address that concern and remedy that situation so that
40 you don't have to walk four blocks or stay at a distance.
41
42
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Uh-huh.
43
            MR. BOYD: You can stay in the same hotel
45 that the meeting is going on so that's been repaired.
47
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Another thing that came
48 up, Tom, was the hotel itself. See when you report in
49 and register at the hotel, of course, you know, it's been
50 paid by that agency that called this meeting and we'll
```

```
00024
```

```
1 stay there for two or three days in that hotel and you
2 check out, well, they say, where's your money you got to
3 pay and it's 3:00 or 4:00 o'clock in the morning and some
4 of them got stuck right there with no money to pay their
5 hotel room, well, it's supposed to be taken care of by
6 the Federal government and it hasn't, they got stuck.
7 And they said, if you don't pay now we'll call the police
8 on you. You know there should be some way to clarify
9 this to -- maybe it doesn't affect the Chairs but like
10 the committees, like the RAC committee, they need some
11 clarifications on that to register and then check out so
12 they don't have to -- almost like a harassment. That
13 should be clarified.
15
             That was a very concern to some of the
16 RAC Chairs around Alaska.
18
            Did I miss anything Tom?
19
2.0
            MR. BOYD: I don't have any notes in
21 front of me so I'm not sure. I think there were a number
22 of administrative concerns that came to us. We've looked
23 into all of them. Regarding the latter point that you
24 raised, that's a miscommunication problem between the
25 hotel and our administrative people. Things like that
26 should not happen.
27
28
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Uh-huh.
29
            MR. BOYD: They have happened in the
31 past, particularly when we've had people passing through
32 and having to stay overnight in Anchorage going to other
33 locations and we have contractual arrangements with these
34 hotels and sometimes they don't remember it or the person
35 working at the desk doesn't know and these kinds of
36 problems have come up. We're working to try to make sure
37 that doesn't happen again.
38
39
            MR. FRIED: Mr. Chair.
40
41
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Steve.
42
            MR. FRIED: When Helen gave me the
44 packets to bring out here, she actually gave me copies of
45 the contracts they have and the agreements with the
46 hotels we stayed in and also here just in case there was
47 that sort of a problem. So maybe it's possible to have
48 somebody have a copy of this to show in case there is --
49 and that's all these are, this is just a copy for
50 information. She said if there was a problem at the
```

```
00025
```

```
1 hotel in town here, say if I have this so we could show
2 them that we did have -- we didn't pay, so maybe that's
3 all it would take.
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: I think that'd be a
5
6 great help. Like for Lillian and I had to go to
7 Anchorage for this meeting, just, you know bring down
8 that document, the paper like that, signed by somebody.
10
            MR. FRIED: The hotel should have it but
11 if they don't have it there maybe somebody else, like
12 maybe the Chair or somebody in charge of that group --
13 somebody would have one.
14
15
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Yeah.
16
17
            MR. FRIED: Yeah.
18
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: That'd be a great help
20 because I got stuck right there and I wound up paying 400
21 myself for these individuals sometimes, you know, just to
22 try to help them out otherwise -- whoever was on duty at
23 the hotel at 4:00 o'clock in the morning and I can't -- I
24 can't Tom at 4:00 o'clock in the morning.
25
26
            MR. BOYD: You can.
27
28
            (Laughter)
29
30
            MR. BOYD: But I understand what you're
31 saying.
32
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Enoch
33
34
            ATTAMUK: I travel for Maniilaq a lot.
36 When I run into that problem, we got PO's Maniilag and I
37 use that and I get in with that. What they need is a
38 copy of the purchase request, you get it with the hotel.
40
            MR. FRIED: Well, that's what that is.
41
            ATTAMUK: I never have problems with
43 Maniilaq. When they start giving me a hard time I say,
44 here's a copy of my PO, charge it to the PO because all
45 workers in the hotel always don't communicate, the day
46 versus the night. That's the problem they're running
47 into here right here, real simple. Just give them a copy
48 of the PO and you won't have the problem anymore.
49 Problem solved.
50
```

```
00026
           Okay, back to the bear parts. If you
2 want input on the bear parts, I would recommend that they
3 don't open or sell any kind of bear parts, even the
4 caribou. I would go against it because it's going to be
5 an open season, like they did with the antlers. You want
6 input on it, there's mine and I hear it from different
7 villages, not only me.
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: I think in this
10 booklet, there's a proposal right?
            ATTAMUK: You were asking earlier about
13 the bear parts, about this one here you had the Federal
14 Subsistence Board meeting with the RAC Chairmans.
15
16
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay.
17
18
            MR. BOYD: Mr. Chair.
19
2.0
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Tom
21
            MR. BOYD: Mr. Chair, I believe he was
23 going over the -- I'm not sure but addressing one of the
24 statewide proposals that the Board addressed last May; is
25 that right Mr. Chair?
            ATTAMUK: He was requesting input from
27
28 the Board here on the bears that the RAC Chairs had a
29 meeting on.
30
31
            MR. BOYD: Okay.
32
            ATTAMUK: And what I heard from the
34 people so far, they don't like the idea of any kind of
35 parts being sold for money, for barter because that would
36 make us look bad in the long run. I was getting back to
37 the agenda.
38
39
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Anything else, Tom?
40
            MR. BOYD: Yes, I was just going to say
42 the Board did address that one proposal.
44
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Yeah.
45
            MR. BOYD: And basically aligned with the
47 State regarding the utilization of the fur of black
48 bears. They could be sold only as handicraft items.
49
50
            MR. FRIED: Yes.
```

```
00027
            MR. BOYD: And then they provided the
2 definition of handicraft items. So I think there was
3 concurrence from the Board with regard to the sentiment
4 that's being expressed here. Maybe we shouldn't have
5 that unregulated.
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Is that it Tom?
7
8
            MR. BOYD: (Nods affirmatively)
10
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: On my final report, you
12 know, to the committee, was -- I don't know if I will say
13 it or not but there will be some increase on the RAC
14 committees for Alaska, probably four or five years from
15 now that includes the Northwest here. Of course there
16 will be committee members from the transporters and
17 guides, it's in effect right now, in the recruiting
18 procedure. So right now we're just fine with -- I asked
19 the question to Barbara and Helen, what's going to happen
20 in the next two years about our committee here which is
21 five of us? We got some people that, and in the process
22 to fill in these two more seats.
23
             But however, there's another situation
25 that came up, was the agency said that they'll introduce
26 a situation where we'll increase to double, in fact, the
27 transporters and guides will be on this committee. So
28 otherwise right now we're due for elections of officers
29 which I brought up a number of times now, since there's
30 only just five of us, it seems like it's going to take
31 another 18 months before we even think about electing
32 leadership for the RAC committee. You know, this came
33 before me, so I think that electing new officers would be
34 a very excellent idea if we come to a full appointment.
35 Like I say, we have -- how many will we be up to, will it
36 be 13 for Northwest, Tom?
37
            MR. BOYD: Mr. Chair, I'll be briefing
39 you later in the meeting on the changes that you're
40 referring to in terms of increase in the Council size.
41
42
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okav.
43
            MR. BOYD: But it will be 10 total for
45 this Council
46
47
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay.
48
            MR. BOYD: And you're correct in
50 observing that some of the members will come from the
```

```
00028
1 recreational and commercial side of things.
2
3
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay.
4
           MR. BOYD: And regarding the vacant seats
5
6 that currently exist, you have seven members and two
7 vacancies. We are working right now to fill those seats.
8 We have several applicants for this region and I don't
9 have the schedule in front of me but some time soon, this
10 winter, the Board will be developing their
11 recommendations that will go forward to the Secretary of
12 the Interior to make selections and our goal is to have
13 those seats filled by next meeting which will be in the
14 winter or the course of the spring, the February/March
15 time frame.
16
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: That's a good thing. I
17
18 know that somebody will ask the question, they'll
19 probably ask you who are they? You probably don't know
20 this, it's not public yet so we won't mention no names.
21
            MR. BOYD: Well, we just have the names
23 of the candidates and the recommendations haven't been
24 developed yet and the Secretary's the one that's got to
25 decide anyway so we're several months away from a
26 decision.
27
28
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay.
29
            MR. BOYD: I've been assured by the
31 Office of the Secretary that once they receive our
32 recommendations they will move forward fairly quickly to
33 make those selections.
34
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Enoch.
35
36
            ATTAMUK: Yeah, I got a question. The
38 people you pick to replace the two that need to be
39 filled, do you just the agencies decide who the two are
40 going to be or do we have any input who you pick?
41 Because we know the people a lot more than you do here,
42 the villages that will be represented, you know.
43
            MR. BOYD: Well, Mr. Chair, the selection
45 process sort of goes like this. We solicit for
46 applications, anyone from the region can submit their
47 names in the applications or someone can nominate someone
48 from the region. We take that list and we convene a
49 local interagency panel that goes out and basically
50 screens the candidates by talking to references,
```

```
1 interviewing the candidates themselves and talking to key
2 contacts in the region about the candidates. And based
3 on that information, they develop a recommendation based
4 on a set of criteria that they're looking for, the
5 qualities that they're looking for in the individual.
6 They develop a recommendation that goes forward to the
7 Federal Subsistence Board. The Board takes a look at
8 that information and develops their own recommendation.
9 the Board doesn't decide even and then that
10 recommendation, along with all of the other candidates
11 and their names and the information about them goes
12 forward to the Secretary of the Interior and the
13 Secretary of the Interior is the person that makes the
14 decision.
15
16
            There is no step in the process that
17 allows those names to come back before the Council. I
18 think we believe that would constitute kind of a
19 conflict.
2.0
            ATTAMUK: I could hold a secret for a
21
22 couple of months, I think.
            MR. BOYD: Yeah.
25
26
            ATTAMUK: I mean I'm capable.
27
            MR. BOYD: Yeah. Well, that's the
29 process that we've followed for the past 10 years
30 basically. And the intent was not to have the Council
31 select themselves but the idea of getting local input was
32 clearly part of the process so that we talk to people in
33 the region and, you know, hopefully people in the know,
34 people that have been listed by the candidate as
35 references for them so that we get an idea, you know, who
36 to recommend.
37
             It's not a perfect process but it is, we
38
39 think, a pretty good process.
41
             I'll be briefing you further on the
42 changes that are coming.
             CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay. That's the end
45 of my report. Any questions from the RAC committee
46 before we take a break.? If not, let's take a break.
47 take 15 minutes, five or 10 after. Break time.
48
49
             (Off record)
50
```

```
00030
            (On record)
1
2
3
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: There's lots of coffee,
4 lots of rolls and I'll call the meeting back to order.
5 We're still at the Chair's report, we're on No. 7, the
6 .805 letter. It's in your packet on Tab D. So I'll have
7 Tom brief us on this .805 letter.
            MR. BOYD: Well. I think the letter
10 itself is just there to inform you of what the Board
11 actions were regarding the statewide proposals and the
12 proposals from your region. Do you want me to go through
13 this or is it self-explanatory, Mr. Chair?
15
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Well, if there's any
16 concerns from the committee this is the time to ask Tom
17 about the .805 letter. It's in your packet right there
18 on Tab D.
19
2.0
            MR. BOYD: These were also mailed out to
21 all the Council members in the summer.
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Take a few minutes and
23
24 go through the letter.
26
            ATTAMUK: Raymond.
27
28
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Yeah.
29
            ATTAMUK: Could I back up a little bit
31 here, Enoch here -- could I back up a little bit here on
32 the two other people we're going to pick, Tom, I asked
33 you earlier. And under FACA, I think we should be
34 involved, at least, one here from the RAC Board on the
35 people that's going to -- that two -- the two vacant
36 seats, the process. Is there a possibility where someone
37 from the Board here could be involved in the process of
38 the two? I know we don't pick the two people on the
39 final. At least somebody -- it would be nice here if you
40 could change it somewhere, because I don't see it
41 anywhere that it has to be just by the agencies, you
42 know.
43
             Where does it say that it has to just be
44
45 from the agencies, I'd like to see that?
            MR. BOYD: Well, I think it's a general
48 practice. We haven't involved the Councils or members of
49 the Councils in the selection.
```

```
00031
           ATTAMUK: General practices could be
2 changed.
           MR. BOYD: And I can't commit to you a
5 change like that. I think I'd have to have that
6 discussion with the Board. I'm just telling you the
7 practice as it's currently set up and how we do this. So
8 I can't give you an answer, I guess, Mr. Shiedt but I can
9 ask the question and I can get back to you.
            ATTAMUK: And I'd like to hear it.
11
12
13
            MR. BOYD: Yeah.
14
15
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Any other comments on
16 that letter, the .805. Tom, are you done?
17
18
            MR. BOYD: Yes, sir.
19
2.0
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay. We'll go down to
21 the next item, 8, Fisheries Proposal for Council review
22 and recommendation to the Federal Subsistence Board, it's
23 on Tab E. Tom, got anything?
24
25
            MR. BOYD: Item 8?
26
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Fisheries Proposals for
28 Council review and recommendation.
            MR. BOYD: Okay. These are the proposals
31 that come before us for changing the fisheries
32 regulations. There are two of them, Proposal 27 and 28
33 that we have prepared Staff analysis on that we're
34 prepared to go through with you, Mr. Chair. Mr. Fried is
35 going to do that.
36
37
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Thank you.
38
            MR. BOYD: And the procedure, suggested
40 procedure for presentation and comments from the various
41 entities here are listed there in the box.
42
43
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay.
44
            MR. BOYD: So we can introduce the
46 proposal and the analysis and Mr. Fried is ready to do
47 that.
48
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: You're going to
50 introduce them?
```

```
00032
            MR. FRIED: Yes.
1
2
3
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Steve.
5
            MR. FRIED: Do you want me to begin?
6
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Yeah.
            MR. FRIED: Okay. There's two statewide
10 fisheries regulatory proposals and they're both under Tab
11 E in your books. The first one is Proposal FP03-27.
             What it would do would be to allow the
13
14 harvest of fish for food and traditional ceremonies when
15 the season is closed. Currently there is a regulation
16 under wildlife to allow this but there's not a similar
17 regulation for fisheries. And so basically this would
18 only take effect when a season was closed, otherwise, you
19 know, these needs could be met during a regular
20 subsistence fishing period but if there was a closure
21 where something like that occurred and there was a need
22 for fish for a traditional ceremony this regulation would
23 allow that to occur and there would be certain things
24 that would have to be -- the people who wanted to harvest
25 the fish would need to do under this regulation.
             Let's see, right now it's done on a case
27
28 by case basis, people can still ask the Board to allow
29 it, this would just allow it, you know, under regulation.
31
             These are talking points that were
32 provided by George Sherrod.
            The effect of the proposal -- the Staff
35 analysis was to support the proposal, there was a little
36 bit of a change in the wording, the proposal's author
37 requested that the word and in the phrase open seasons
38 and harvested in the first sentence would be open season
39 or harvest limits so it would be less restrictive because
40 if you had and it would look like the season had to be
41 open and there had to be a harvest limit but this could
42 be either way. So if you wanted to go over a harvest
43 limit to take fish for a ceremonial purpose then you
44 could be allowed to do that. And even if the season was
45 closed, you know, the same way, so that would just make
46 it broader.
47
             The proposal would make sure that it
49 didn't violate any principles of fisheries conservation
50 so that if there was a fishstock that was in trouble,
```

```
00033
1 that, you know, the manager would probably direct the
2 person to another stock that harvest could be taken from
3 rather than that stock.
            The other thing that would have to be
5
6 done is that for people to take fish under this
7 regulation, would have to within 15 days after the
8 harvest, submit a written report to the manager that
9 would tell, you know, providing their name, their
10 address, the number and types of fish take and what they
11 were taken for. So there's really no permit but you have
12 to get in touch with the manager first to request that
13 this be allowed and then 15 days after the harvest
14 occurred actually, you know, call or send in report --
15 you'd have to send in a written report that would say
16 what was taken and for who and why.
17
             So you know, if there's any other
18
19 questions I mean that's basically a summary.
2.0
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Any questions.
21
22
23
            ATTAMUK: Yeah, I got a question.
24
25
            MR. FRIED: Sure.
26
             ATTAMUK: It didn't say on our Unit 23
28 here so I'm not really concerned. But I thought under
29 subsistence we could take our fish because you're talking
30 about 25 salmon here and you're not -- it's not saying
31 what kind of salmon because we deal here mainly in chum,
32 you know, and we got no -- we don't have any problems
33 with our salmon, we're not like normal other places here.
34 And it don't mention our Unit 23 completely so see I'm
35 done on the regulatory history on the management units 1
36 through 25, except 23 and I'm in Unit 23. And it allows
37 only 25 salmon -- because when we -- Susan Georgette did
38 a survey here, we harvest as much as 90,000 in the
39 Northwest Arctic region, that's Unit 23, being harvested
40 for subsistence.
41
```

42 MR. FRIED: I guess I'm not following 43 you. Is there someplace within this particular proposed 44 regulation where it's.....

45
46 ATTAMUK: It says right here, right in
47 front after 2003-27 you go further down on the first
48 paragraph A, last sentence, no more than 25 salmon or
49 five steelhead.

```
00034
1
           MR. FRIED: Uh-huh.
2
3
            ATTAMUK: You know we don't worry about
4 it, that's what I'm saying.
6
           MR. FRIED: Okay.
           ATTAMUK: Why is it in front of us when
8
9 we don't have no concern when it don't mention Unit 23.
            MR. FRIED: Well, I think it's statewide
12 regulation. If you don't -- you know, it covers -- it's
13 all fish, not just salmon.
15
            ATTAMUK: Yeah, on that same draft
16 analysis on 27 when they mention the units on here and
17 the units under regulatory history, we're left out on 23.
19
            MR. FRIED: You're left out. So does
20 that need to be.....
21
            ATTAMUK: It's on Page 40.
22
23
24
            MR. FRIED: Does that need to be added?
25
26
            ATTAMUK: Maybe we ought to ask.....
27
28
            MR. FRIED: Regulatory history units, I
29 see what you mean. It says units and it lists, it goes
30 22, 24 and 25.
31
32
            ATTAMUK: There's no 23 in here.
33
            MR. FRIED: No 23.
34
35
36
            ATTAMUK: See, no.....
37
38
            MR. FRIED: Oh, this is for wildlife.
39
            ATTAMUK: Yeah, it's for wildlife, yes.
40
41
42
            MR. FRIED: Okay, okay, I misunderstood.
43 So you're saying that you might need a wildlife proposal?
            ATTAMUK: I don't know, we have to --
46 maybe we should ask our biologist over here what's
47 supposed to.....
            MR. FRIED: Okay. This is just a fish
50 proposal so this wouldn't do anything for the wildlife.
```

```
00035
1
           ATTAMUK: Uh-huh.
2
3
           MR. FRIED: But if you wanted to do -- if
4 there wasn't a wildlife proposal in place to do this for
5 game then there is, you know, wildlife proposals are due,
6 I think by October 15th so you might want to consider
7 putting a proposal if it's really needed in this and this
8 is just not something that's been overlooked in the
9 history.
10
            ATTAMUK: Yeah.
11
12
            MR. BOYD: Well, I think it already
13
14 exists.
15
16
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: One thing I overlooked
17 here, you know, before we go any further, those of you
18 that want lunch here it says $8 lunch, put your name on a
19 sheet right here as it's passed around to you.
20
21
            ATTAMUK: Is the Chairman buying?
22
23
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Uh?
24
25
            ATTAMUK: The Chairman buying?
26
27
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Yeah.
28
            MR. FRIED: Anybody that wants, I can
30 start passing this around.
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: You're still with your
33 introduction so before we go to the departments.
            MR. FRIED: All right. Proposal 27
35
36 doesn't include lunch.
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay, are you done with
39 your introduction?
40
41
            MR. FRIED: Excuse me?
42
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: You're done, uh, with
44 the introduction of the proposal?
45
            MR. FRIED: Right. I mean unless you
47 want to hear if there were comments from Department of
48 Fish and Game or other agency comments or any kind of
49 public comments. There weren't any written public
50 comments that were put in on this but there might be
```

```
00036
1 comments from other agencies.
3
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay. Right now on
4 these proposals, we have to go through the departments.
5 Number 1 is the Alaska Department of Fish and Game
6 comments.
            MR. MAGDANZ: Mr. Chairman. Fish and Game
9 in Kotzebue. The Department's neutral on this proposal
10 on a statewide basis. I would comment that in Northwest
11 Alaska there are no seasons and bag limits for the
12 subsistence taking of fish so you may take an unlimited
13 number at any time. The only limitation that we have are
14 on the types of gear that can be used and how they may be
15 used. So this proposal, as Enoch points out, would
16 actually be a restriction on the take for funerary
17 purposes in this region and so it would reflect not a
18 liberalization of regulations but a restriction which I
19 don't think is what it would effect -- how it would
20 effect fishing in other places.
21
22
            Mr. Chair.
23
24
            MR. LEAN: Mr. Chair.
25
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Charlie.
26
27
            MR. LEAN: Mr. Chair, thank you. You
29 know, the intent here is to provide an exception for
30 ceremonial uses in a statewide perspective. And it was a
31 way to provide for ceremonial use when it might be
32 curtailed otherwise. This could potentially come into
33 play if we had a particularly bad season up here and
34 regulations and restrictions were put in place. That's
35 never happened. You know, it's not anything on the
36 horizon that I'm aware of.
37
            But that's the situation that current
39 regulation would allow any Alaska resident to go or
40 within the Federal system, any local resident to go
41 fishing and catch an unlimited number of fish for a
42 subsistence use. So I don't think this would come into
43 play unless you were in a restrictive mode and I don't
44 believe it is -- in practice I don't believe it would
45 constitute a restriction on your activity.
47
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay. Any questions to
48 the State? Any questions for Jim and Charlie? There's
49 none. Other agencies on this proposal. Agency comments.
50 If not, Fish and Game Advisory Committee comments, we got
```

```
00037
1 anybody from the Advisory Committee? We're almost to the
2 last, it says, open floor for public comments on Proposal
3 27. I guess Steve already brought that up. Open floor
4 for public comments on these two proposals.
           MR. FRIED: Right, there weren't any
6
7 written comments.
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay.
10
            MR. FRIED: I don't know if there's
12 anybody here that wants to comment.
14
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay. Regional Council
15 deliberation, recommendations and justifications. We
16 have to go through these individual, right, 27 and 28?
17
18
            MR. FRIED: Right.
19
20
            MR. BOYD: Yes.
21
            MR. FRIED: We're on 27 now so you can
23 discuss 27 and then decide whether or not you'd like to
24 approve it or you'd like to modify it and then approve it
25 or disapprove it or take no action on it.
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Proposal FP200327, it's
27
28 been on the table for quite some time now, hasn't it,
29 this Proposal 27?
31
            MR. FRIED: Not to my knowledge. Like I
32 mentioned, there's a similar proposal for wildlife
33 management uses but there hasn't been a proposal for
34 fisheries usage for ceremonial purposes. And I mean
35 there's been special actions in the past that have
36 allowed this but this would allow a manager to do it and,
37 you know, it would be under regulation and it would make
38 it easier to do.
39
40
            And I don't know, in response to the
41 limit on 25 salmon, I don't have an answer for that. I'm
42 assuming that since this would be in times of a closure
43 or some kind of a resource problem, maybe that's why they
44 did that. I suppose you could recommend that it be
45 increased
46
47
            ATTAMUK: I got no problem approving this
48 because certain -- we don't have potlatches for
49 ceremonial purposes. But if it do come up -- they do
50 decrease the fish in the future just for subsistence
```

```
00038
1 purposes, I would back this up. Otherwise for our
2 purposes, we don't -- like the Interior, we don't treat
3 our animals like that, you know, for religious purposes,
4 we just eat it for subsistence, it's for survival
5 purposes, so I can't see a real - I could support them
6 guys. But under Unit 23 I wouldn't because we got no
7 real concern unless our fish do decline in the future.
8 And at the time they do decline we would probably address
9 it at that time anyhow.
10
            So what you need is approval from us? I
12 mean we need to either back this up or oppose it or no
13 comment or what do you want from us?
15
            MR. FRIED: Right. You can either
16 support it just the way it is. You can support it but
17 you can modify it. You can oppose it if you don't want
18 it, you know, if you don't think it should be in
19 regulation or you can take no action.
2.0
21
            ATTAMUK: Uh-huh.
22
            MR. FRIED: If you think it doesn't have
24 any effect on your area then you can just, you know, it's
25 up to you.
26
27
            MR. BALLOT: Or you can remain neutral.
28
29
            MR. AREY: No action.
30
31
            ATTAMUK: No action.
32
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: You like that Percy?
33
34
            MR. BALLOT: He's got it.
35
36
37
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay.
            MR. BALLOT: Mr. Chairman, you don't need
40 a motion for doing anything, right, or do you need it --
41 is there.....
42
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Well, it says, review
43
44 and recommendations, you know, do you need an action on
45 this for recommendation?
46
```

47

48

50 good idea to.....

MR. BOYD: Yes.

MR. FRIED: Yeah, it would probably be a

```
00039
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: For the books, uh?
1
2
3
           MR. FRIED: Yeah.
5
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay.
6
           MR. FRIED: Just to document it.
8
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay, that's what we're
10 here for.
11
           MR. BALLOT: Mr. Chairman.
12
13
14
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Percy.
15
16
           MR. BALLOT: I'll move that we move not
17 to take any action on Proposal FP2003-27.
19
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay. There's a motion
20 from Percy to not take action on Proposal FP2003-27.
21 Motion from Percy to not take action at this time.
23
           ATTAMUK: I'll second it.
24
25
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Second by Enoch.
26 Further discussions.
28
           MR. BALLOT: Question.
29
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Question been called
31 for. Any objection to the question? If none, say aye
32 approving the motion by Percy for not taking action on
33 Proposal FP2003-27 signify by saying aye.
34
35
           IN UNISON: Aye.
36
37
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Opposed.
38
39
           (No opposing votes)
40
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay. No action taken
42 on 27. Go to the next proposal, it's 28. Proposal FP03-
43 28.
44
45
           MR. FRIED: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
46
47
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Steve.
48
           MR. FRIED: I will do this one, too.
50 This one basically is for streamlining in-season
```

```
00040
```

1 fisheries special actions. This was submitted by the 2 Office of Subsistence Management to streamline the 3 Federal Subsistence Board special action process whenever 4 State and Federal fisheries managers agree to take the 5 same in-season action on a fishery. So basically if the State and the Federal 8 managers agree to close an area or do something else. 9 they both agree on it then the State would issue an 10 emergency order and the Federal government -- right now 11 it would actually have to then go and issue a special 12 action even though they're doing the same thing, it's 13 just on Federal lands. What this regulation would allow 14 to happen is that if the State and Federal managers 15 agree, the State would issue an emergency order and the 16 Federal manager wouldn't have to issue a special action. 17 The Federal manager still has authority 18 19 to issue a special action but they'd only do it when they 20 differed in what they think should be done for a fishery 21 from what the State manager would do, otherwise there 22 would just be a State emergency order and the Federal 23 regulations would just go along with that. 25 It doesn't really change the process 26 itself. You know, Regional Councils and the public will 27 still be involved in Federal decision-making. The 28 Federal fisheries managers would still need to consult 29 with subsistence users and the other groups when it 30 developed recommendations and still the Regional Advisory 31 Council or the public can always appeal a Federal 32 management opinion to the Board if they think it's 33 necessary. And the reason this proposal was made was 35 36 to try to eliminate redundancy and make the process 37 simpler. For example, this really applies at this point 38 mostly to the Yukon/Kuskokwim region. So like in 2001, 39 the Federal government issued -- Federal managers issued 40 27 special actions for that fishery in 2001, only one of 41 those was different from the State emergency orders. So 42 instead of the manger having to issue 27 special actions 43 in that case, he'd have to issue one only in the case 44 where they differed. 45 And also it was felt this might reduce 47 confusion because there really was some confusion because 48 there is some delay for a Federal special action to come, 49 to be announced and to enacted. And often times in that 50 fishery what happens was it would come out and then all

```
00041
```

1 of a sudden there was another regulatory change and they 2 were kind of a little bit behind things so people might 3 get confused. There was, in 2002, just as an interim 6 try, the Federal Subsistence Board did approve this type 7 of a process for the Yukon/Kuskokwim fishing season and 8 it was done after consulting the three Councils for that 9 region, the Western Interior, the Eastern Interior and 10 Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta Council and they supported at least 11 trying it for one year. And although the full results 12 aren't in yet the in-season managers both Federal and 13 State felt that it worked well down there. It could be 14 premature to use this for fisheries statewide and there's 15 two concerns that have been voiced. Some people have 16 indicated that maybe this process might actually make an 17 additional burden for the managers, for the Federal 18 managers because if a Federal manager didn't immediately 19 issue a special action when the State issued an emergency 20 order, you know, and if they disagreed with the State's 21 emergency order then the State's emergency order, under 22 this regulation, would automatically go into effect until 23 the Federal manager issued a special action so there 24 could be, you know, a lag between those sorts of 25 situations. 26 And also there is a Federal/State 27 28 Memorandum of Agreement being developed for regulatory 29 processes to manage fisheries and they're working on this 30 and the results might be incorporated into a Memorandum 31 of Agreement in time for use for the 2003 season. So 32 there might actually be something in place that the State 33 and Federal governments agree upon through this process 34 also. 35 So what happened was the Staff analysis 37 actually supported adoption of the proposal but only for 38 the Yukon and Kuskokwim regions. So that was their 39 recommendation. So if, in fact, that modification was 40 made to this proposal then it wouldn't effect your region 41 at all. I don't know if that's a big confusing but I 42 guess what you can discuss is whether or not you want to 43 take action on this. And just for your information the 44 North Slope Council decided to not take action on this 45 and so it was just going to be -- it was mostly directed 46 towards the Yukon/Kuskokwim area. 47 48 Ouestions. 49 ATTAMUK: Yeah, I got a question. 50

```
00042
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Enoch.
1
2
           ATTAMUK: Attamuk here. I got a -- their
3
4 RAC Board, what their RAC Board think of this proposal
5 here, 28, from that unit there?
           MR. FRIED: Oh, from.....
7
8
           ATTAMUK: Yukon, yeah.
10
            MR. FRIED: They haven't met yet. I have
12 a feeling since they did support trying it last year, you
13 know, for one year.....
14
15
            ATTAMUK: Uh-huh.
16
            MR. FRIED: .....and the indication now
17
18 is that they probably would support it but I don't know
19 for sure because they haven't had their meeting yet.
            ATTAMUK: Because it's hard for me to
21
22 support something that is not even supported by the RAC
23 Board themselves.
25
            MR. FRIED: Yeah.
26
            ATTAMUK: Because we might support it and
28 we don't know what we're really supporting because it's
29 out of our unit and it's a different board.
31
            MR. FRIED: Right.
32
            ATTAMUK: If their RAC Board supported
34 it, I probably would support this. But like North Slope,
35 I would say, you know, I would not support this.
36
37
            MR. FRIED: Right.
38
            ATTAMUK: Because I don't know how the
40 people there feel.
42
            MR. FRIED: Uh-huh.
43
            ATTAMUK: Because I could be supporting
45 against some completely.
47
            MR. FRIED: That was the concern that the
48 North Slope Council had.
50
            ATTAMUK: Yeah.
```

```
00043
            MR. FRIED: I mean actually the
2 regulation now, it actually would be statewide. The
3 Staff recommendation was actually to modify it just for
4 Yukon/Kuskokwim.
            ATTAMUK: And I got a question, the lag
6
7 time between the State versus the Federal because I know
8 the Federal have to go through the process of talking to
9 the public and by the time we talk to the public the fish
10 will go by anyhow, see, or does your action take within
11 24 hours? That's another question I'm going to have
12 because I know the State, they want to close it, the
13 Federal got to talk to the public, input.
15
            MR. BOYD: Well, both the State and the
16 Federal entities are talking to the various users.
            ATTAMUK: Uh-huh.
18
19
2.0
            MR. BOYD: That is certainly a factor. I
21 think the biggest factor is that from the decision to the
22 publication the special action, notification.....
24
            ATTAMUK: Uh-huh.
25
            MR. BOYD: .....it just takes a bit
27 longer with the Federal process right now. Maybe we need
28 to look at that too in terms of streamlining.
            ATTAMUK: Because the fish takes two to
31 three weeks to go by, by the time you went through the
32 process they'll be all long gone except for the ones on
33 the upper river of the Yukon.
            MR. BOYD: It's quicker than that. It's
35
36 generally maybe a day or two.
38
            MR. FRIED: Uh-huh.
39
            MR. BOYD: I would suggest that you look
41 at this as to how it might effect your region as opposed
42 to the Yukon and Kuskokwim. It is a statewide proposal.
43 If you don't feel that it's appropriate for your region,
44 I'd address it in that fashion.
45
            ATTAMUK: Is it possible to hear from the
47 other boards, me, I would like to take no action because
48 I don't know what the other RAC Boards feel about this.
49
```

MR. BALLOT: Mr. Chairman.

50

```
00044
1
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Percy.
2
3
           MR. BALLOT: Percy Ballot. I was just
4 wondering is there going to be an effect on public
5 process as far as the regulations or anything, whether
6 State or Federal, would there be any changes, have any
7 effect on what's going on?
            MR. FRIED: It's not supposed to have any
10 effect on that process at all.
11
            MR. BOYD: I think the intent here is to
13 have one announcement go out as opposed to two that say
14 the same thing. We don't want to confuse people by
15 seeing two different announcements, well, they're
16 supposed to say the same thing when they agree anyway but
17 it's just a matter of eliminating redundancy or two
18 different messages that say the same thing.
20
            ATTAMUK: When is the Federal going to
21 completely takeover on the management of all species?
23
            (Laughter)
24
            ATTAMUK: I mean we wouldn't go through
26 this process with the State.
            MR. BOYD: Well, because of the way these
29 colors are on this map, I don't think we ever will.
31
            (Laughter)
32
            ATTAMUK: I'm colorblind. Just like the
34 fish I'm colorblind. I go to any river I want to go to.
35
36
            MR. BOYD: I understand.
37
38
            MR. MAGDANZ: Mr. Chair.
39
40
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Go ahead, Jim.
41
42
            MR. MAGDANZ: I just wanted to put the
43 State's comments on the record here. ADF&G supports this
44 proposal. I will make the comment that, like Proposal
45 27, this proposal is most really at the present time for
46 this unit. Because we have no restrictions on
47 subsistence fishing, seasons and bag limits which are
48 what usually emergency orders affect. So I'm not aware
49 in my 20 years up here that we've ever issued an
50 emergency order for the Kotzebue district. Charlie might
```

```
00045
1 refresh my memory but I don't think we have ever
2 encountered a situation here where we would have needed
3 to take this coordination effort.
5
           Mr. Chair.
6
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: That's a good point,
8 Jim. You know, like my history of being up here in this
9 area all my life, that there's no such emergency order
10 for any types of fish for closure.
11
12
            MR. MAGDANZ: For subsistence.
13
14
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Right.
15
16
            MR. MAGDANZ: Commercial fishing is
17 different
19
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Right now we got enough
20 salmon at Noatak and Kobuk that's waiting to be picked
21 up. And then you wanted action on this also and
22 recommendations, on this Proposal 28?
23
            MR. FRIED: Yes, Mr. Chairman. As long
25 as there's no other comments. There's no written public
26 comments.
27
28
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Any further comments
29 from the public, the departments on this proposal FP2003-
30.28.
31
32
            MR. GOODWIN: Mr. Chairman, I have a
33 question.
35
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Willie.
36
            MR. GOODWIN: Okay, our regulations right
38 now in the area have, there's no restrictions on
39 subsistence. The State comes in with a proposal for the
40 emergency order to close whitefish somewhere and ours say
41 stay, keep fishing. Now, this regulation, am I correct
42 in saying that if they say close that type of
43 whitefishing in this area that the Federal managers would
44 comply?
45
            MR. FRIED: No. What would happen, if
46
47 they disagreed, they'd have to issue a special action.
49
            MR. GOODWIN: A special action to keep it
```

50 open?

```
00046
           MR. FRIED: Yeah, under this one I think
2 they would because.....
           MR. GOODWIN: See, it makes it more
5 confusing.....
7
           MR. FRIED: .....wouldn't they?
8
           MR. GOODWIN: .....that's the point I
10 want to make to you guys.
            MR. FRIED: Am I missing something?
13 Because this one would say.....
15
            MR. GOODWIN: I mean I'd be fishing out
16 there, say in Selawik, all right, I hear the State
17 emergency order closing.....
            MR. FRIED: I don't know if they'd need a
20 special action, they might have to.....
            MR. GOODWIN: .....and I'm fishing -- let
23 me finish here.
25
            MR. FRIED: Sorry.
26
            MR. GOODWIN: I'm out there fishing, I
28 hear this special action on the radio from the State side
29 and I'm on Federal lands and I want to keep fishing, I'll
30 keep fishing because until the Federal manager says stop
31 I'll keep going. That's what I'm saying.
            MR. FRIED: Yeah, I know. Yeah, I know
33
34 what you're saying.
            MR. GOODWIN: So if the RAC passes this,
37 then I'd have to stop up there fishing. I'd have to stop
38 fishing because it streamlines the process, he doesn't
39 have to go through the process of Federal action. He's
40 working in the office here in Kotzebue, he don't care,
41 you know, if I'm out there a hundred miles out there
42 fishing until I come to town, which is probably rare.
43
            So where's my ANILCA protection is what
45 I'm saying. Thank you.
46
47
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Tom.
48
            MR. BOYD: I think, as usual, Willie
50 makes a good point and stretches my thinking a bit on
```

```
00047
1 this. But I mean the process is set up to work so that
2 there is dialogue between the Federal and the State
3 managers before any actions are taken. And generally
4 speaking, I don't believe that the State is going to
5 close any areas unless there's a reason to do so and will
6 be probably talking about that. I mean as previously
7 been said there haven't been any emergency orders issued
8 in this area in anyone's memory, at least in this room.
10
             But my vision of this is that if we
11 differ there will be a Federal -- there will be some
12 Federal accountability for a decision and there will be
13 some State decision obviously and that will be made
14 known. I don't know what the mechanism is but I think
15 you make a good point in terms of how we articulate that
16 and I really don't know. But I would think that where we
17 differ that there would be a positive Federal
18 announcement to clarify what the Federal regulations are.
2.0
            MR. GOODWIN: Mr. Chairman, not according
22 to the way I read the proposed regulation. It just says
23 the Federal manager will be silent. It's just we'll rely
24 on the State announcement. That's what I'm saying.
25
             MR. FRIED: I think there are problems
26
27 like this, it hasn't been totally worked out. And I
28 think that's why the Staff recommendation was to support
29 it only for the Yukon/Kuskokwim and probably only for the
30 salmon fisheries for the most part. Because they've
31 tried it there a year and it appears to work so I think
32 that's where the Staff recommendation came from, it's not
33 all these little, you know, little subtlies have been
34 worked out vet. And like I said, the State and the
35 Federal agencies are working together on a Memorandum of
36 Agreement on how to handle this.
37
             CHAIRMAN STONEY: So we need some
39 clarification on this issue. Like you said, I'm glad to
40 hear this, you said that the State and the Federal are
41 working together on this proposal just in case there was
42 an emergency order that has to be done up herein Unit 23,
43 otherwise -- otherwise, like Willie said it'd be kind of
44 a conflict to him if he's fishing a hundred miles away
45 and then the State closes it and the Feds said no. you
46 can't do that. So there should be some communication
```

47 directly with the two agencies if they have to make a

MR. FRIED: Right. No, you're absolutely

48 closure for some reason.

49 50

```
00048
```

```
1 right. And I think, you know, there have been a lot of
2 efforts to make sure that State and Federal agencies are
3 talking to each other and they are working on an
4 agreement that would develop procedures on how to better
5 manage fisheries and one of these, you know, addresses
6 just this streamline process. So I guess the Council
7 could either -- you know, if they supported it the way it
8 was, without modification, this would take effect
9 statewide, if they supported it with the modification it
10 would only be for the Yukon/Kuskokwim or if they don't
11 like it they can oppose it or table it.
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Willie, are you
13
14 satisfied with what he just said among the two
15 governments, they'd work cooperatively if there happened
16 to be an emergency closure?
17
18
            MR. GOODWIN: Yeah, I mean that will
19 work. You know, as long as they let us know. You know,
20 but what I'm -- I guess I'm not overly concerned about it
21 right now, you know, in our region. But all of us know
22 we have an occurring problem that's getting worse and
23 worse every year and that's the beavers.
25
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Yeah.
26
            MR. GOODWIN: Now, if all of a sudden we
28 find out we have a crash in the whitefish out there
29 because of the beavers, you know, that's when the
30 problem's going to start. That's what I'm looking at,
31 ahead, not the present situation we have right now.
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Yeah.
33
            MR. GOODWIN: But I'm worried that if we
36 have a regulation as such up here we may have some
37 problems later on.
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay, thanks Willie.
40 Enoch, you had something.
            ATTAMUK: Yeah. And just to add on here
43 in our different drainages from Kivalina to Selawik, you
44 know, we got different whitefish and if they say
45 whitefish we got five different kinds, you know, ciscos,
46 humpies and the other kind of whitefish. And say if they
47 put a regulation to say we got to quit fishing these fish
48 you're going to talk about the whole Unit 23 and if
49 there's no problem toward Kivalina and Noatak but you'd
50 have to close it unless you use the wording drainage of
```

```
00049
1 Selawik area, Noatak and Kivalina, I don't know if
2 there's any whitefish in Buckland, that I don't know and
3 Deering. See, these drainages and there's all the other
4 sloughs, too. But like Willie states, beaver are coming
5 in and the problem occurs sometimes the beaver is all the
6 way to Point Hope now. And we're going to have problems.
            We need to have better understanding of
9 this proposal here. And we need to work this out
10 somehow.
11
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Charlie.
12
13
14
            MR. LEAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I'm
```

15 Charlie Lean. I guess I was out of the room when some 16 things happened. The State has not put subsistence 17 closures or bag restrictions down for subsistence in the 18 Kotzebue region yet, in the Kotzebue district. There's 19 always the possibility they might. In the Yukon and the 20 Kuskokwim those things happen on an increasing basis and 21 this regulation came into being because there was 22 dissatisfaction with both the Federal and the State 23 agencies in how they were managing and how there was the 24 lag time between their actions and there was -- it made 25 it appear that they weren't in agreement.

And also they involved the three 27 28 different RACs and maybe even more than that and involved 29 numerous advisory committees in their process. And the 30 difference here in the Kotzebue district is that there 31 hasn't been this interaction between the advisory groups 32 and the managers and the managers haven't come up with a 33 policy either between themselves, between the State and 34 the Federal government. So I think what Steve has 35 already said was that this process is being tried out on 36 the Yukon and Kuskokwim, that's the suggestion of the 37 Staff and there they have the support of the local 38 advisory groups. We haven't done all the steps that they 39 have. I think this may be something we want to consider 40 in the future but I think it would be premature to jump 41 on this bandwagon until we've had a discussion and tried 42 to describe what our issues were and when this might come 43 into effect and it would help assure people here that we 44 weren't going to, you know, just go along with a closure 45 that was unreasonable

46

So I think there's a half step here that, 48 you know, you could either go along with just the limited 49 idea of Yukon/Kuskokwim or not at all. The Staff wasn't 50 saying that this was the proper step yet for the Kotzebue

```
00050
1 district.
3
            ATTAMUK: I think here from Unit 23, I
4 think we could come up with something better about
5 identifying our concerns with this proposal. I would
6 just completely table this. And we don't have to go to
7 another unit or outside our region where we have no
8 concern, just keep it here in our unit. Because I don't
9 want outsiders deciding how I should fish in my area
10 completely or when I should open to close when I don't
11 have any concern on subsistence or the fisheries here.
12 In the future I would like to see just my unit I worry
13 about unless the migration route involves different units
14 like the caribou. Fisheries here, we should just worry
15 about Unit 23.
16
            MR. LEAN: And usually emergency closures
17
18 or special actions don't affect the entire district, they
19 affect one species in a certain location.
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Thank you, Charlie.
22 And you want action on this and recommendations on this
23 proposal?
25
            MR. FRIED: Yes, please.
26
27
            MR. JACK: Mr. Chair.
28
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Carl, would you get to
30 the microphone please.
            MR. JACK: Carl Jack, Native Liaison. I
33 work as a Staff assistant to the Chairman of the Federal
34 Subsistence Board, Mitch Demientieff. And in cases like
35 this the Chair is real sensitive to the local people.
36 When this came up for YK, his advice was so long as the
37 Regional Councils are in the loop and aware of what's
38 happening. And also in YK area there's what they call
39 the Coordinating Fisheries Council, which was formed by
40 the tri-region. He wants those people in the loop before
41 these issues come before the Federal Board. So I just
42 want to pass this information on to you, the Chair is
43 sensitive to these kind of issues to make sure that the
44 local people have a voice in these type of actions.
45
46
            Thank you.
47
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Thank you. So you want
49 recommendations to approve or disapprove. Any further
50 comments from the public before we move forward. Enoch.
```

```
00051
           ATTAMUK: No, I just want to say that
2 this one here is -- we should -- I recommend make a
3 proposal that no action on this here because it's out of
4 our region and I hate to tell the outsiders how to --
5 outside my unit how to fish and I think we would come up
6 with something better for our unit here because it will
7 involve my people. Because I don't want outsiders -- but
8 not only that we got different drainages here from, you
9 know, really seven drainages.
10
            I take it as a motion, no action.
11
12
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: That's your motion,
13
14 Enoch?
15
16
            ATTAMUK: That's a motion.
17
            MR. BALLOT: Second.
18
19
2.0
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: There is a motion from
21 Enoch with no action and seconded by Percy. Any further
22 discussion.
23
24
            MR. BALLOT: Question.
25
26
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Question been called
27 for. Any objection to the question. All in favor of
28 taking no action on Proposal FP03-28 signify by saying
29 aye.
30
31
            IN UNISON: Aye.
32
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Objection.
33
34
35
            (No opposing votes)
36
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: No action taken. It's
38 11:00 o'clock, you guys want to break for lunch around
39 11:30 or a quarter to 12:00 something like that, before
40 we go to the next item? Okay.
41
42
            Well, we're down to Item No. 9. Charlie.
43
            MR. LEAN: I went up and gave the list to
45 the people who wanted to eat lunch here and they
46 requested that before you eat lunch you go to the office
47 upstairs, over the cafeteria and pay your $8.
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: You guys get that, $8
```

50 for lunch, go upstairs and pay for your lunch.

```
00052
           Okay, we're down to Item No. 9, call for
2 proposals to change Federal subsistence wildlife
3 regulations. So I believe it says it's on Tab F. Who's
4 going to take this, you, Tom.
           MR. BOYD: Mr. Chair, I think you're just
7 soliciting at this point any proposals that people might
8 have for changing the wildlife regulations which the
9 Board will be taking up next May.
10
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay.
11
12
            MR. BOYD: And you'll be hearing the
13
14 analysis of next winter.
15
16
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay.
17
18
            MR. BOYD: Or next spring, I mean.
19
2.0
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay, is that it?
21
            MR. BOYD: So if you have any public or
23 any of the agencies or any of your members here on the
24 Council want to take.....
25
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: I'll start from the
26
27 members here. On this No. 9, right there on your package
28 you got a proposal form for regulations for hunting and
29 fishing and then if you want to make some changes,
30 there's a procedure to how you do this because it follows
31 the whole thing and it comes before us and it goes to the
32 Federal Board.
33
34
            Percy, you got anything on this issue?
35
36
            MR. BALLOT: Yes. I was going to be
37 looking at the caribou, what was it five a day?
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: On the State it's five
39
40 a day and I believe on Federal land it's 15 a day. That
41 just came out like five years ago.
42
43
            MR. BALLOT: Can you.....
44
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: On Federal lands.
45
46
47
            MR. BALLOT: Okay.
48
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: If it's still
```

50 effective. I imagine Ken's got information on that, what

```
00053
1 is it Ken?
2
3
           MR. ADKISSON: I don't have a copy
4 of.....
5
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: The State has five
6
7 caribou a day, right, Jim.
9
           MR. MAGDANZ: State.
10
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: On State lands. And
12 Federal has 15?
13
14
            MR. MAGDANZ: Correct.
15
16
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: How is it affecting you
17 guys, Percy, can you handle 15 a day?
19
            MR. BALLOT: Oh, yeah, we can, but we
20 don't. But I think it's the five a day I was -- I had
21 heard some comments about that not being enough for our
22 people that travel long distances and can't get and you
23 have to go up to State lands and hunt. Sometimes we have
24 to go up past Deering or some other -- to get to State
25 lands to hunt and five a day, you know, I was thinking
26 more like eight or seven or somewhere around that limit,
27 10 or whatever.
28
29
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay.
30
            MR. BALLOT: Would be a much more better
32 deal for -- there's certain times of the year we have to
33 go a long way to go hunt before the meat -- you know, the
34 bugs bug you and all that kind of stuff and we have to go
35 past Deering or maybe even from here or someplace --
36 people have to go some other place, they have to go a
37 long ways to go get the caribou.
38
39
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay.
40
            MR. BALLOT: And that needs to be looked
42 at. I guess there was some concern. I thought I was
43 going to see a proposal on the number that people could
44 take during the -- on the State hunts.
45
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay. On this
47 regulation, I might have to ask a question to maybe, Jim,
48 if you put in a proposal, would you have to be a
49 government body, elected, RAC here or the advisory or
50 individually, how do you do this?
```

```
00054
1
            MR. MAGDANZ: For the State system?
2
3
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: For putting in a
  proposal.
6
            MR. MAGDANZ: Any individual.....
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Would you get to the
9 mike please. I mean like I say, if Percy wanted to put
10 in a proposal to change, like right now, currently, it's
11 five caribou a day and then he wanted to change it to
12 eight a day and then he wants to introduce this through a
13 proposal, can he do it himself or with the IRA Council or
14 city or RAC committee, in a group?
15
16
            MR. MAGDANZ: All of the above. An
17 individual or an organization could support a proposal
18 and the Board routinely fields proposals from all kinds
19 of groups as well as individuals.
2.0
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Great. You got that.
21
22
            MR. BALLOT: When, Mr. Chairman? Yeah.
24 When would we do that because if we could put it through
25 this RAC group I'd like it, now, to bring it through our
26 Council -- but if we could get it through the RAC to make
27 it 10 a day or whatever, that'd be a proposal that's
28 within -- you know, less than Federal and something that
29 people could live with transporting, hunting during the
30 later time of the year.
31
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay. I have a
33 question to some agencies here because, you know, it's
34 sort of a confusing regulation between the State and the
35 Federal, my question would be among the State and
36 Federal, is there any conflict between these two
37 agencies, let's say State has five day and Federal has 15
38 a day and I see it this way that -- I know there's no
39 closed season on Federal lands for subsistence, there's
40 no closed season on State lands for subsistence so how do
41 we work that out? Like we won't have to -- like say this
42 is State land makes Percy travel another 40 miles for the
43 Federal land to get 15 and I think that's a confusing
44 thing.
45
            MR. BOYD: Well, I think you're pointing
47 out, Mr. Chair, one of the, if you want to look at this
48 way, one of the problems or concerns about two systems of
49 management is that we sometimes have different
50 regulations in the same regions. And I guess if it's the
```

```
00055
1 desire of the Council to reconcile that you can propose
2 to either body, the State or the Federal Boards to make
3 appropriate changes to try to realign them. But again, I
4 don't know any easy answer to that question.
            But you know, maybe it's not a concern.
7 Maybe the differences are easily understood and people
8 know where to hunt and fish, you know, where there are
9 different regulations and how to avoid the potential to
10 be violating either State or Federal regulations. I
11 don't have any easy solutions for that, it's just the way
12 we are because we have two different managers managing
13 the same resources.
15
            MR. BALLOT: Mr. Chairman. Wouldn't that
16 be a concern, too, for people like from here if they're
17 going out, way up the river to go hunt caribou and come
18 back, wouldn't that be a concern about the limit, by boat
19 or whatever?
2.0
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Yeah.
21
22
            MR. BALLOT: So isn't that something we
```

24 should look at.
25
26 CHAIRMAN STONEY: That's a good point.
27
28 MR. BALLOT: The herd's at its best right
29 now.
30
31 CHAIRMAN STONEY: Yeah.

33 MR. BALLOT: They're healthy. And I 34 don't see why we can't make a request to up the limit for 35 the State hunt.

37 CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay. Otherwise, you 38 know, you see these forms here to change, a proposal, you 39 know, like I said, an IRA or somebody can make a proposal 40 to come through the chain of command and if it comes to 41 the attention we could do that.

43 MR. BALLOT: Okay, we'll work it out 44 then. 45

46 CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay. Lillian, you got 47 anything on change in the wildlife regulations? You 48 know, I'd like to hear from you guys, you know, I don't 49 want to decide for you guys, you guys decide. Like today 50 on regulations on the wildlife side, like I said earlier,

```
00056
1 it's five caribou a day on the State land and 15 on the
2 Federal land, so we all hunt on Federal land anyway.
           MS. JOHNSON: No, I don't think there's
5 not much change on this because we have a hard time
6 sometimes to get caribou and it's a long distance and
7 then when you get there more than five would be better,
8 15. Not much changes from us. The only one we -- we eat
9 meat, we're not living the cities, no Burger, no
10 McDonalds, only the meat we had in the stores, what we
11 have it's already spoiled on the way in freight and so
12 expensive, so high so we don't have to change on these --
13 what we take in our region.
14
15
            MR. MAGDANZ: Mr. Chair.
16
17
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Jim.
18
            MR. MAGDANZ: Just briefly, the Board of
20 Game meets for this region every other year. They met
21 last year. This year we're off cycle so we actually have
22 some time to put together a proposal to the Board. The
23 deadline typically will be in the spring next year and
24 the decision would be in October or November of 2003. So
25 you do have about six months to prepare a proposal for
26 the Board.
27
28
            Mr. Chair.
29
30
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Thank you. Comments.
31
            MS. JOHNSON: No.
32
33
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Joe, you got anything
35 on this item, call for changes on the proposals on
36 subsistence wildlife regulations? I'd like to hear from
37 the committee here and the agencies would.
            MR. AREY: Getting back to that caribou.
40 When people go up hunting from here or any place in
41 Alaska, and they go up Noatak they don't see no Federal
42 markers or State, they don't know which side they're on.
43 And then they say you have to get five from State and
44 they get it from Federal, why not just make them both the
45 same, just 15 or -- so they get enough meat. Because
46 once you go from here you don't see no boundary lines for
47 -- I go from here I'll be on State land and I'll get 15,
48 you know, if they make them the same you'd have more
```

49 chance and less confusion.

```
00057
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Enoch, you got
2 anything.
           ATTAMUK: I got no problem if Percy want
5 to increase it. Because the take right now for the State
6 is five per day per person and we do get more than that
7 once in a while but there's how many of us in the boat so
8 I could always say he gets so many. I got no real
9 problem increasing it. The State got five, the Feds got
10 15, let's compromise and say 10, like you say. And that
11 way we won't have to worry about the State regulations
12 say five, the Federal regulations say 15, we will have
13 one number on our mind and that way they won't have a
14 conflict within the State and the Federal. And because
15 right now our caribou are healthy and strong in numbers.
16 Because if they do, the State or the Fed do go concern
17 and the caribou start declining that's when we'll say
18 let's reduce the take. Right now it's healthy, I don't
19 think the Board of Game would have any problem increasing
20 it. Because we're talking about our unit from Barrow to
21 Nome and we are right in the middle, in the heart of it
22 here in Kotzebue and we're talking about Unit 23. But
23 we're talking about a herd that migrates from Barrow all
24 the way below -- the same herd. So I got no real
25 problems.
26
            You want to put the proposal in Percy, if
27
28 you get it from your IRA or you I will support you if you
29 come up with it.
31
            MR. BALLOT: Okay.
32
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Thank you, Enoch.
34 Okay, we're still on No. 9, anything from the public,
35 Federal subsistence wildlife regulations. Agencies.
36 Must be good. Tom.
37
            MR. BOYD: Mr. Chair, I would only add
39 that if any of you or if the Council is contemplating
40 putting together a proposal it must be into our office by
41 the 18th of October so that we have time to process it if
42 I heard you correctly.
43
44
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay. You get that
45 Percy.
46
47
            MR. BALLOT: Uh-huh.
48
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Good point. Anything
50 else on this No. 9, changes of wildlife regulations.
```

```
00058
            ATTAMUK: Let me ask, Tom, you got any
2 conflict with us coming up with then number 10, because
3 you got, the Federal got 15 and the State got five and
4 how do you come out with the number 15, that's a big
5 difference in numbers versus the State?
            MR. BOYD: I don't know the regulatory,
8 the history of this regulation. I can only surmise that
9 we started the program in 1990, that we adopted that
10 regulation from the State and then somewhere down the
11 line the State reduced it; is that correct, Jim? Maybe
12 Sandy has the answer.
13
14
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: We got two of you
15 there. Go ahead, Jim. Jim.
            MR. MAGDANZ: Mr. Chair, Jim Magdanz,
17
18 Fish and Game. I don't remember the specific regulatory
19 history here but the State's regulation in 1990, I
20 believe was five
21
            MR. BOYD: Was five.
22
23
            MR. MAGDANZ: And this Federal regulation
25 initially was five and maybe five years ago.....
            MR. RABINOWITCH: I'll speak to that in a
27
28 minute.
            MR. MAGDANZ: Okay, Sandy remembers the
31 history of it better.
            MR. RABINOWITCH: A little bit. What I
34 recall was that four or five, six years ago, Bert Greist
35 on your Council made the recommendation to go to 15 for
36 the Federal regulations, so there should be a pretty good
37 record in the transcript of that. What I also recall is
38 that the discussion and debate that led toward that
39 number largely based on winter hunting, using
40 snowmachines, and that 15 animals was about the most that
41 anybody could carry in sleds. So that was an efficient
42 number for people in the region based on the size of
43 sleds. That's what I recall.
45
            You know, how true that still is, you
46 know, snowmachines are getting more powerful and, you
47 know, things change, so I don't know about how things
48 have changed. But I think the transcript would bear out
```

49 that winter snowmachine use and sled size were the key

50 items.

```
00059
1
           ATTAMUK: Thank you.
2
3
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Anything else. So you
4 know what to do now Percy.
           MR. BALLOT: Yes, I'll make a proposal.
6
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay. I guess there's
9 no more from the agencies to change subsistence wildlife
10 regulations. I know it's a complicated thing, but we're
11 going along with it, we like the way it is.
            Okay. We're down to -- well, any time
13
14 you guys want to take a lunch let us know. I think most
15 of you are leaving tonight, right, from the agencies?
            MR. BOYD: Yes.
17
18
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: We'll be done -- well,
20 we'll be done sometime today. And since it's only 11:15,
21 I think we have time for the next item, No. 10, customary
22 trade. Okay, Tom. On your customary trade, it's on Tab
23 G.
24
25
            MR. BOYD: Mr. Chair.
26
27
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Tom.
            MR. BOYD: I'm going to be doing the
30 briefing on customary trade and I would like Mr. Carl
31 Jack, if he wants to accompany me, Carl's been working
32 very closely with this issue and may have some things to
33 add to what I say or may be able to answer questions that
34 I can't answer. So if that's okay with you.
35
36
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Sure. Sure.
37
            MR. BOYD: This is an issue that we've
39 been dealing with for, well, almost a couple of years
40 now. I would refer you to not only the short briefing
41 statement that we have under Tab G but also this document
42 here that has been distributed; is that correct, Steve?
43
44
            MR. FRIED: Not yet, it was mailed to
45 everybody.
            MR. BOYD: It was mailed to everyone and
48 we do have extra copies that we can distribute. Why
49 don't we do that because I'm going to be referring to it.
```

```
00060
1
           (Pause)
2
3
           ATTAMUK: You say this was mailed to
4 everyone?
           MR. BOYD: It was supposed to have been.
6
7 Did you receive it?
           ATTAMUK: Not me. And I usually get two,
10 one for my office and one for -- this time you failed me.
            MR. BOYD: Yes, we did.
12
13
14
            ATTAMUK: We need time to look at this.
15
16
            MR. BOYD: Yes, you do. Mr. Chair, if I
17 might, could I ask the Council, how many received this so
18 I know if others did not as well?
19
20
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: I got mine.
21
22
            MR. BOYD: Did you receive it?
23
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Yes, I got this one.
24
25 It was mailed to me, I think your office.
26
27
            MR. BOYD: Yes.
28
29
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: It was signed by Mitch.
30
            MR. BOYD: It was supposed to have been
32 mailed to all Council members and I just would like to
33 get some indication if others other than Attamuk did not
34 receive it.
35
36
            ATTAMUK: I did not. I would remember
37 it. I would have looked at it.
38
39
            MS. JOHNSON: I got mine too, somewhere.
40
41
            MR. BOYD: Percy, did you receive yours?
42
43
            MR. BALLOT: I've been traveling so it's
44 probably in Buckland.
45
46
            MR. BOYD: Okay. Joe, do you know?
47
48
            MR. AREY: First time I seen this.
49
50
            MR. BOYD: Okay. Well, I will go through
```

00061 1 this and if the Council is comfortable with taking action 2 on this then that would be my hope but if you aren't and 3 you haven't seen the material before and you need more 4 time I certainly understand that. But the Board is looking for a 7 recommendation from all of the Councils, including yours, 8 on the proposed regulation dealing with customary trade. 9 I'm going to be going through the points in this booklet 10 in my briefing. 11 In response to the public and Council 13 requests the Federal Subsistence Board, during their 14 meeting in May of this year, deferred action on the 15 proposed rule for customary trade until January 2003. So 16 I think that meeting is going to be on January the 14th, 17 2003, the Board is going to be taking this issue up and 18 the plan is to make a final rule that will be implemented 19 in the regulation change that would occur in the spring 20 of 2003. This decision provides an extended review 21 opportunity for the Regional Advisory Councils, the 22 public, the tribal organizations and the Federal and 23 State agencies. Since this meeting the Board has been 24 analyzing public, Council and agency comments received to 25 date and the supplemental materials that I've just handed 26 out to you in this booklet provided for your review are 27 the results of the analysis of all of the comments that 28 we received to date. 29 So I want to review with you today the 31 reasons why the issue of customary trade is before you. 32 Kind of a refresher of why we're here and why we're 33 discussing this. Title VIII specifically identifies 35 36 customary trade as a recognize part of subsistence uses. 37 The term customary trade is defined in regulations as the 38 cash sale of fish and wildlife resources to support 39 personal and family needs and does not include trade 40 which constitutes a significant commercial enterprise. 41 It is important to know the distinction between customary 42 trade and barter. Customary trade is the exchange of 43 subsistence resources for cash. Barter is defined as the 44 exchange of subsistence resources for something other

And in this case the proposed rule that 48 we're dealing with only deals with customary trade of 49 fish resources. We're not dealing with other resources 50 at this time.

45 than cash and is provided for also in Title VIII.

00062

The Federal Subsistence Board has found 2 that the term significant commercial enterprise is 3 unclear. That's one of the focuses of our review. The 4 lack of definition is hampering effective law enforcement 5 to prevent abuses. The Board wants to preserve 6 traditional customary trade practices and recognize 7 regional differences while preventing abuse. And that's really the focus of what we're 10 about here. The proposed rule adopted by the Board in 13 December of 2001, you can see that on Page 1 of the 14 briefing document. I think you'll have to turn past the 15 cover letter that's in the first page and you'll see down 16 at the bottom it's annotated Page 1. This page 17 essentially is the proposed rule that was published in 18 December of 2001. It recommends that no dollar limit be 19 set on exchange for cash of subsistence caught fish, 20 parts or eggs between rural residents. The proposed rule 21 prohibits such exchanges for fisheries business whether 22 rural or non-rural. However, the exchange for cash 23 between rural residents and others would be allowed as 24 long as the exchange does not make up a significant 25 commercial enterprise. I think you can see from reading this 27 28 that some of the terms that are unclear are still in it. 29 I think this was sort of a compromise for the Board, they 30 wanted to get the proposed rule out and get some public 31 reaction to it. So I would characterize it as a 32 continuing work in progress. 33 The public comments received as a result 35 of the publication of the proposed rulemaking generally 36 fell into three categories or alternatives. And I would 37 refer you to Page 3 of the briefing document, it starts 38 with alternative one and goes through Page 7, I believe 39 -- yeah, Page 7. The bulk of the comments supported 40 either alternative one or two. Alternative one you'll 41 see on Page 3 is take no action which is the same as the 42 proposed rule on Page 1. And alternative two is some 43 limitation on implementation. I'll go through these in a 44 minute. Alternative three is a result of recommendations 45 during public meetings held by the 10 Councils this past 46 winter. 47 So let me now summarize the alternatives. 49 And I won't go into detail and you may have questions but 50 I won't go into detail on all of these but they're in the

```
00063
1 book before you if you could just follow along with me.
3
            Alternative one on Page 3. This option
4 would maintain the status quo, i.e., the proposed rule
5 which permits customary trade unless it results in a
6 significant commercial enterprise. In the future, any
7 perceived abuses would be addressed on a case by case
8 basis with appropriate regulatory language. This would
9 be responsive to comments questioning the need for any
10 new regulation or change to present regulations regarding
11 customary trade.
12
             Let me take a little time here because I
13
14 think this may get a little confusing. But on Page 3
15 you'll notice down at the bottom in the italics language
16 is the actual regulatory language that we're talking
17 about. You'll see under Section 26(11), (12) and (13)
18 are sort of the key provisions under this rulemaking.
19 Section 11 or number 11 deals with permitting customary
20 trade so long as it does not constitute a significant
21 commercial enterprise but recognizes -- allows that the
22 Board may recognize regional differences and define
23 customary trade differently for separate regions of the
24 state, which would call for additional regulations beyond
25 this.
26
             Part 12 basically says that individuals,
27
28 businesses or organizations may not purchase subsistence
29 taken fish, their parts, their eggs for use or resale to
30 a significant commercial enterprise. Understand that
31 that phrase significant commercial enterprise is not
32 defined here so it still leaves some lingering questions.
33
             Part 13. basically says you can't resell
35 subsistence taken fish or their parts or eggs -- let's
36 see -- well, okay, you may not receive, if you're a
37 significant commercial enterprise subsistence taken fish
38 or resell it.
39
             So those are the three main provisions.
41 So the other alternatives sort of take off from those
42 three provisions and we make some changes in the
43 alternatives to those provisions. Alternative two would
44 limit some of the things that I just mentioned under
45 alternative one. This option would prohibit subsistence
46 caught fish from entering the commercial market while
47 permitting customary trade practices between individuals
48 to continue. This option would be responsive to comments
49 that the primary concern is to prevent subsistence caught
50 fish from entering commercial markets.
```

```
00064
```

And you'll see the change really is in 2 number 13 in this case. You can't sell it to a licensed 3 fisheries business. If you're a licensed fisheries 4 business you may not purchase subsistence taken fish and 5 the sale of subsistence taken fish or their parts or eggs 6 cannot be made -- I'm trying to paraphrase it, the sale 7 of subsistence taken fish, their parts or eggs, purchased 8 or otherwise acquired by an individual or business other 9 than a fisheries business is prohibited. So it basically 10 limits it from going into commercial markets in a 11 nutshell. 12 Alternative three which starts on Page 4 13 14 there in the middle, would implement paragraphs 11 and 12 15 again on a regional basis following the guidelines 16 recommended by the Regional Advisory Councils last 17 winter. Where there is no Regional Council 18 recommendations, paragraphs 11 and 12 would be as 19 proposed in alternative one the proposed rule. Paragraph 20 13, again, would be implemented as presented in 21 alternative two, what I just covered. And so if I haven't confused you take 23 24 time to think about that. Let's take a closer look at 25 that and you can look at the italics language starting at 26 the bottom of Page 4 and going through Page 5 for Part 27 11, we permit customary trade except based on the 28 following conditions starting at Page 5 at the top. The 29 conditions go from A to C there. And it really talks 30 about specific areas. So in this case, for your region, 31 let me think about this a second. 32 33 ATTAMUK: Ours was a thousand I thought. 34 MR. BOYD: That would be under 12, I'm 36 looking under 11. So it would be as in the proposed 37 rule. See you'd have to go back to so long as does not 38 constitute a significant commercial enterprise. I think 39 that's right. Because I don't see one here for the 40 Northwest Arctic region. Am I tracking that correct, 41 Steve? 42 MR. FRIED: I think so. 43 44 MR. BOYD: Yeah. On 12, there is a 46 provision that -- let's see, this is transactions between 47 rural residents and others, other than rural residents, I 48 mean, the exchange for cash between rural residents and 49 individuals other than rural residents in customary trade 50 is permitted subject to the following conditions.

```
00065
            A, that they not enter commerce at any
2 point. And then starting at B, deals with specifically
3 the Yukon Northern Fishery Management area. Is that this
4 area?
5
            MR. FRIED: No.
6
            MR. BOYD: That's not this area, okay. I
9 don't see any provision in here for your region so it
10 would fall back again to the proposed rule under 12.
             And then on 13 it was, as I stated under
12
13 alternative two, so I won't repeat that.
15
             In short, alternative three would respond
16 to the comments that differing regional practices and
17 needs must be provided for and would prohibit subsistence
18 caught fish from entering into commercial market, to be
19 effective a system of recordkeeping would need to be
20 instituted if regional regulations limit the amount of
21 fish, exchange for cash or the amount of cash exchanged.
22 You can see that in some cases, some of the regions
23 recommended a cash limitation on customary trade sales to
24 others, i.e., Bristol Bay $400 annually and the Aleutian
25 Islands/Kodiak 500, et cetera, so that was some of the
26 ideas that came up from some of the other regions.
27
             Let me pause just to see if there are any
29 questions. I know I kind of touched on things real
30 quickly and it's a little confusing to follow.
             ATTAMUK: I got one, Enoch. I still got
33 a headache with the numbers that they're trying to put in
34 there, cash value, of a dollar for customary trade.
35 Because if they -- my feeling is if they put a dollar
36 amount and they were talking about a thousand dollars for
37 our region here when Bert was working on this and when I
38 followed it at a couple different meetings, they come up
39 with a thousand dollar cash value but the dollar value is
40 dropping. The thousand dollars could be worth pennies
41 next year. And I don't want to see -- I still got
42 headaches, I don't see cash value, dollar amount put in
43 because that's the way the agencies could cite the
44 Natives.
45
             So my strong point I would like to see in
47 here, no subsistence taken fish shall be sold to any
48 commercial, just plain barter. That's the way I'd like
49 to see it here. Because I don't want to see cash value
```

50 as a way to cite our people. But the dollar amount, if

```
00066
1 they use the dollar amount, you know, gas now is $150 or
2 $250 in the village, tomorrow it could be $500 and that
3 dollar value is going to be no good pretty quick.
            I hope you get my point of view. The
6 dollar value, 1,000 per year per individual up to 5,000
7 is going to be no good. And if we put a number in there
8 that's going to be the regulation for the next how many
9 years until it's changed and it's going to be harder to
10 change in the future if we put a number in there now.
11 Okay, but I don't want to see commercial fish completely
12 -- for commercial -- for subsistence fish taken and
13 traded for commercial, any licensed vendor, completely.
            MR. BOYD: I believe that the
15
16 recommendation or that your comment could be formulated
17 into a recommendation by the Council but I'm not sure
18 what you want to do as a Council because I think
19 that's.....
2.0
            ATTAMUK: Because I was telling Bert.....
21
22
23
            MR. BOYD: .....what we're looking for.
            ATTAMUK: What I was telling Bert at the
26 time when I followed him, I said, I don't want to see
27 dollar value and I'm going to stick to my point of view
28 completely when Bert was handling it and he was picked,
29 you know, that I was -- I don't want to see dollar value
30 for one simple reason. But I don't want to see any kind
31 of subsistence fish taken and be sold to any commercial
32 licensed person. That should be a no-no, completely.
33
            MR. FRIED: On Page 16, it shows the
35 Northwest Arctic Regional Council's recommendations.....
36
37
            ATTAMUK: Yes.
38
            MR. FRIED: .....to date and I think
40 that's basically what you're speaking to.
42
            ATTAMUK: Yes.
```

MR. FRIED: It was to recommend adopting

43

44

46 47

48

49 50

45 Sections 11 and 13.....

ATTAMUK: Yes.

MR. FRIED:as written.

```
00067
1
            ATTAMUK: Uh-huh.
2
           MR. FRIED: Which would mean exchange
4 between rural residents, you know, is permitted. 13 was
5 no purchase by fisheries business.
7
            ATTAMUK: Yes.
8
            MR. FRIED: And then 12, transaction
10 between a rural resident and others, the recommendation
11 from this Council at that point was its total cash value
12 is not limited. So it didn't put a dollar amount there
13 so basically that's currently what the recommendations
14 are. So I guess the question is, are there any other
15 comments or does the Council still stand by that
16 recommendation?
17
            MR. BOYD: Mr. Chair, I paused just to
18
19 see if there were questions on the contents of the
20 alternatives and then I was going to go on and brief you
21 on the next steps and then refer back to the Council on
22 developing recommendations, if that's what you would like
23 me to do.
24
25
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: (Nods affirmatively)
26
27
            MR. BOYD: Okay. Are there other
28 questions then from the Council?
30
            MS. JOHNSON: I got a question.
31
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Lillian.
32
33
            MS. JOHNSON: Is these fishing people.
35 the hunting -- trapping and fishing, did everybody have
36 to have license for that? I kind of hear some kind of
37 talk this summer but I don't understand. Especially when
38 you're getting older and you got permanent license for
39 that, do you have to get another one for some reason?
41
            MR. BOYD: The Federal requirement for
42 hunting licenses is to have a State hunting license, just
43 to have that in your possession. There are no
44 requirements for a fishing license for subsistence
45 Federal regulations.
46
47
            MS. JOHNSON: Yeah, I heard that back in
48 last month, sometime ago, that worry about getting
49 fishing license. And some of us have permanent already
50 because we're old enough to have it. And these young
```

```
00068
```

```
1 people need to have it every year or how often they
2 change their fishing license? On this customary trade,
3 if somebody like -- like these take part of the fish and
4 sell it, do they have to have license number in there if
5 there are changes -- I don't think there will be change.
6 Like permit you're talking about right now, do they have
7 to have permit to....
            MR. BOYD: I'm looking under one of the
10 alternatives.
            MS. JOHNSON: Like at this 13 -- 11.
12
13
14
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: 11.
15
16
            MS. JOHNSON: On 4, like you have to get
17 regulation on this part or permit, subject to the
18 following conditions.
2.0
            MR. BOYD: Oh, I see where you're at.
21
            MS. JOHNSON: And beside that, I remember
23 the license because I heard that license talk about not
24 too long ago and I just tried to find out if it has to be
25 everyone have license for fishing, not for subsistence
26 right?
27
28
            MR. BOYD: Not for subsistence.
30
            MS. JOHNSON: Yeah, that's the one I want
31 to clarify.
            MR. BOYD: Yes. Except where a permit is
33
34 required you must have a permit and I'm not sure that you
35 have that requirement in your area. In your area no
36 subsistence fishing permit is required.
37
38
            MS. JOHNSON: Okay.
39
40
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Are you about done,
41 Tom?
42
43
            MR. BOYD: (Nods affirmatively)
44
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay, I think that from
46 the State side we got more information on what Lillian
47 said about permit fishing license and so this customary
48 trade, what's Lillian's concerned about, what kind of
49 permit do you need, you know, to sell your subsistence
50 catch individually, with that permit hunting license for
```

```
00069
1 people, for hunting and fishing.
3
            MR. MAGDANZ: Okay, Mr. Chair. Jim
4 Magdanz, Fish and Game. The State has a permanent
5 license that's available free to anyone 60 years of age
6 or older for hunting and fishing. And it's a plastic
7 card and once you have that you do not need to purchase a
8 hunting or fishing license ever again. For subsistence
9 fishing which is defined in most areas by gear type, that
10 is, if you use a net to fish, you do not need a fishing
11 license. A fishing license applies to sport gear which
12 is rod and reel, in most cases. So if you jig through
13 the ice that's subsistence. If you set a net or you
14 seine, that's subsistence, you do not need any kind of
15 State license to do that.
             In some areas rod and reel are becoming
17
18 recognized as subsistence gear. It's not the case here
19 yet but in Norton Sound and AY-K area there are places
20 where rod and reel are subsistence gear. You do not need
21 a fishing license to use a rod and reel in those areas
22 because it is subsistence. Up here a rod and reel is
23 still sport gear so you do need a license up here.
25
             Customary trade is a subsistence use and
26 so if you caught salmon or whitefish or trout with
27 subsistence gear and you wanted to engage in customary
28 trade, you could do that without additional permits. But
29 there's a conflict in State regs that has never really
30 been fully resolved and the conflict is there's a
31 regulation, there's a blanket prohibition on the sale of
32 subsistence caught fish. On the other hand there's a law
33 that says you can engage in customary trade. And it's
34 this kind of conflict that the Federal program is trying
35 to address straight on through this process that you're
36 in right now, to provide for customary trade. The State
37 has not done that and it's an issue that the State system
38 so far as dealt with on a case by case basis. It has
39 allowed a few customary trade fisheries in the State and
40 set them up in regulations and their permits. But we
41 don't have any of those up here now.
42
43
            Is that helpful?
44
45
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Does that help a little
46 bit?
47
            MS. JOHNSON: Yeah, that's it.
48
49
             CHAIRMAN STONEY: Thanks, Jim. Tom.
```

```
00070
            MR. BOYD: Are there other questions on
2 the regulations, the proposed regulations or the
3 alternatives? If there are none then I'll move on to the
4 schedule that we're operating under so that you know
5 where we're going.
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Tom, on this customary
8 trade I was reading this quite a bit, several times, but
9 I found it someplace here that subsistence fish caught
10 cannot be sold to any business, right, any commercial
11 business, that's how it was written, on some of the pages
12 here if I could find it it says also non-recreational --
13 what it means is let's say we got a dog musher here and
14 it says, well, no cash value but you cannot sell your
15 subsistence fish caught recreationally that means dog
16 racing so they cannot be used for dogs at all; is that
17 correct?
18
19
            MR. BOYD: I think you're referring to
20 another regulation and I'm trying to sort this out.
21
            MR. BALLOT: It's 17.
22
23
24
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay.
25
26
            MR. BOYD: Yeah, where are you at, Percy.
27
            ATTAMUK: It's Page 17. The one he's
28
29 referring to is on Page 17, it's for commercial dog team.
30 Down, further down.
31
32
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: The last one down.
33
34
            MR. BOYD: Yeah, these are under the
35 summary of Council comments.
36
37
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay.
38
            MR. BOYD: And this is under Eastern
40 Interior, right. And I think what they're doing here is
41 providing a definition, at least near the bottom of Page
42 17, commercial dog team, I guess they refer to it in
43 their comments. I'm looking for where they refer to it.
44 But this is not a regulation if that's what you're
45 referring to, is that right Raymond?
46
47
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Yeah. Yeah.
48
            MR. BOYD: This is only comments from the
50 Eastern Interior Council.
```

```
00071
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay.
1
2
            MR. BOYD: I'm looking for where they
4 refer to it. And I think the concern there, if I
5 remember correctly, is they wanted to look at these
6 commercial businesses as dog -- need large quantities of
7 fish to feed them and they want to prevent the sale of
8 subsistence taken fish to these entities. Yeah, it says
9 any person with an Alaska State business license who runs
10 commercial dog teams is not allowed to buy or feed
11 subsistence caught fish to dogs, also applies to non-
12 Alaska businesses. And I think that's probably covered
13 in some of the alternative language where we say that if
14 you're -- where we say the sale of subsistence taken
15 fish, their parts or their eggs purchased or otherwise
16 acquired by any individual or business, other than
17 fisheries businesses is prohibited. They're looking that
18 as a business, it's licensed.
            So in a sense, if you adopted that
21 regulatory language it would prevent the sale of
22 subsistence taken fish to commercial dog operations.
24
            Other questions.
25
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Any questions for Tom
26
27 on customary trade. And you want action or just
28 recommendations for an approval?
            MR. BOYD: Well, the Board will be
31 looking for a recommendation or recommendations from your
32 Council. It can be based on any of these three
33 alternatives or any other alternative or recommendation
34 that you might have. You may even have regional specific
35 recommendations.
            Let me just kind of go over the next
37
38 steps with you on Page 9 just to show you where we've
39 been and where we're going with this issue. Currently
40 we're at step number 3 on this page. We're seeking
41 comments or recommendations from the Councils at this set
42 of meetings, all the Councils. The comment period, this
43 extended comment period will run through November 1 of
44 this year. Staff Committee will meet this fall later and
45 develop recommendations to the Board. The Board will
46 then meet on January the 14th to review all of this
47 information and try to develop a final rule, which then
48 will be implemented effective in March of 2003.
49
50
            So that's kind of where we're going. The
```

```
00072
1 Board is going to be meeting in January. I presume,
2 Raymond, you will be there to articulate the Councils
3 concerns again to the Board. And that's where we're
  going with this.
6
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay.
            MR. BOYD: So you know, in summary, the
9 Board is requesting the Council to review the material in
10 this booklet and specifically make recommendations that
11 would assist the Board in defining customary trade. The
12 Councils are requested to review their earlier
13 recommendation which is on Page 16 of this booklet. You
14 see it there toward the upper middle part of the page.
15 And either modify or reaffirm this recommendation. The
16 Council's final recommendation should address whether you
17 want to define the limits of a significant commercial
18 enterprise, should a limit be placed on the exchange of
19 cash between rural and others. Should a limit be
20 established for the exchange of cash between rural
21 residents. And how will limitations for defining
22 customary trade effect subsistence needs, tradition and
23 the values of the subsistence way of life.
25
            Those are some thoughts that we put
26 together on what you might address on this issue.
             That's all I have, Mr. Chair. So now
29 we're asking you to develop a recommendation.
31
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Did you want
32 recommendations on these, section by section or just the
33 whole thing, 11, 12 and 13 or just one umbrella?
            MR. BOYD: It's really up to you. It's
35
36 what might be helpful to you. I think if you took it step
37 by step, point by point 11, 12 and 13, you might be able
38 to focus a bit more. If you have general comments we'll
39 take those as well.
40
41
            MR. BALLOT: Mr. Chairman.
42
```

CHAIRMAN STONEY: Percy.

MR. BALLOT: I suggest we break for lunch

CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay. How much time

43

46 and come back and do this.

49 you want for lunch?

```
00073
           MR. BALLOT: 1:30.
1
2
3
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: 1:30?
5
           MR. BALLOT: Yeah.
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay, since this issue
8 of customary trade is a very important step to all of us
9 up here in Northwest Alaska, not only here just about
10 everywhere, there's a number of languages in there that
11 we have to work with with all the agencies, so we'll come
12 back after lunch and continue with this customary trade
13 and we'll be back here by 1:30. Is that good enough --
14 okay.
15
            (Off record)
16
17
            (On record)
18
19
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Good afternoon. I hope
21 you guys all had a good lunch and you're all ready to go.
22 I'll call the meeting back to order, we're a little over
23 1:30 now.
24
25
            We're still at customary trade,
26 explanation and discussion on customary trade. Any
27 further discussion before we take action, Tom, on this
28 customary trade, any more discussions?
            MR. BOYD: I finished my briefing, Mr.
31 Chair, and I guess I would just repeat that the Board is
32 looking for recommendations from the Councils -- from
33 your Council at this point and you may want to look at
34 Page 16, the last comments and recommendations that you
35 made to see if you want to either adopt that or go in
36 another direction after receiving this information.
37
38
            MR. BALLOT: Mr. Chair.
39
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Percy.
40
41
            MR. BALLOT: On the last meeting we went
43 with 11, 13 and 12, but for 12 with each household member
44 not limited but it would be, like pro's or con's of
45 whatever, like putting a thousand dollar number per
46 household or per household member.
47
48
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: $1,000 per household.
49
50
            MR. BALLOT: Uh-huh.
```

```
00074
1
           ATTAMUK: No.
2
3
           MR. BALLOT: Per household member.
4
5
           ATTAMUK: Raymond, it was $1,000 per
6 person.
8
           MR. BALLOT: Per person.
10
            ATTAMUK: Uh-huh.
11
12
            MR. BALLOT: Okay.
13
14
            ATTAMUK: It was $1,000 per person.
15
16
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: It was $1,000 per
17 person?
18
19
            ATTAMUK: Yes.
20
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay. And now.....
21
22
            ATTAMUK: So if you have 12 people you
23
24 got it made.
25
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: 12,000.
26
27
28
            ATTAMUK: That's what 1,000 per person
29 means, yeah.
31
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: So Tom, do you want
32 recommendations individually, 11, 13 and then 12 or do
33 you want one whole thing at one time?
            MR. BOYD: Well, I think the Council
35
36 needs to look at 11, 12 and 13 and to see if that, the
37 way we've structured those provisions are the way you
38 would like to see these regulations develop.
39
40
            I mean obviously each of the alternatives
41 sort of follows that order of things.
42
43
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay.
44
            MR. BOYD: However, you may look at it in
46 a different way and decide that you would like to
47 structure it in a different way. Obviously the way this
48 is laid out in the three alternatives is sort of the
49 culmination of getting public input, Council input prior
50 to this time. We tried to craft alternatives that
```

```
1 addressed everyone's concerns so there's been a lot of
2 work to date on the way we've structured this. When we
3 started this process we had one member from each of the
4 10 Councils meeting over a series of meetings with
5 Federal and State Staff to sort of get the ball rolling
6 and develop an approach. So there's been a lot of
7 thought put into what we've done to date.
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Yes.
10
            MR. BOYD: But as you have looked at
12 this, you can make any recommendation you want and we
13 provided a structure. I would recommend that you look
14 hard at the way we've done it but you may want to go in a
15 different direction as well. So I'm not saying yes or
16 no.
17
18
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay. Well, right now
19 it's up to this committee now to decide what do they
20 really want like -- what's your Eskimo name over there?
21
22
            ATTAMUK: Attamuk.
23
24
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Attamuk.
25
26
            MS. JOHNSON: Attamuk.
27
28
            MR. BALLOT: Attamuk.
29
30
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: He said .....
31
            ATTAMUK: Under discussion.....
32
33
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Well, before it was
35 introduced as $1,000 per person now you said because he's
36 got 12 kids he'd wind up with 12,000 in just two days so
37 -- so on these 11, 12 and 13 on Page 18 and 19, there's
38 paragraphs in them. Now, like Tom said, since you guys
39 are here today -- I know -- I guess you're probably not
40 going home today anyway, the committee, except for the
41 Staff, it's very important that you guys look at this
42 paragraph very closely and then if you guys got any
43 questions, Tom is here and Steve is here and they're here
44 to answer some of the questions you might have. Of
45 course there's other advisory committees in other parts
46 of the state that got different ideas and lesser numbers
47 on the money. So I'll leave it up to you guys to start
48 off with 11 and then 12 and 13 and give Tom your
49 recommendations by action.
50
```

```
00076
           ATTAMUK: Raymond.
1
2
3
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Yeah.
           ATTAMUK: Under discussion, I'd like to
5
6 recommend that we take it with the 1,000 per person limit
7 with CIP included in there because -- index pricing --
8 due to the price of go up we have to relook or reevaluate
9 the 1,000 under CIP, conservative index pricing, you do
10 it -- go up, you know, otherwise 10 years from now it's
11 still going to be at $1,000 with a limit to it. Is it
12 possible for us to do it like that?
13
14
            MR. BOYD: Well, if you wanted to state a
15 limit now.
            ATTAMUK: I could live with a limit of
17
18 1,000 dollars.
            MR. BOYD: I mean these regulations could
20
21 be revisited by the Council at a future date as well.
23
            ATTAMUK: Uh-huh.
24
            MR. BOYD: If that's what you're
26 concerned about. I mean if you try to build in an
27 increase now, it may not -- I mean it may be difficult to
28 interpret what that means. You may want to just look at
29 it now and then look at it a year or two or three from
30 now if you want to go in that direction.
31
            ATTAMUK: Because the thousand dollars my
33 grandpa gave me doesn't go far anymore. You know, 50
34 years ago when he give it to me. I'll take it just with
35 the 1,000 dollar limit I'm pretty happy with it, per
36 person, at any -- with the exception of any subsistence
37 fish -- not sold to any commercial, completely. Which I
38 know it states here in it but I'm really backing up that
39 one big time, no subsistence fish taken or sold to any
40 dog mushers out there. Most dog mushers are under
41 commercial now anyhow.
42
43
            MR. BALLOT: Second.
44
45
            ATTAMUK: What?
46
47
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: That's a motion?
48
            ATTAMUK: No, I was just doing it under
50 comments.
```

```
00077
1
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay.
2
3
            ATTAMUK: I would put it under a motion
4 if you wanted me to.
           MR. BOYD: Mr. Chair.
6
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Tom.
10
            MR. BOYD: I would have a question with
11 if you're discussing a limit, would that be under 12
12 then?
13
14
            ATTAMUK: It was under -- I'm pretty sure
15 it was under 12.
            MR. BOYD: The sale to -- between rural
17
18 residents and others?
            ATTAMUK: Under our region here, Region
21 8, Northwest Alaska, we had a limit of $1,000 someplace
22 in the customary trade that Bert was working on and I
23 don't see it under anywhere for our Region 8. Am I
24 right, Willie, on that one, Bert had it under a $1,000
25 limit per person?
            MR. GOODWIN: Yes, Mr. Chairman. That
27
28 was the topic of discussion that Bert had when he was on
29 the task force.
31
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Yes.
            MR. GOODWIN: We discussed it at some
34 length because there's a couple of species that -- and
35 the unanswered question of whether or not dry fish is
36 processed as part of the customary trade thing, I never
37 did get a clear answer on that. Because there was some --
38 there is dried fish that's bartered and traded or sold
39 amongst each other.
41
            The other two species that were of real
42 concern to us is the sheefish and the trout, we know that
43 happens. We know there's potential abuse of the sheefish
44 situation here in Kotzebue in Hotham Inlet. So we're
45 certainly concerned about that. That's why we're
46 proposing a limit. But on the other hand, we didn't want
47 to leave it completely closed because we do have familiar
48 that live in and around Hotham Inlet that do sell
49 sheefish during the winter. So we were kind of leery
50 about closing them down on a complete stop of this sale
```

```
00078
1 of subsistence caught fish.
3
            So your suggestion on a thousand per
4 person is something that's probably acceptable today and
5 can be revisited probably later on as things change. You
6 know, we also want to leave the opportunity available for
7 the people of Noatak and Kivalina with their fresh caught
8 trout during the winter.
10
            Thank you.
11
             CHAIRMAN STONEY: Thank you, Willie.
12
13
14
             ATTAMUK: So could I ask -- Enoch here
15 again. So like I was asking, since Willie brought the
16 first part up, in the future, could we revisit this
17 proposal or make changes in the future because we're
18 talking about mainly whitefish right now? But we know
19 there's a market out there for trout. Whether we like it
20 or not, trout is a hot item even in the commercial
21 fishing in the late fall season. I know the trout will
22 go -- right now commercial fishing in a hurry first than
23 the chum and they'll get a better price for it. Because
24 I try to buy my same trout, what I sold down there in
25 Anchorage and I couldn't believe it was over $3 a pound
26 down there after they get it for a quarter here.
27
28
            MR. BOYD: Mr. Chair.
29
30
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Tom.
31
            MR. BOYD: Would you ask the question
33 again, I'm not sure I understood it.
             ATTAMUK: On the trout, like Willie
35
36 stated, there's possible -- potential for subsistence
37 caught char to be sold individually even amongst the
38 villages, from the Noatak and the youngsters could sell
39 trout to each other and that could easily hit the limit
40 of $1,000 in a hurry because a sack of trout right now
41 will sell for 250 to $300 and this is only this 100 pound
42 gunnysack, whether it's a hundred pounds or not, I know
43 they'll sell for 250 because I've seen them selling at
44 that price and the kid will have five, six sacks in his
45 sled
46
47
             We have to look into that, too. We're
48 all trying to be careful here.....
50
            MR. BOYD: Sure.
```

```
00079
            ATTAMUK: .....I am, I don't know what
2 Joe have to say about that, it's not only Kivalina, it's
3 not only Noatak, you know, there's a lot of villages and
4 that happens with sheefish in a hurry.
            MR. BOYD: Well, if you're asking if
6
7 trout, char are covered under any of these.....
            ATTAMUK: Yes.
10
            MR. BOYD: .....alternatives the answer
12 is yes because it deals with fish.
14
            ATTAMUK: Okay.
15
16
            MR. BOYD: So it's all fish. And I guess
17 I would take it a step further and suggest that currently
18 under say alternative one, no action under 11, you can
19 sell an unlimited number or amount of fish to other rural
20 residents, i.e., between residents of the region if you
21 will.
22
23
            ATTAMUK: Uh-huh.
24
            MR. BOYD: It's 12 where you take it
26 outside the region and sell to others -- other entities
27 outside the region. And I'm not sure it's worded that
28 way, it just says, others and rural residents so just --
29 so that's where generally people have been coming in with
30 dollar limits, where some of the other Councils have
31 recommended dollar limits. Generally they haven't
32 recommended limits under 11 where you sell within the
33 region.
            ATTAMUK: So what you're saying, we could
35
36 take recommendation 11 just the way it is, residents
37 could sell without -- unlimited.
38
39
            MR. BOYD: Within the region.
40
41
            ATTAMUK: Within the region.
42
43
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: In the region, yes.
            MR. BOYD: Yeah. And I think the
46 recognition there is generally that it's somewhat self-
47 limiting, it's not a big market.
48
49
            ATTAMUK: It's not a -- yes.
50
```

```
00080
           MR. BOYD: And it's probably going on
2 anyway, so that's what some of the feeling has been.
            ATTAMUK: Uh-huh.
           MR. BOYD: But 12 is where we felt that
7 it was getting into marketing outside of the region and
8 having a buyer come in and that sort of thing.
10
            ATTAMUK: Right.
11
            MR. BOYD: So that's where other Councils
13 have looked at it and said that's where we need to put
14 some kind of a cap on it or not allow it all.
15
16
            ATTAMUK: So they want us to pick the 11,
17 12 or 13 then or we could say accept 11.....
18
19
            MR. BOYD: No, no.
2.0
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: No. Individually.
21
22
23
            MR. FRIED: No, no.
24
25
            MR. BOYD: Yeah, you could address each
26 one individually because one deals with sales.....
28
            ATTAMUK: Okay.
29
30
            MR. BOYD: .....within the area, one
31 deals with sales out of the area.....
33
            ATTAMUK: Okay, I got you, thanks.
34
            MR. BOYD: .....if you will and then 13
36 deals with sales to individual businesses basically.
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: So you're waiting now
39 for recommendations now on these three sections, 11 12
40 and 13 and you require action.
41
            MR. BOYD: Mr. Chair, I'll just remind
43 you that on Page 16 last time you recommended adopting
44 Sections 11 and 13 and 12 with the regional limitations,
45 it says option four. That was in the last set of options
46 and I don't remember what that was. But you said, for
47 Section 12, include the total cash value per household
48 member of salmon taken in the Kotzebue area exchange in
49 customary trade or barter to others is not limited.
50
```

```
00081
           ATTAMUK: But we still need to put a
2 limit, it would look better if we.....
           MR. BOYD: I'm just reminding you of what
5 you said the last time.
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Yes.
7
8
           ATTAMUK: Uh-huh.
10
           MR. BOYD: I mean you have that
11
12 prerogative.
13
14
           ATTAMUK: Okay.
15
16
           MR. BOYD: It might be easier to take
17 these one at a time and kind of break them down.
18
19
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Yeah.
20
21
           MR. BOYD: That may help you focus your
22 discussion.
23
24
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Yeah.
25
26
           MR. BALLOT: Mr. Chairman.
27
28
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Percy.
29
30
           MR. BALLOT: Well, I'll just move to
31 approve, going along with Section 11 on customary trade.
32
33
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: As written?
34
35
           MR. BALLOT: As is.
36
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay, Okay, there's a
37
38 motion from Percy on Section 11 as written.
39
40
           ATTAMUK: I'll second.
41
42
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Second by Enoch. Any
43 further discussions on Section 11.
44
45
           MR. BOYD: Clarification, Mr. Chair.
46
47
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Tom.
48
           MR. BOYD: That means as written in
50 alternative one or the proposed rule?
```

```
00082
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Uh-huh.
1
2
3
           MR. BOYD: Okay.
5
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Any further discussion.
6
           MR. BALLOT: Question.
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Question's been called
10 for. Any objection to the question. If none, all in
11 favor of Section 11 to approve signify by saying aye.
12
13
           IN UNISON: Aye.
14
15
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Opposed.
16
17
           (No opposing votes)
18
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay. Tom, that
19
20 Section 11 is adopted as written. Section 11.
21
           MR. BOYD: Okay.
22
23
           ATTAMUK: Just to make it clear we should
24
25 say which page are we agreeing to on the customary number
26 11 is -- how many different references here you're using.
27
28
           MR. BOYD: That's why I was asking.
29
           ATTAMUK: Yes, how many different
31 references -- we need to get our stuff together and say
32 we're talking about what page and you could all read it.
33 I think we might be all looking at Page 15, 14, 16, so
34 let's refer to one page.
35
36
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay.
37
38
           ATTAMUK: Okay.
39
40
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Next item is Section
41 12.
42
43
           MS. MEYERS: Page 59.
44
45
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay.
46
47
           MS. MEYERS: 59, the original proposed
48 rule, is that what you're asking?
49
           ATTAMUK: Yeah, I'm asking -- excuse me,
50
```

```
00083
1 Raymond.
3
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Yeah.
4
5
           ATTAMUK: Back to that.....
6
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: 11.
           ATTAMUK: Yeah, 11. We need to all agree
10 with Number 11, or on 11 -- but they're talking about
11 different regions for number 11, see.
12
13
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Oh.
14
           ATTAMUK: See, we're talking about our
15
16 region, number 11 and we're on Page 16 and that's all I
17 want to do is make sure we're on the right page and we're
18 all agreeing to it. You understand what I'm saying,
19 Percy?
20
21
           MR. BALLOT: Yes.
22
23
           ATTAMUK: Your reference.
24
25
           MR. JACK: Mr. Chairman.
26
27
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Yeah.
28
           MR. JACK: The proposed rule that was
30 acted by the Board is on Page 1 of the supplemental
31 material, that is the proposed rule. So I guess your
32 action on 11 is within the context of 11 on Page 1.
33
34
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay.
35
36
           MR. BALLOT: Transaction between rural
37 residents.
38
39
           ATTAMUK: Okay.
40
41
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay. Okay?
42
43
           ATTAMUK: Uh-huh.
44
45
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Yeah. So we're on 11?
46
47
           MR. BALLOT: Uh-huh.
48
49
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay.
50
```

```
00084
1
           ATTAMUK: Uh-huh, 11.
2
3
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: And that's a move on 11
4 and a second?
6
           MR. BALLOT: Right.
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay. Any further
9 discussion on proposed rule Section 11. Further
10 discussion.
11
12
           MR. BALLOT: Question.
13
14
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Question's been called
15 for. Objection to the question on Section 11 on the
16 proposed rule. If not, all in favor of Section 11 on the
17 proposed rule signify by saying aye.
18
19
           IN UNISON: Aye.
20
21
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Opposed.
22
23
           (No opposing votes)
24
25
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay, got it. Got that
26 Tom?
27
28
           MR. BOYD: Yes.
29
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay. Now, we're on
31 proposed rule, transactions between the rural residents
32 and others, Section 12.
33
34
           MR. BALLOT: Mr. Chairman.
35
36
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Percy.
37
           MR. BALLOT: For that part there we would
39 go along until we went into -- up to other than rural
40 residents is permitted but limited to $1,000 per person;
41 would that be the motion?
42
43
           ATTAMUK: Yeah.
44
45
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay.
           ATTAMUK: I'd like to see it like that.
48 I like the dollar amount put in there just for reasons,
49 there's some individuals out there that might go over
50 that limit and hurt our subsistence.
```

```
00085
           MR. BALLOT: Okay, then Mr. Chairman,
2 then that would be my motion is to read as follows, that,
3 all the way up to rural residents is permitted but
4 limited to $1,000 per person, unless there's a better
5 wording that.....
6
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay, $1,000 per
7
8 person?
10
           MR. BALLOT: Uh-huh.
11
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay. That's your
12
13 motion?
14
15
           MR. BALLOT: Uh-huh.
16
17
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay. That's a motion
18 by Percy.
19
20
           MS. JOHNSON: And I second it.
21
22
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Second by Lillian. Any
23 further discussion on Section 12.
25
            MR. JACK: Well, Mr. Chairman,
26 clarification.
28
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Carl.
29
           MR. JACK: During the last comment
31 period, and this was based on the discussions by the
32 Customary Trade Task Force when they addressed number 12,
33 they were addressing salmon only. While the
34 understanding was that the other species of fish would --
35 it would be left to the Council to set a limit on other
36 species, other than salmon, the proposed rule here under
37 12 customary trade for fish.....
38
39
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Uh-huh.
40
41
            MR. JACK: .....it does not say salmon, I
42 guess it would include any species of fish.
43
44
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Of fish, yes.
45
46
           MR. JACK: So that's what it is.
47
48
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay.
49
```

MR. BALLOT: Question.

```
00086
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Question's been called
2 for. Any objection to the question on Section 12. No
3 objections, all in favor of adopting Section 12 signify
4 by saying aye.
6
           IN UNISON: Aye.
8
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Opposed.
10
            (No opposing votes)
11
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: So your motion is
12
13 adopting section as written, is that right, Percy?
14
15
            MR. BALLOT: No.
16
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay.
17
18
            MR. BALLOT: It's to read all the way up
20 to other than rural residents is permitted but limited to
21 $1,000 per person.
22
23
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay.
24
            MR. BALLOT: I was asking if that's a
26 good enough wording for this Council.
28
            ATTAMUK: That's what I was going to ask
29 Tom.
30
31
            MR. BOYD: Yes, I think we can work with
32 that.
33
            ATTAMUK: Because in our unit here we'd
35 like to see a limit on the amount of salmon that could be
36 taken. We understand what you're saying Carl, that it's
37 just on salmon, you know, but we still understand.
            MR. JACK: The proposed rule is
39
40 different, it talks about fish, it would include any
41 fish, is that your understanding?
42
            MR. BOYD: The way I understand the
43
44 motion is that it's taking off from the wording of the
45 proposed rule on Page 1 and Part 12, it says;
46 transactions between rural residents and others,
47 customary trade for fish, their parts or their eggs
48 legally taken under the regulations in this part from a
49 rural resident to commercial entities other than
50 fisheries businesses or from a rural resident to
```

```
00087
1 individuals other than rural residents is permitted but
2 is limited to $1,000 per person.
3
4
           MR. BALLOT: Right.
5
6
           MR. BOYD: Is that correct, Percy?
           MR. BALLOT: Uh-huh, yeah.
            MR. BOYD: Okay. And what we did was we
10
11 omitted the last part of that last sentence that says as
12 long as -- we scratched, as long as the customary trade
13 does not constitute a significant commercial enterprise.
14 That part was deleted; is that correct, Percy?
15
16
            MR. BALLOT: Right.
17
18
            MR. BOYD: Okay.
19
20
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay. The motion's
21 already been stated and seconded and approved; is that
22 correct?
23
24
            MR. BALLOT: Right.
25
26
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay, thank you Percy,
27 you're doing great on this one right here, I like that.
28
29
            MR. BALLOT: Uh-huh.
30
31
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Now, the last one, the
32 section on number 13.
33
            MR. BALLOT: Mr. Chairman, I'll must move
34
35 to approve as it is written here.
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay. The motion from
38 Percy is to adopt Section 13 as written. Is there a
39 second?
40
41
            ATTAMUK: Second.
42
43
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: You second?
44
45
            ATTAMUK: Yes, sir.
46
47
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Second by Enoch. Any
48 further discussion on Section 13.
49
50
            MR. BALLOT: Question.
```

```
00088
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Question's been called
2 for, any objection to the question? If none, all in
3 favor of adopting Section 13 signify by saying aye.
5
           IN UNISON: Aye.
6
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Opposed.
7
8
           (No opposing votes)
10
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay. Tom, Section 13
12 is approved by this committee as written.
13
14
            MR. BOYD: Okay.
15
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Got it.
16
17
18
            MR. BOYD: Got it.
19
2.0
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay, we will continue
21 on. I'm glad this thing has been looked over carefully
22 and I certainly hope that it benefits somebody. I like
23 what you said, the proposed rule, I'm glad you got that
24 out.
25
            Okay, we'll continue on. We're down to
26
27 Item 11, Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program. I
28 believe it's Steve. And then I think there's a pass-out,
29 right?
30
31
            MR. FRIED: Yes.
32
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Is that pass-out about
34 the monitoring program.
36
            MR. FRIED: There's also information
37 under Tab H.
38
39
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay.
40
41
            MR. FRIED: And the only thing I passed
42 out that's under Tab H is something called Strategic
43 Planning and it's actually the same thing that's under
44 the tab, I thought it might be easier to use it that way,
45 if we had a handout, too.
46
47
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay.
48
            MR. FRIED: The other thing I passed out
50 was a status report on the projects that are funded.
```

```
00089
1
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay.
2
3
            MR. FRIED: Just for information. I
4 wasn't really looking to discuss it during this meeting
5 unless anybody had questions but I was going to go into
6 more detail about the projects and their status in our
7 winter meeting.
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay.
10
            MR. FRIED: So in this meeting I'd like
12 to just focus on the 2003 Monitoring Plan which really
13 will consist of the recommendations for what studies to
14 fund in 2003, this coming year, and then I'd like to get
15 the Board's opinion and some discussion on the issues and
16 information needs for the 2004 call for proposal to see
17 if the ones that we used for 2003 need to be added to or
18 modified for the next time we fund proposals.
20
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay.
21
            MR. FRIED: So I guess the first thing I
23 might want to bring to your attention is on Page 65 under
24 Tab H and there's a bar graph there, maybe there's not --
25 it's on Page 70, excuse me. And there's a bar graph and
26 there's the graph that shows, if you look on the bottom
27 for fiscal year 2003 and the total height of that bar
28 represents how much money is available for the Fisheries
29 Resource Monitoring Program in 2003 and that's about
30 $7.25 million. But out of that amount, some of that's
31 already being -- is going to be spent for other things
32 other than the 2003 projects. Those other things are
33 funding projects from 2001 to 2002 that were going on for
34 more than one year and that takes about $4.5 million out
35 of that 7.25. And the other thing is the Partners
36 Program, which is new, we'll talk about that later under
37 agency reports but that takes about $850,000 out of that.
38 So what's remaining is about $1,800,000 statewide for new
39 projects for this upcoming year for 2003. And out of
40 that about $238,000 will be -- was initially allocated
41 for the Arctic/Kotzebue/Norton Sound area.
42
43
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay.
44
            MR. FRIED: So that's what we're looking
45
46 at when the proposals came in.
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: How much?
48
49
```

MR. FRIED: \$238,000.

```
00090
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay.
1
2
3
            MR. FRIED: And actually you can see that
4 on the next page, there's that Table 1, Table 2. If you
5 look at Table 2 and you look down the last column on
6 target under total and if you go across on rows,
7 Arctic/Kotzebue/Norton Sound vou can see in bold letters
8 there's $238.000 and that's what was kind of the formula
9 allocation that we started out with when we started
10 looking at proposals.
11
             The other thing, within this amount in
13 2003, that was considered when the call went out, is
14 that, there was some studies that were ending, you know,
15 before 2002. So some of these studies were like three
16 year studies, 2002 would be the third year they were
17 going to stop but they were studies that were providing
18 information that probably was still needed for management
19 and things like salmon counting from towers and weirs and
20 so there were some -- when the call went out there was an
21 indication that, you know, the investigators who were
22 doing these projects were invited to submit one more year
23 for those projects so that we could continue them and
24 then have a discussion for 2004 as to how much longer and
25 how many of those kind of projects we wanted to keep
26 carrying within the Fisheries Resource Monitoring
27 Program.
28
             As far as this region goes, it starts on
30 Page 73 in your books, but basically there's been a lot
31 of issues and needs that this Council has identified and
32 it includes such things such as seasonal movement
33 patterns, abundance, stock structure of char, dolly
34 varden and Arctic char. There's been a lot of interest
35 in the effects of sportfishing on species such as
36 sheefish and char. Interest in gaining more information
37 on subsistence harvest patterns and trends and also on
38 documenting traditional ecological knowledge and use.
39
             So those are some of the more important
41 issues that, you know, were identified and that the TRC
42 that evaluated them, the proposals we're looking at.
43
             There were actually four study proposals
45 that were submitted originally. And three of these were
46 actually advanced for further consideration. And there's
47 a map on Page 75 that shows where these three studies
48 are. And as it turns out, there's one study that's
49 within each Council region in this area. The study
```

50 that's within the Northwest Arctic is number 03-016, it's

```
00091
1 harvest identification, during the spring and fall
2 subsistence fisheries in the Selawik River drainage in
3 the National Wildlife Refuge.
5
           ATTAMUK: Is this the whitefish project?
6
           MR. FRIED: That's mainly focused on
8 whitefish, that's correct.
10
            ATTAMUK: Uh-huh.
11
            MR. FRIED: And the remaining one, there
13 is one that was in the Seward Penn region on the
14 Pikmiktalik River for salmon, another one on North Slope
15 which focused on Arctic cisco and dolly varden.
            ATTAMUK: Is this all coming out from the
17
18 238, these studies here, these three you're talking
19 about?
20
            MR. FRIED: These studies would be coming
21
22 out of the $238,000, right, that's available for 2003.
            ATTAMUK: Could I change the subject a
25 little bit Raymond?
26
27
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Enoch.
            ATTAMUK: I know the Fish and Wildlife
30 Service did a study on catch and release, you know, on
31 these fish here and even if you touch the fish on the
32 catch and release that within 24 hours they would die and
33 these studies were done in a confined area in Fairbanks.
34 right, am I wrong? Jim, did you hear that guy that did
35 his presentation?
            MR. FRIED: I thought there was some Fish
38 and Game studies, weren't there on catch and release?
            ATTAMUK: Yeah, Fish and Game did a study
41 in Fairbanks in a confined area. Because under catch and
42 -- that's my concern I'm going to lead to, that under
43 catch and release it really destroys the fish. That was
44 in 24 hours is what he said, that's the way I understand
45 it
46
```

MR. FRIED: Actually the point of 48 disagreement was the fact that they didn't find a lot of 49 mortality within a short time period, within 24 hours or 50 so. But people were still concerned that maybe over a

```
00092
1 long time period there actually still might be some
2 effects. If I remember correctly I think that's what
3 the.....
            ATTAMUK: I was there at the -- I was
5
6 there, I went to hear what he had to say because he
7 called me up and told me he was going to give it and
8 that's my understanding. I know I'm changing it a little
9 bit but that's my concern I got here with the catch and
10 release.
11
            MR. FRIED: I think it was -- was it a
12
13 sheefish study that you're talking about?
15
            ATTAMUK: Yes.
16
17
            MR. FRIED: Okay, yes.
18
            ATTAMUK: Because with the barbs, he was
20 talking about still like when they even touched the fish.
21 you know, to grab it and release it they hold it and they
22 play with the -- you're catching and you're taking the
23 slime off and that will expose it to river disease and
24 within 24 hours -- he said -- that's what I heard anyhow
25 unless my hearing is leaving me.
            MR. FRIED: No, I think we're both right
27
28 actually. I think what they did was they looked at that
29 and they looked at where the fish was hooked and
30 depending on where the fish was hooked also depended on
31 what the mortality was even in a short time period.
32
33
            ATTAMUK: Uh-huh.
34
            MR. FRIED: So you know if it was fish it
36 was hooked in a gill and there's a lot of bleeding, a lot
37 of times the fish would die.....
38
39
            ATTAMUK: Yes.
40
41
            MR. FRIED: .....fairly quickly.
42
43
            ATTAMUK: Right.
```

45 MR. FRIED: So you're correct in that.
46 But the fish that were not hooked in that way and were
47 released properly and put in they survived and that was -48 but the point of contention was it was a short-term
49 study so they didn't know what the long-term effects were
50 and two, it was done by Fish and Game, they were trained

```
00093
1 biologists and there was some concern that maybe a
2 regular sportfisherman didn't treat the sheefish in the
3 same way.
4
5 ATTAMIJK: Yeah I hear it from a couple
```

ATTAMUK: Yeah, I hear it from a couple people saying when they talk to me, when they see me, that they do see a lot of fish come down and these are spawning fish. These are adult fish that are full of gegs and they don't have any and they no they're not spawning because they're hurt when they do end up with libig lumps in them but they're all half dead by the time lethey go by the village of Kobuk. And that's happening a lot up in the Kobuk, catch and release. So that's why I had a concern about it.

15

I would like to see in the future, if 17 they ever get done with this project, I got an interest 18 in the trout, too, but the Kobuk -- up the Kobuk on the 19 catch and release in the wild, they need to be revisiting 20 and looked into.

21

MR. FRIED: Yeah, maybe we could take 23 that up, too, in issues because we can focus the 2004 24 call if the Council, you know, thinks there's a certain 25 issue that's more important than any of the other ones.

26

27 ATTAMUK: Morality rate might be way -- 28 percentage might be -- versus all the other fish they 29 might be pretty low.

30 31

MR. FRIED: Right.

32

33 ATTAMUK: You know, but still with the 34 increasing numbers of transporters taking people out 35 there, the mortality rate will have to increase, it's not 36 going to drop.

37

38 MR. FRIED: But for 2003 there isn't any 39 study that's going to look at that. In fact like I 40 mentioned the only one that's in this area would be, you 41 know, whitefish and pike characteristics, you know, in 42 the subsistence fisheries.

43

44 MS. JOHNSON: I have something to say 45 about it. too.

46 47

CHAIRMAN STONEY: Lillian.

48

49 MS. JOHNSON: I'm from Kobuk, upper Kobuk 50 area and I seen that, what he is talking about, you know,

```
00094
```

```
1 lure hooking and catching and just pull it off and when
2 it -- when they put it there and take the lure off some
3 of them, little bit of bleeding because the gills, when
4 you make a hole it bleeds a lot and sometimes sheefish
5 that's somewhere, I seen that, too. And we don't like
6 that kind. Sheefish we got for our subsistence food.
            And besides that, I got one question --
9 some more questions here, what is a red fish and blue
10 fish; what kind are those, you know, the real name?
11
12
            (Laughter)
13
14
             MS. JOHNSON: I never see a red fish and
15 blue fish up there, besides grayling and suckers.
17
            (Laughter)
18
            MR. FRIED: Oh, you're talking about the
20 study that was funded.
            MS. JOHNSON: Yeah, that's a monitoring
23 studies here.
25
            MR. FRIED: Yeah, that's just a cute
26 little title that's -- there's a Dr. Seuss book for
27 children called one fish, two fish, red fish, blue fish
28 and it kind of rhymed and the investigator decided he was
29 going to use that for his title. It's basically just it
30 was a study on -- it had nothing to do with red fish and
31 blue fish.
            MS. JOHNSON: I thought we started
33
34 growing some vegetable fish up there.
36
            (Laughter)
37
            MR. FRIED: Some place red fish is a
39 reference to spawning or spawned out salmon but other
40 than that and a blue fish is actually a marine fish on
41 the east coast. But I don't think it had anything to do
42 with that.
43
            Anyhow, but there were three studies
45 under consideration and the Technical Review Committee.
46 the TRC recommended in their recommendation that all
47 three studies should be funded but they did have some
48 modifications that they'd like to see the investigators
49 do before the studies were done.
50
```

```
00095
            Now, the cost of funding these three
2 studies was about $165,000 which was actually less than
3 the amount of funds that were originally allocated for
4 the region so there was like another $73,000 that really
5 wasn't used in this region. And if you look at the
6 tables back on one and two in the introduction, I mean
7 the total amount of money was actually used, so what
8 happened was that money got used in other regions.
9 That's the way it happened to balance out after the TRC
10 went through. As far as detailed information on the
11 project.....
12
             CHAIRMAN STONEY: This is all Federal
13
14 funding, right?
            MR. FRIED: This is all the funding,
17 right for the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program, it's
18 Federal funding from the Office of Subsistence Management
19 program.
2.0
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Right.
21
22
            MR. FRIED: And there's more detailed
24 information starting on Page 83. I'm not sure if this
25 Council's interested in learning about all three studies
26 or just the one in their particular region. The one
27 within the region you could find on Page 91 and that's
28 the one on harvest identification during spring and fall
29 subsistence fisheries in the Selawik River drainage in
30 the National Wildlife Refuge and then it would be done.
31 at this point, but the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,
32 the Fairbanks office and also the Selawik National
33 Wildlife Refuge Staff.
```

The Technical Review Committee, one of 35 36 the comments they had was to increase the local 37 involvement in that. And the investigators are looking 38 into cooperating with, I think with Selawik IRA. It's a 39 one year study. The initial cost was about \$20,000. 40 With the modification to include more local involvement 41 that cost would probably be more than that. And we're 42 supposed to get modified plans back by October 15th. The 43 investigators are here from Fish and Wildlife Service and 44 the National Wildlife Refuge and they'd be happy to 45 answer questions from the Council about this study. And 46 basically, though, the purpose of this study was to 47 identify fishes using both the local names and the 48 Western scientific names and to also collect some data on 49 the fish from the catches like the age and the size of 50 the fish, the maturity of the fish.

```
00096

1 So I don't know how the Council would
2 like to handle this. What we'd like to do is get a
3 recommendation from the Council either on all three
4 studies or just on the study within this region as to
5 whether you support the TRC recommendation or if you
6 don't or if there's some other modifications you'd like
7 to see on the study. You know and these recommendations
8 eventually go to the Federal Subsistence Board when they
9 make their final funding decisions.
10
11 CHAIRMAN STONEY: You want action on this
12 or recommendations or is it just a.....
13
14 MR. FRIED: Eventually. I mean I don't
15 know if you'd like to hear from the Fairbanks office,
16 Fish and Wildlife Service or the National Wildlife.
```

MR. FRIED: Eventually. I mean I don't 15 know if you'd like to hear from the Fairbanks office, 16 Fish and Wildlife Service or the National Wildlife 17 Refuge, Selawik Staff if you have specific questions 18 about this particular one then, yeah, you could discuss 19 it and then decide whether or not, yes, you agree with 20 the TRC, you know, that this should be funded, you know, 21 if those modifications were fine or if there's some other 22 modifications that you'd like.

23 24

MS. AYRES: Mr. Chair.

25 26

CHAIRMAN STONEY: Yes.

27

8 MS. AYRES: I'd like to just, if I could,

29 just say a few things about the project.

30

31 CHAIRMAN STONEY: Sure.

32

33 MS. AYRES: First of all one of the

34 things, although I'm not directly involved in the project

35 itself, I'd like to kind of help put it in context of the

36 other studies that are going on with whitefish and Susan

37 Georgette's work that's been going on for you so you can

38 kind of see where this project fits into kind of a larger

39 picture of looking at whitefish in the Selawik drainage.

40

41 First of all, I guess, the most important

42 thing is to thank the Council. Two years ago you brought

43 to our attention the need for more information on

44 whitefish, especially in the Selawik drainage and the

45 Kobuk drainages and we listened to that and we took your

46 advice and that's what a number of the studies that are

47 going on have been kind of inspired by.

48

The Refuge funded Susan Georgette's work

50 looking at actually collecting information from people in

```
00097
```

```
1 Selawik on what they already knew about whitefish and
2 that work was done from June and finished up in April.
3 And as usual she did a superb job and people really
4 enjoyed talking to her about what they knew about
5 whitefish already. And out of her work and people's
6 discussions, one of the things that came up was an
7 interest in knowing more about the taxonomy of the fish
8 they were catching, the discrepancy between the numbers
9 of species and what they were calling them and what
10 Western science was calling them. And so that's where
11 one of the aspects of this project that Steve has before
12 you for funding, that's where the objective was developed
13 from. The other aspect is just looking at the
14 composition of the catch that's occurring with whitefish.
15
16
             And Enoch, you mentioned the number of
17 different species and this would be something that would
18 help document what actually is being caught.
             Fortunately Susan's project with
21 whitefish is continuing. The Refuge just funded the
22 first year and the Office of Subsistence Management has
23 picked up further funding on that project so that's going
24 to -- so she's going to be continuing with that and
25 she'll be talking about that in her agency report.
             I guess one of the things I wanted to --
27
28 well, two things that I think are important is one that
29 there's not a number of different separate projects going
30 on with whitefish that we're trying to coordinate the
31 work and have results of one project feed off or develop
32 the objectives for the next and have local people
33 involved for those projects. So there's not a lot of
34 different separate projects going on they're all kind of
35 one.
36
             One of the reasons that there may be
37
38 still some money on the table this year from projects is
39 that we felt it was really important for Susan to finish
40 her project and collect the information that's already
41 known about whitefish before we started any more in depth
42 studies. And so I think sometimes going a little slower
43 and making sure that our project are focused on the right
44 thing and we're utilizing what's already known is really
45 important. So we hope that we can kind of continue
46 taking little steps, taking what people have already told
47 us is known about them and helping fill in the blanks
48 there and work with the people in Selawik to do that.
49
50
             That's my spiel on whitefish. And if you
```

```
00098
```

```
1 have any questions Susan's work has produced a wonderful
2 report covering some of the things that she learned in
3 Selawik. And also Clyde Ramoth, our RIT is here and he
4 assisted Susan in some of the interviews so if you have
5 any questions about the project or how it worked in the
6 community there feel free to ask him, too.
8
            That's all I have to say, thanks.
10
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Is that it?
11
            MR. FRIED: Yeah, that's it. Now, it's
13 up to you to decide whether or not you like the -- or
14 vote for, you know, accepting or rejecting, you know,
15 just that project or all three. I mean just for
16 information purposes when I did this with the North Slope
17 Council, they just took action on the project within
18 their area and they tabled, you know, took no action on
19 the other two, they decided to let the other Councils
20 decide what kind of studies to fund for their areas.
21
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay.
22
23
            ATTAMUK: And I'm happy with the
25 whitefish project and I would like to see this one keep
26 on going for the 20,200 -- you know, fund it. Like I
27 still got interest on the trout, that being studied
28 because we could also compare with our study versus the
29 one in Koyukuk -- I think it's in Koyukuk, where's the
30 other one at, in Kaktovik. And I'd like to see one done --
31 study -- and if we decide to do one on the trout in the
32 future we could -- we know the trout never go to the same
33 drainage, you know, and I always have concern over the
34 trout here also. And I'm real bad in my other meetings
35 up the food chain and the value of the metals in the
36 fish. We not only have to worry about regulating and
37 watching the harvest of our fish we need to worry about
38 the safety levels for the people. I might be off right
39 here, but I still got concerns of safety levels of the
40 food chain, the trend that might be changing. We need to
41 start taking that and now for the future for us to use.
42 you know, for the -- if there's any metals in the foods.
43 Because I know down in the Lower 48, they're really
44 contaminated and knock on wood, we're still lucky but
45 whatever's coming down in the snow and the rain we don't
46 know. Because if they do crash for some reason or the
47 other it's going to fall to us. That's why I really
48 support this whitefish study big time.
49
50
            There's so many different -- there's five
```

```
00099
1 different kinds of whitefish. They're not only in the
2 Selawik area, they're in Kiana and everything, Noatak and
3 Kivalina. Those fish are.
           I'm just trying to say I support this but
5
6 I'd sure like to in the future sometime worry about the
7 fish, you know how's the levels, you know, in the metal.
8 I know some places might have heavier mercury than the
9 others, international causes out there, you know, because
10 all the rivers and drainages are not the same, even in
11 the same rivers, in creeks and stuff.
12
13
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Anything Percy.
14
15
            MR. BALLOT: Nothing.
16
17
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: I think we all support
18 the projects.
20
            MR. BALLOT: I'm just willing to support
21 the Selawik project.
22
            MR. FRIED: You all support, okay.
23
24
25
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay.
26
27
            ATTAMUK: You want to -- I propose we
28 support 03-016, I put it in proposal form.
30
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: That's your motion
31 Enoch?
32
33
            ATTAMUK: I put it in a motion.
34
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay.
35
36
37
            ATTAMUK: That we support 03-016.
38
39
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay.
40
41
            MR. BALLOT: Second.
42
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Motion by Enoch, second
43
44 by Percy. Any further discussion.
45
46
            MR. BALLOT: Question.
47
48
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Any further discussion.
49
```

MR. BALLOT: Modification, I was just

```
00100

1 wondering, wasn't there going to be a kind of like study
2 done in the future for beaver versus fish and stuff like
3 that? Beaver dams or whatever, impact.
4

5 MR. FRIED: Not for this area but there
6 has been one done in the Yukon drainage. Actually there
7 was a TEK study done in the Yukon drainage and an actual
8 field study done in that drainage and we could provide
9 you with the reports, those are done. And my
10 understanding was that, you know, there's some good
11 things and bad things about beavers and beaver dams. So
12 it really wasn't -- it didn't really show, you know, one
13 thing or another, it just sort of right down the middle,
```

14 even with the TEK studies. We can provide some copies of
15 the reports for you if you're interested?
16
17 MR. BALLOT: Thank you.

18
19 MS. AYRES: Also, Percy -- LeeAnn Ayres,
20 Selawik Refuge. We're really fortunate, Randy Brown was
21 the investigator on that project in the Yukon and he's
22 actually going to be participating in being up and doing
23 some of the field work in this coming spring if this
24 project is funded. So we'll have an excellent
25 opportunity to kind of have him take a look at the area
26 and see if he can give us some advice of how we could go
27 about monitoring that and also what types of effects
28 beavers here might be having on whitefish. So I think
29 we'll be real fortunate to have somebody who has been
30 working on that topic and looking at how to measure those
31 effects up here in the field around Selawik.

32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
CHAIRMAN STONEY: Still on discussions.

CHAIRMAN STONEY: Question.

CHAIRMAN STONEY: Question's been called

40 for, any objection to the question. If none, all in 41 favor of recommending for continuation of the funding 42 signify by saying aye.

43
44 IN UNISON: Aye.
45
46 CHAIRMAN STONEY: Opposed.
47
48 (No opposing votes)

49 50 CHAIRMAN STONEY: You got your project.

```
00101
1
           MR. FRIED: Okay.
2
3
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: It's about time for
4 break, so we have a very brief one here, the next item,
5 annual report.
7
           MR. FRIED: Well, actually we're not done
8 here yet.
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Oh, you're not done
10
11 yet, oh, excuse me.
            MR. FRIED: You don't get rid of me that
13
14 easy.
15
16
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay.
17
18
            MR. FRIED: There was actually one
19 statewide proposal that was submitted also.
2.0
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Uh-huh.
21
22
            MR. FRIED: And I don't know if this
24 Council wants to consider that one but the Technical
25 Review Committee actually recommended that it not be
26 funded. It had to do with salmon escapement goals. The
27 description is on Page 99.
28
29
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay.
30
            ATTAMUK: Enoch here. I think we should
32 stay away from commercial fishing. I think that's what
33 it all leads to, escapement goal for commercial fishing,
34 that's just the way I see it when I see it here.
35
            MR. FRIED: Well, some of the comments
37 and reviewers on this one was that it wasn't really
38 directed specifically towards subsistence fisheries and
39 the fact that it wouldn't necessarily provide a product
40 at the end of three years that would actually be useable.
41 But there's a lot of interest in salmon escapement goals
42 but the TRC didn't think this was the project that was
43 really fit for that. So I don't know if this Council
44 just wants to take no action or support the TRC
45 recommendation or what.
46
47
            ATTAMUK: I propose that we take no
48 action on 03-039.
50
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: That's your motion.
```

```
00102
            ATTAMUK: Motion. Take no action on 03-
2 039, that's the one he was talking about for salmon,
3 population.
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay. Motion's been
6 made, 03-039, motion made by Enoch, second.
           MR. AREY: I second it.
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Second by Joe. Any
10
11 further discussion.
            MR. BALLOT: I wasn't too clear on
14 Enoch, why you wanted to not take no action.
15
            ATTAMUK: This is probably -- I'm the one
17 that looked at it and I looked at it and it's just more
18 into commercial fishing and it don't really leads to
19 subsistence here. That's just the way I read it when I
20 looked at it last week, this proposal here, the one he
21 was saying. I would say we would take no action on it
22 because it's not being supported by the agencies.
23
            You could look at it, I'll give you time
25 to look at it if you want.
27
            MR. AREY: I seconded it.
28
            ATTAMUK: I know. Maybe if you explain
30 it to them a little bit they'll understand it.
32
            (Pause)
33
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: We're still on
34
35 discussion.
            MR. FRIED: Do you need some more
37
38 explanation or would you like some more explanation?
40
            (Pause)
41
            MR. FRIED: Basically what it would do is
43 develop another approach to try to set salmon spawning
44 escapement goals. And it would do it by taking this
45 investigator's technique of having a computer spread
46 sheet, and I don't know if anybody's used Excel or some
47 of those spread sheets where you plug numbers in and it
48 can do a calculation and a spread sheet, and he wanted to
49 have a model that would work in a spread sheet. And what
50 he wanted to do was going to be a life history study, so
```

```
00103
```

```
1 you'd have different survivals from, say, from the egg to
2 the juveniles to the smolt, you know, to adult and back
3 and then also have something in there about nutrient
4 cycling, the important of nutrients and put this all in a
5 model and kind of test the model and he was suggesting
6 that the only -- that the information available to test
7 this model was probably available from coho salmon
8 populations in Southeast and maybe some coho salmon
9 populations in some -- from maybe Tanana and Pacific
10 Northwest. And the investigator admitted that really
11 after three years of doing this he may or may not have
12 the model in a form that was actually usable by
13 management agencies to actually set salmon spawning
14 escapement goals. Particularly because we don't have
15 this kind of information for most salmon populations to
16 test them all.
17
            So the Technical Review Committee had
18
19 problems with this one because one, they didn't really
20 see that it had specific applications to Federal
21 subsistence fisheries. Two, the information that you
22 need for the model really wasn't available for some of
23 the salmon populations of greatest interest. In other
24 words Yukon chum or chinook where they're really
25 interested in having something like this, there's no
26 information to even use this model on it so you'd have to
27 take years to collect that information. And, you know,
28 after three years you wouldn't have the information and
29 you might not have the model so they were a little
30 worried about committing funds on that sort of an effort.
31
32
            MR. BALLOT: Question.
33
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Ouestion's been called
35 for, any objection to the question. If none, all in
36 favor of taking no action on 03-039 Alaska salmon
37 population signify by saying aye.
39
            IN UNISON: Aye.
40
41
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Opposed.
42
43
            (No opposing votes)
44
45
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: No action taken.
46 approved.
47
48
            MR. FRIED: No action.
49
50
            ATTAMUK: No.
```

```
00104
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: No action.
1
2
3
            MR. FRIED: I've got one more topic.
4
5
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Go ahead.
            MR. FRIED: Issues and Information needs.
8 After this little yellow piece of paper on Page 103 there
9 is a copy of the issues and information needs that were
10 provided to investigators for the 2003 studies. It goes
11 from Page 103 to 109 and that's what they use to sort of
12 get an idea as to what kind of proposals we're interested
13 in looking at.
14
15
             So this is an opportunity to revise that
16 list if there's things that are left out, if there's
17 things that are in there that are no longer important for
18 the 2004 call for proposals. If there's a specific topic
19 the Council would like to focus the call on then we could
20 do that also if they think that's important.
21
             One thing we did try to do is put
23 together a strategic planning document. And basically
24 what it did was take the issues from 2003 and it's in the
25 handout, too, if you want to go to Page 2 instead of
26 turning back and forth, and tried to kind of group them
27 into a little bit different grouping and try to
28 prioritize the issues within each group and maybe add
29 some issues that were missing but which appear to be
30 important because we funded a few studies on those things
31 but they weren't on the list. So it's sort of just for
32 consideration and discussion, you know, it's nothing --
33 it's just you can either stay with your old list, you
34 know, look at this and maybe if you like this list better
35 or maybe you like something in between or something
36 entirely different. So when we had this discussion on
37 the North Slope, they felt like they would rather stay
38 with the list they already had and wait a little while
39 longer before they made those kinds of decisions. But
40 this will be on a, you know, Council by Council basis.
41 If there is some issue that we are missing or an issue
42 that, you know, we're not getting proposals on and you
43 think we should then we can focus the call better in 2004
44 if you'd like.
45
             So I don't know how the Council wants to
47 handle this. I don't know if people have had a chance to
48 look through their booklets and look at the issues. I
49 know we just had a discussion about the catch and release
```

50 mortality. That is an issue that's been in there. We've

```
00105
```

```
1 had studies in the past that haven't been funded for one
2 reason or another. There's going to be quite a bit more
3 money available for studies in 2004 and this is probably
4 a good time to make sure that we're, you know, giving the
5 right signals to investigators so that they actually come
6 in with proposals that are important things.
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Enoch.
            ATTAMUK: Let me ask you this then, to
10
11 fund a catch and release in the wild, if we do a study,
12 how much would -- you got any idea how much it would cost
13 if we do a whitefish -- on the sheefish, just on the
14 sheefish? We know they did that study in a confined
15 area, would it be possible? If you don't think it's
16 possible just tell me, I won't feel bad about it.
17
18
            MR. FRIED: Oh, I think you can do a
19 study. I mean the last time we -- the last proposal we
20 looked at a year or two ago was a study that used radio-
21 tagging and I think the price tag was up around $300,000
22 or more, but I mean it doesn't mean you can't do the
23 study for less money or there might not, you know, be
24 another way to look at it.
25
            ATTAMUK: Are you talking about those
27 real small satellite they implant on the catfish on time.
28 they did this study during Maine, in the Mississippi
29 River where they did the study and within certain time
30 the majority of them just stayed where they were dropped
31 instead of put back where they were actually caught but
32 some did return. Are you talking about the same
33 satellite, because they're real small. I've seen them and
34 I've read about them. That they did it and they did it
35 about six years ago.
            MR. FRIED: Okay, you're talking about
37
38 tags that actually can transmit.....
40
            ATTAMUK: Satellite.
41
42
            MR. FRIED: .....information to
43 satellites and.....
            ATTAMUK: Yeah.
45
46
            MR. FRIED: .....yeah, that's one type of
48 tag. That's not what they -- they were just going to use
49 a radio tag that you'd actually track from either an
50 airplane or a ground station or from a boat, you know,
```

```
00106
1 using an antenna. It wasn't a satellite tag.
3
            ATTAMUK: Wouldn't that be kind of a
4 heavy tag or whatever for the fish to lug around?
            MR. FRIED: It might be. Part of the
7 problems with tags are the batteries, that's really
8 what's heavy and that's what's been limiting some of the
9 technology. But there are some pretty small radio tags
10 nowadays. Technology keeps changing. I mean all you can
11 do is have people, the experts come up with a proposal
12 and then we can evaluate it when they come in.
13
14
            ATTAMUK: Okay. For under discussion, I
15 would like to just see what's being done in our area and
16 just worry about our area right now, the proposals that
17 are doing the studies for now.
18
19
            MR. FRIED: Uh-huh.
2.0
            ATTAMUK: Until we got other -- or talk
22 about it amongst ourselves in the future, for studies
23 maybe, what we might need like with the sheefish to be
24 studied out in the wild, you know. Because I could --
25 with my computer I could dig up more information on the
26 studies that's being done outside different areas, not
27 here, just in Alaska, in the Lower 48, that's how I found
28 this one here, using real small implants on catfish.
            MR. FRIED: I mean there's been a lot of
31 tagging, radio-tagging studies and ultrasonic type
32 studies even in Alaska so there's a lot of expertise on
33 that. But you're right, you know, it's a very popular
34 tool now days, a lot of information.
35
36
            ATTAMUK: It doesn't sound like I'm in my
37 computer all day long, computer's amazing, geez.
39
            MR. BALLOT: Mr. Chairman.
40
41
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Percy.
42
            MR. BALLOT: I'd like for us to consider
44 some studies on like Tom cods or abundance of fish
45 species out there or something because we've noticed over
46 the years sometimes that we seen very skinny seals and
47 stuff like that and I never heard about studies of Tom
48 cods. I've seen -- I've heard people talk about
49 sometimes there's scars on Tom cods and stuff like that.
50 And I'm just wondering if that's something that is
```

```
00107
```

```
1 possible for us to consider about doing a study on the
2 Tom cods or the bottom fish that's out there. Or all --
3 the kinds of animals, like the fish that -- the birds eat
4 up there by the islands, there's a lot of dead birds over
5 the years here and there and I'm kind of thinking there's
6 a trend of not being enough out there for them or
7 something's wrong with them. So I think it's something
8 that I don't know what kind of study but something needs
9 to be looked at about why some of the animals are dving
10 of starvation or some of the fish are having certain
11 stuff on them and stuff like that.
            I know we study all kind of other animals
13
14 but we never talked about, you know, fish -- talking
15 about Tom cods or flounders or, you know, snails or
16 whatever you call them, clam.
17
             ATTAMUK: Raymond, can I answer partly on
18
19 that one, Percy. Bering Sea Fishermen's Association did
20 a study in our Bering Sea out here, abundance. And the
21 study just on the last phases of this year, right.
22 Willie, and next year they will have a final report of
23 what's out there, for our Bering Sea and this is mainly
24 bottom fish. Okay, and I will answer your migratory bird,
25 through Maritime Refuge, I'm having our seabirds tested
26 and why they're trying to die off. Because we did -- I
27 had one study done on the seagull eggs at Cape Thompson
28 and I'm expanding into Deering and Semeshore Islands and
29 it ties in with the seabirds, it's a different category
30 than migratory birds, migratory is a different species.
31 Seabirds -- and we're doing through the food chain too.
32 Because you're right they're are dying by the thousands
33 out there some of those birds.
             Like the other year when they died at
35
36 Point Hope when I went, there were miles out there of
37 seabirds.
            MR. BALLOT: So you're saying that study
39
40 is.....
41
42
            ATTAMUK: It's out there.
43
            MR. BALLOT: ....it's not only just for
45 commercial but it's for.....
47
            ATTAMUK: It's for the public to use and
48 it's going to find whether -- if there's enough bottom
49 fish for commercial fishing, too, also because they're
50 doing that small scale right now. But the study is to
```

```
00108
1 find out what all we have under there and if there are in
2 abundance, high or not and we'll get that developed
3 within -- I think we might have it by December, I think,
4 if everything's right.
            MR. BALLOT: Okay.
6
            MR. FRIED: I mean there are some
9 limitations on what we can fund with this particular
10 funding program. And it does have to have a tie to a
11 Federal subsistence fishery so, you know, if we are going
12 to study Tom cod, you know, it probably needs to be a
13 fishery in a Federal offshore waters, I mean that would
14 limit it to probably some place in the National Maritime
15 National Wildlife Refuge or something that the Federal
16 government has subsistence jurisdiction over.
17
18
            MR. BALLOT: Uh-huh.
19
2.0
            MR. FRIED: And then there's certain
21 things that the Federal Subsistence Board has made a
22 decision that they don't want to fund studies on. One of
23 them happens to be contaminants. The other one is
24 fisheries rehabilitation and, you know, enhancement,
25 hatchery operations and the other one was habitat
26 enhancement and things like that. So there's certain
27 areas we don't fund. We can help you, you know, find
28 other programs that will fund studies like that.
30
            MR. BALLOT: I do.
31
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Percy.
32
33
            MR. BALLOT: We have fisheries, you know,
35 that they go up our mining areas and we're wondering how
36 does that effect our fish, Buckland and Deering and then
37 there's Candle River where there's mining activities
38 going on. And people over the years have concerns about
39 why is the water changing. We have two forks, our river
40 changes color all the way up to the mining area versus
41 the other side of the fork, which is the north fork which
42 has clear blue water. So we're kind of wondering what's
43 the difference or how are those mining studies effecting
44 the fish. The fish that we have in our areas.
45
            MR. FRIED: No, those are very good
47 questions. We can't address them with this program but
```

48 there are other -- I mean Fish and Wildlife Service 49 Ecological Services, I think, has -- may be someone to 50 address that, there's some State agencies that will look

```
00109
1 at that. So yeah, it's not that it's not important, it's
2 just that there's so much money that we have for this
3 program and the Board wants it directed towards Federal
4 subsistence fisheries management.
            Anyway, I guess what I'd like to hear
7 from the Board is whether or not they even want to
8 address issues and information needs or they're happy
9 with the list they have, you know, that's fine, we can
10 use that for the 2004 call. Is there a certain issue,
11 you know, that you'd like highlighted for the call or do
12 we just send out the whole list and everything, you know,
13 no prioritize, they're all just as important. And then
14 how the Board wants to view that.
15
16
             And issues and information needs can be
17 addressed any time, they can be changed any time. The
18 Board makes a decision, they can call me in Anchorage and
19 I can fix the list, it doesn't have to be done today,
20 it's just that this would be a good time when everybody's
21 together.
            ATTAMUK: Enoch, here. I think we should
24 just leave the list that's been there now. Just for our
25 -- I'm talking about my unit, 23, what's out there,
26 what's out on proposal to do the whitefish study, you
27 know, just leave it as is right now.
28
29
            MR. FRIED: That's fine.
             ATTAMUK: To go further, that's what I
32 think. We should -- we're not really prepared or
33 anything to say what kind of fish we should be studying
34 right now. We can't just come out in the blue right now,
35 we need to talk about it further if we think we have any
36 concerns.
37
            Also in the future, we need to know about
38
39 what's our limits, what we could do here, at the RAC
40 Board. Because I think what's happening now is like me
41 and Percy, we're expanding for other -- I'm different
42 boards and different things and I might be losing it
43 here, I know the contaminants have nothing to do with you
44 guys here on the fishing. I think what we need to do is
45 we need to say, this is what you guys could do and
46 refresh us on our next meeting. Be nice. Because I
47 think we're just wasting our time here talking about the
48 things we can't talk about or can't have done.
```

Okay, with you Tom.

```
00110
            MR. BOYD: Fine with that. Generally
2 what we're focused on are information gathering that can
3 help us make management decisions about harvests and how
4 to allocate those harvests, how to regulate the harvests
5 of fisheries, that's where we're focused on.
            MR. BALLOT: Mr. Chairman.
7
8
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Percy.
10
            MR. BALLOT: I wasn't just really telling
12 them about contaminants, I was mentioning that what kind
13 of fish out there, what kind of population do we have?
14 Isn't that what you were asking about? I might have
15 mentioned the mines, but we need to know what those are
16 up there, too, you know, and that's what you do. I did
17 not say -- I mentioned the mines up there but we -- you
18 know, over the years there's got to be some studies done.
19 we hardly have any studies done in our area, the
20 Buckland, Deering area. And that's where I'm coming from
21 Mr. Chairman.
            MR. FRIED: Yeah. Yeah, part of the
24 difficulty with Buckland and Deering is the fact that
25 they're not, for the most part they're not very close to
26 a lot of Federal lands.
28
            MR. BALLOT: But we do have Federal lands
29 and they're up there.
31
            MR. FRIED: That's why we're real
32 interested, too, in learning more about the harvest
33 pattern so we know where people harvest so we can figure
34 out if they're on Federal lands or not. But I think
35 that's part of the problem, is there hasn't been any
36 proposals that have come in on that for one, even though
37 it's been in the issues. And part of that might be a
38 connection because the Federal land connection. And you
39 know, Deering is near the Bering Land Bridge National
40 Preserve and so there might be some drainages that flow
41 out of there. Buckland is, you know, Selawik National
42 Wildlife Refuge is kind of to the north. And I'm not
43 sure if this is.....
44
            MR. BOYD: That's BLM.
45
46
            MR. FRIED: .....that's BLM lands, so
48 it's just non-navigable waters within BLM so that's the
49 problem. I mean and it's been a problem really for
50 Seward Penn is the lack of Federal lands. There's not a
```

```
00111
1 lot of Federal management opportunities for subsistence
2 fisheries. That's probably the same problem with Deering
3 and Buckland.
            You know, there's nothing -- you know if
5
6 you wanted to get together with people and make sure
7 those proposals were put in, you know, with either
8 somebody from an agency or a local organization that
9 would help, too.
10
            MR. RAMOTH: Mr. Chair.
11
12
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Clyde.
13
14
            MR. RAMOTH: Good afternoon. I'll be
15
16 brief here. I got a couple of concerns. Since you still
17 don't have a rep from Selawik, on Page 107 on the
18 distribution abundance and life histories of fish species
19 -- oh, first of all, Clyde Ramoth, RIT for the Selawik
20 Refuge for the record.
21
            You know our whitefish, we all share it
23 within our areas and we got all our concerns. For
24 Selawik area we depend on our whitefish a lot along with
25 caribou and our other natural resources, but we could add
26 on for the Selawik area on whitefish rearing and
27 spawning. And another quick one on the water quality and
28 fish contamination, you know that's always an issue for
29 our area and I heard Percy talk about the beaver concerns
30 and their effects on our water quality. We did start a
31 water quality program through our tribal government and
32 that's something we're really going to look into and, you
33 know, there's concerns about our open dump site and our
34 sewage lagoon, how it effects our fish and the beavers
35 blocking the ponds and lakes and creeks and you know, we
36 love to share our fish and we love to eat our fish. And
37 if I could recommend adding those to those two areas,
38 that's all I got on whitefish.
39
40
            Thank you.
41
42
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Thank you, Clyde. Get
43 that Steve.
```

MR. FRIED: Yes. So you want to actually

MR. FRIED: So I'm looking at Page 107

MR. BALLOT: Yes.

45

48 49 50

46 add on to the list.

```
00112
1 and trying to figure out where to add it specifically.
2 So do you want to run that by me once more.
            ATTAMUK: I think what he was referring
5 to, that maybe we should add it to the whitefish study
6 that's already being in progress by Susan.
           MR. FRIED: Oh, the actual whitefish
9 study that's already been done.
            ATTAMUK: See what he was asking about,
12 the spawning and the migration of whitefish.
14
            MR. FRIED: Uh-huh.
15
16
            ATTAMUK: That's what he was asking.
17 Because it's not in there. It's mainly TEK, what Susan
18 is taking.
19
20
            MR. FRIED: Right. So are you looking
21 for a new proposal for a new study?
23
            ATTAMUK: You want it more -- Clyde.
24
25
            MR. FRIED: Or do you want it as an issue
26 so that we can.....
27
28
            ATTAMUK: Proposal for spawning and
29 migration route of your whitefish, at Selawik drainage?
31
            MR. RAMOTH: Yes.
32
            MR. FRIED: Are you looking for a new
34 issue or to expand an issue? So for 2004, is that what
35 you're.....
36
            MR. RAMOTH: Yeah, it could be a new
37
38 issue or expanding, for clarification.
40
            MR. FRIED: I was just wondering which
41 one that was.
42
43
            (Pause)
44
            MR. RAMOTH: On distribution, abundance
46 and life history of fish species.
            MR. FRIED: Right. Right. So it would
49 be for Selawik whitefish.
```

```
00113
            MR. RAMOTH: Life history of whitefish
2 Selawik area, yeah -- Selawik drainage, I should say.
           MR. FRIED: Okay. I got that now, thank
5 you. Anything else or are we done with issues and
6 information?
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: That's it.
10
            MR. FRIED: That's it. I just wanted to
11 make sure, you know, we're heading in the right direction
12 for 2004.
13
14
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Break or continue on?
15
16
            ATTAMUK: Continue.
17
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay, thank you, Steve.
18
19
2.0
            MR. FRIED: You're welcome.
21
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay, we're done with
23 the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program, let's go down
24 to No. 12, annual report. I know Helen had a prepared
25 report to give and let's see, Tom, you got the annual
26 report?
27
28
            MR. BOYD: Yeah, if you'll just turn to
29 Tab I, I can point to you that on the first part of that
30 is the letter that the Council submitted to the Board
31 regarding issues that they wanted to bring before the
32 Board in their annual report. The primary issue is on
33 Page 118 starting at the top there and it's just to
34 remind you that you had the concerns of user conflicts.
35 the same issues that you were kind of talking about
36 earlier today with regard to transporters bringing sport
37 hunters into traditional hunt areas and the various areas
38 and this being a continuing concern and then the various
39 areas being the Selawik Refuge, the Noatak, Squirrel, Tag
40 and Upper Kobuk rivers.
41
            And the response from the Board starts on
43 Page 119 and finishes on 120. I won't read this to you
44 but the Board essentially acknowledged your concern, I
45 think took a stab at acknowledging what the various
46 agencies are doing to address these concerns. And I
47 think pointed to what the State may or may not be doing.
48 The Selawik Refuge, how they are addressing user
49 conflicts on the Refuge and the BLM in terms of the
50 Northwest Management Plan and what the status of that is
```

```
00114
1 and how that plan may address those concerns with regard
2 to additional pressure on the river and resources.
           I won't read it to you but essentially
5 that covers the Board's response. I'm not sure if you
6 have any questions that I can answer or that maybe some
7 of the representatives from the agencies can answer on
8 those issues.
10
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Any questions on the
11 annual report that was submitted from the RAC and the
12 response from the Board. Is that it Tom?
13
14
            MR. BOYD: That's all I have on that
15 issue, yes.
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: The other one's going
17
18 to be long, you want to take a break Percy?
2.0
            MR. BALLOT: Okay.
21
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Let's take a 15 minute
22
23 break before we get to the next, agencies reports,
24 they'll take a little more time and from that we'll
25 finish. Be back at 3:15. Break time.
26
27
            (Off record)
28
29
            (On record)
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay, I'll call the
32 meeting back to order. We're down on Item 13, agency
33 reports. The Office of Subsistence Management. I
34 believe it's Tom, right?
35
36
            MR. BOYD: Yes, sir.
37
38
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay.
39
            MR. BOYD: Mr. Chair, I'm going to brief
41 you on an issue that's been ongoing for several months.
42 You were briefed in the last meeting that you had. It's
43 concerning a recent review that the Board and Staff took,
44 we refer to it as a review of our compliance with the
45 Federal Advisory Committee Act which is the law that
46 guides the operation of the Regional Advisory Councils
47 and you, in particular and some of the outcomes of that
48 review. So I'm going to bring you up to date on them
49 right now.
```

```
Earlier this year you received a copy of
2 a letter from the Department of the Interior, Deputy
3 Secretary Steven Griles. As soon as he sent that letter
4 to us, I wanted to make sure all of the members of the
5 Councils received that letter. Also there was a letter
6 that was written -- signed by Chairman Demientieff, Mitch
7 Demientieff of the Federal Subsistence Board talking
8 about that letter to you. The letter I'm referring to.
9 we talked about it as the Griles' letter so you may hear
10 me referring to it as the Griles' letter. But in that
11 letter he spoke to departmental concerns about membership
12 balance on the Regional Councils. And it referred to the
13 requirements of the Federal Advisory Committee Act which
14 we call FACA. And FACA requires the membership of an
15 advisory committee, any Federal advisory committee which
16 your Council is considered a Federal Advisory Committee
17 to be fairly balanced in terms of points of view
18 represented and the functions to be performed by the
19 advisory committee.
             In other words, if the activities or the
21
22 actions of a committee, or in this case the
23 recommendations that you make have an impact on other
24 interests, in this case such as sport hunting or
25 recreational hunting or commercial fishing, if they have
26 some effect on those interests, then the membership of
27 the committee should be balanced in terms of all of those
28 interests, that's what the law is telling us. So the
29 Department, in this case, Deputy Secretary Griles, asked
30 the Federal Board to review the procedures it used to
31 select members for Councils. We did that and in
32 completing that review, the Board proposed some changes
33 in Council composition to the Secretary and just
34 vesterday we received a letter from Deputy Secretary
35 Griles that said that he approved the changes that the
36 Board made and to go implement them.
37
            And now I'm going to really talk in
39 general about the changes that are being proposed or that
40 now will be implemented by the Board. One thing we'll be
41 doing is increasing the membership on most of the
42 Councils. And for the Yukon/Kuskokwim Delta and
43 Southcentral Councils, they'll increase their membership
44 from 11 and seven to 13 each on those Councils. The
45 Southeast Council will remain at 13. it's currently 13.
46 And the remaining Councils will increase their membership
47 to 10 so your Council will increase from seven to 10
48 members.
49
50
             CHAIRMAN STONEY: Seven.
```

```
00116
            MR. BOYD: You're currently at seven, I
2 think; is that right?
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Yes.
            MR. BOYD: And you'll increase to 10.
7 The change in Council composition. The Council's will
8 have designated seats, 70 percent or seven of the 10 will
9 be representatives of the subsistence interests and the
10 other 30 percent or three members will represent
11 recreational, sport and commercial interests. So you'll
12 have 10 members, seven of which will represent
13 subsistence interests and three which will represent
14 those other interests. All Council members will continue
15 to be residents of the region here, including the three
16 that will be of other interests. And I note that's
17 required by Title VIII of ANILCA, all members of the
18 Council must be residents of that region. And our
19 regulations require that all members be knowledgeable
20 about subsistence uses of fish and wildlife within the
21 region and in your case, they'll all be rural residents,
22 of course, because there are no non-rural residents in
23 your region.
25
             Some Councils have alternates, I don't
26 believe that's the case with this Council, and alternates
27 will be allowed to complete their terms but after that
28 alternates will be discontinued in future years.
             There will be some changes made in the
31 way we screen applicants, some of the criteria that we
32 use to screen applicants, obviously to accommodate those
33 other uses, we needed to create some criteria that would
34 help us screen those folks. And we will also be asking
35 you, when you reapply or when people apply for seats on
36 the Council to designate what interests they represent,
37 whether it be subsistence, recreational or commercial.
             These changes will be phased in over the
39
40 next three years, starting in the application process for
41 the year 2003. So by 2006, we will have full
42 implementation. We will achieve the composition, the
43 break down that I talked about earlier, this 30 percent,
44 70 percent by 2006.
45
            That's it in as briefly as I can make it.
47 Those will be changes that have been decided by the Board
48 and the Secretary's office and we will start that process
49 for the application process next year.
```

```
00117
1
           Do you have any questions?
2
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Tom, I got one
4 question. You know, about this advisory committee now,
5 as you know we got two vacancies right now and of course,
6 in appointing the new RAC committees, you know, I know
7 the time limit is getting close some time, when will
8 these two vacant seats be filled?
            MR. BOYD: Good question. We are in the
10
11 process of doing that now. And they will be filled by
12 the next meeting.
13
14
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Next meeting.
15
16
            MR. BOYD: In February and March.
17
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay.
18
19
2.0
            MR. BOYD: So our intent is to have those
21 identified, hopefully, sometime this winter, say, by
22 January.
23
24
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay.
25
            MR. BOYD: The question mark is how fast
27 we can get the package approved in Washington, D.C., by
28 the Secretary of the Interior and that's always been sort
29 of a difficult problem for us. But in the last -- the
30 last time we did this we got a lot of help from the
31 Secretary's special assistant for Alaska, Drew Pearce,
32 who's back there and Cam Toohey, and so they've asked us
33 to make sure that we know when the package goes back
34 because they will be a shepherd and make sure it gets
35 through the process very quickly. As a matter of fact
36 when Drew found out it was late the last time, she took --
37 they actually lost the package in Washington and we had
38 to remake it and send it back and within a week she had
39 it approved. So she's taken a personal interest in this
40 and hopefully will be handled expeditiously when we get
41 it back there.
42
            So it's a long way of saying, hopefully
43
44 these two seats will be filled by the next meeting.
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay.
46
47
            MR. BOYD: And I also should add that the
49 process that I just described does not effect the
50 selection of these two vacancies. It will start the next
```

```
00118
1 time we advertise for nominations.
3
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Any questions for Tom.
4
5
           MR. BALLOT: Mr. Chairman.
6
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Percy.
           MR. BALLOT: It's kind of pretty obvious
10 already but I just want clarity on that and what will
11 constitute a quorum then will be seven?
            MR. BOYD: It would be a majority which
13
14 for 10 members it would be six.
15
            MR. BALLOT: Six.
16
17
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: These additional three,
18
19 will they have voting powers also?
2.0
21
            MR. BOYD: Yes.
22
23
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay.
24
25
            MR. BOYD: They will be full-fledged
26 members of your Council.
28
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay.
29
            MR. BALLOT: Just a point I might make, I
31 see we might have a future deadlock if we do have some
32 important issues that come up on that in regards to
33 commercial interests versus subsistence interests. I see
34 a deadlock already on three and three and I think what
35 might be more appropriate is that seven be a quorum,
36 constitute a quorum or is it just a mandate that it's the
37 majority that is going to be a quorum?
39
            MR. BOYD: I'm just responding to what I
40 understand about Robert's Rules of Order which is what we
41 specify in the charters is what we're following, it's a
42 simple majority of the active membership.
43
44
            MR. BALLOT: Okay.
45
            MR. BOYD: And I'm not sure if that can
47 be changed or not, Mr. Ballot, it's a question we can
48 ask, I'll make a note of that.
49
50
            MR. BALLOT: I'm just pointing out, like
```

```
00119
1 I said, if something should come up and there's six,
2 three of them are people like sportshunters or commercial
3 interest groups, they'll have money and they'll always
4 have the time to get here but a lot of times when we come
5 here, it's hard for us because we have to do our
6 subsistence or we have, you know, things that we need to
7 do.
            MR. BOYD: Uh-huh.
10
            MR. BALLOT: And I just see something
12 that might happen because these guys will always have the
13 time to be here, other ways and means.
14
15
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Anything else, Tom?
16
            MR. BOYD: Well, that concludes my
17
18 briefing unless there's other questions.
2.0
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay. What about that
21 number 2, statewide rural determination.
23
            MR. BOYD: Again, this is informational.
24
25
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Informational.
26
            MR. BOYD: And I would refer you to Tab
27
28 K, there's a fact sheet in there about rural
29 determinations and I won't read this to you, I'll just
30 kind of give you a quick overview.
31
32
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: All right.
33
            MR. BOYD: So no decision or
35 recommendation is necessary from the Council. I just
36 wanted to bring you up to date on where we are with the
37 rural determination issue. Title VIII requires,
38 obviously a priority for rural residents of Alaska and
39 that then requires that we determine what areas or
40 communities are rural. And when we began the Federal
41 Subsistence Management Program in 1990 the Board made
42 initial rural determinations essentially what we're
43 living by today.
            The regulations that we developed during
45
46 that period require that we review these determinations
47 every 10 years after the U.S. Census is done. In recent
48 years I think as we struggled with the request to review
49 the rural determinations on the Kenai Peninsula, I think
```

50 we recognized that there were some concerns raised about

```
00120
```

```
1 the methods that we were using to make rural
2 determinations, the way we approached it. And we wanted
3 to have a better way to do it, a more, I think,
4 acceptable and scientifically defendable way to approach
5 this question. So the Board agreed that we should
6 contract to the experts to develop -- to have a look at
7 our current methodology and develop a better methodology
8 if that could be done.
10
             We contracted with the University of
11 Alaska-Anchorage, the part of the university which was
12 the Institute of Social and Economic Research, ISER, and
13 they were to develop scientific methods to help us make
14 these rural determinations at the 10 year juncture here.
15 So we're at that point where ISER has done most of their
16 work. Some of you may have been involved in focus groups
17 that occurred. I don't know if you were or not. They
18 visited eight areas of the state and held focus groups to
19 ask people what they want and what would be widely
20 accepted in terms of how you might go about, you know.
21 making a determination that this community is rural or
22 this community is not rural. They've also done some
23 statistical assessments of the existing rural
24 determinations to kind of look at what measures would
25 best characterize what is rural and what is not rural.
26 And anyway, they're working on their final report now and
27 it's scheduled to be to us in November of this year.
            The next steps are in January when the
30 Board meets on the 14th, the Board will decide which of
31 the -- they're providing possible more than one
32 methodology but which of the proposed methodology or
33 methods for making rural determinations they want to go
34 forward with for additional Council and public review.
35 So once they make that decision in the February and March
36 meetings next year, we will be bringing those to you and
37 explaining them to you, what they are, and getting your
38 comments on those.
39
            And then hopefully by May of next year,
41 the Board will decide on what method to use and then
42 starting in June, going through 2004, we will implement
43 that in reviewing the communities around the state to
44 determine which ones are rural.
45
            So that's kind of where we're at with
47 this process and we just wanted to bring you up to date
48 on that. So you'll be hearing more about that next time.
49
50
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Any questions for Tom.
```

```
00121
1 It's just an informational item. No questions then thank
2 you, Tom.
4
            ATTAMUK: It's more like a joke.
5
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Oh.
            ATTAMUK: They called me up and asked me
9 how could I describe non-rural and rural, it's more a
10 joke so don't take it personally. I described one has
11 beer the other one don't. That's the way I said.
12
13
            (Laughter)
14
            ATTAMUK: When they called me and asked
15
16 me. That's all I told them, the best way to define it.
17
18
            (Laughter)
19
2.0
            MR. BOYD: Do you think that would be
21 legally defensible, I was just wondering.
23
            (Laughter)
24
            MR. BOYD: We were looking on a map one
26 time at McDonald's restaurant and we decided the ones
27 that had the Golden Arches on the map were the non-rural
28 ones.
29
30
            (Laughter)
31
            ATTAMUK: I would like to see what they
33 come up with. What did you hear so far?
            MR. BOYD: Well, we reviewed some of the
35
36 earlier drafts and they haven't made any determinations.
37 I mean they're looking at how to do it not what they
38 should be. But, you know, for this region I don't expect
39 there will be any changes whatsoever. And it's hard for
40 me to say that there might be in other regions either,
41 but the criteria really makes sense and they look at
42 population density measures. You know, because that
43 really is people per unit of area that's -- you know,
44 take a look at, those are generally accepted measures of
45 what constitutes a rural area.
46
47
            The other thing that they're looking at
48 that correlates extremely well with that is -- I wish I
49 could remember the term they used but it's the -- it's
50 called rural food production, whether it's an
```

```
00122
1 agricultural area or an area like this where people use a
2 lot of resources off the land. And that seems to
3 correlate real well with the idea of rural. So those are
4 two of the primary measures that they seem to be focusing
5 on.
            And probably we can then gather the data
8 that help us look at those two variables to see how well
9 they fit with Alaskan situations.
            ATTAMUK: Okay, I hear what you're
11
12 saying, thanks.
13
14
            MR. BOYD: Yeah.
15
16
            ATTAMUK: Also when we do our surveys for
17 either caribou, migratory bird or a fish spec, you'll
18 understand that they take more subsistence here in
19 Kotzebue than in the villages. Even with caribou,
20 migratory bird and fish, even it's a bigger town, we rely
21 here in Kotzebue a lot more on subsistence. I couldn't
22 believe it. I'm the one that did the surveys, on the
23 final.
24
25
            MR. BOYD: Uh-huh.
26
27
            ATTAMUK: You know, so we have it hard
28 here in Kotzebue.
30
            MR. BOYD: Okay.
31
32
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Is that it, Tom?
33
            MR. BOYD: That's all I have.
34
35
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Do you want to go to
37 number 3, Partners Fishing Monitoring and I believe it's
38 Steve, correct?
39
40
            MR. FRIED: I guess so, my name is there.
41
42
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Steve.
43
44
            MR. FRIED: The Partners for
45 Fisheries.....
```

CHAIRMAN STONEY: That's on Tab L.

MR. FRIED: Right.

46 47

48 49

```
00123
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay, Steve, this is
1
2 informational?
            MR. FRIED: Just informational.
5
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay.
6
            MR. FRIED: The Partners for Fisheries
9 Monitoring Program just started in 2002 so it's a new
10 program. And the purpose is to help local and tribal
11 organizations hire full-time, year-round professional
12 fisheries biologists and social scientists that will help
13 rural and Alaska Native organizations collect and share
14 information about subsistence fisheries, harvests and the
15 fish populations. And the positions will work to help
16 ensure the success of the Fisheries Monitoring Program by
17 doing various things by helping to plan and conduct
18 studies, provide technical support and identify issues
19 and also conducting community outreach and training and
20 education
21
             Right now in 2002 there was about
23 $900,000 that's being spent for partners and this will
24 fund actually 7.3 positions, six of those are fisheries
25 biologists and there's 1.3 social scientists and none of
26 these positions happen to be in this area. The positions
27 are actually housed within six different organizations.
28 Association of Village Council Presidents, Bristol Bay
29 Native Association, Council of Athabascan Tribal
30 Governments, Kuskokwim Native Association, Native Village
31 of Eyak and the Tanana Chiefs Conference. So it's
32 basically Prince William Sound, Bristol Bay and the
33 Yukon-Kuskokwim who got the positions this time around.
34 Generally the agreements are written for a five year term
35 and whether or not they last all five years depends on
36 the availability of funds and just annual reviews of just
37 how well those positions were performing. It also
38 includes student interns.
39
             All the cooperative agreements are in
41 place but not all the positions have been hired at this
42 point. And what else can I say about it, we're pretty
43 excited about this program. We think it's going to be a
44 good program. And initially I think there were plans to
45 hire 10 positions overall and I think at this point the
46 Board wants to take a look at how the program's working
47 for a year before they make a decision on additional
48 positions to be filled in the future.
49
50
            So that's all I've got to say about it
```

```
00124
1 unless there's any questions.
3
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Any questions from the
4
 Council for Steve?
           MS. GEORGETTE: Mr. Chairman.
6
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: About from the public
9 then. Susan, would you get to the microphone.
            MS. GEORGETTE: Susan Georgette. I was
12 surprised to see there were no positions in the Arctic at
13 all, I mean for Norton Sound, Kotzebue Sound or the North
14 Slope and I was wondering why that was?
15
16
            MR. FRIED: You know, that's a good
17 question. I don't think that there any proposals that
18 came in from the North Slope or from Norton Sound. There
19 might have been one that came in from this area because
20 somebody from, I forget who it was, contacted me at one
21 of these Council meetings and asked me about the
22 deadlines and what to do. But I wasn't really involved
23 in the review process.
24
25
            MS. GEORGETTE: Oh.
26
            MR. FRIED: So I'm not sure if that one
28 actually came in or not or how it fared.
            MS. GEORGETTE: Well, I thought there was
31 one from Kotzebue, I'm pretty sure there was one and it
32 obviously wasn't funded.
33
            MR. FRIED: Uh-huh.
34
35
            MS. GEORGETTE: And it just seemed like a
37 big hole in the program. And I guess I was kind of
38 looking forward to thinking that there might be a
39 resource like that in one of our regions and I was just
40 curious what happened to that.
41
42
            MR. FRIED: Yeah, there was a review
43 committee and that's where the decision came out of
            ATTAMUK: Yeah, Enoch here. Susan
45
46 brought up a good point right here, there was none for
47 our area. Is it possible to find out by next meeting why
48 there wasn't one because we like seeing biologists in our
49 area under fisheries, you know, because not only the fish
50 involves commercial fishing, you know our subsistence
```

```
00125
1 lifestyle falls right to the fish here that's being
2 harvested by commercial fishing and the subsistence.
            MR. BOYD: I'm not sure how much we can
5 disclose, given that this was a competitive process.
6 We're bound by contracting rules about disclosing who
7 submitted proposals and who was selected and why and why
8 not but I can check into it. Because I don't want to --
9 I mean I'll tell you what I can tell you.
10
            ATTAMUK: Uh-huh.
11
12
            MR. BOYD: I don't have a problem doing
14 that. Our thinking is that there will be another round
15 of calls for proposals. Obviously that's dependent upon
16 budgets and things like that but I'm looking, you know,
17 proactively trying to expand this program as we go and to
18 create, you know, some additional positions if we can.
19 So we're not closing the door on this. And it may be,
20 and I don't remember the details either, it may be --
21 what applications that were not selected did not meet the
22 criteria that we were looking for and it's really the
23 quality of the application and, you know, we're holding
24 this up to some fairly high standards because we want
25 this to succeed. If those proposals didn't address the
26 right aspects of what we're looking for then chances are
27 they weren't going to succeed in being selected.
             And I'm not sure that's the case here.
30 I'm not even sure that there was even an application from
31 this region. But we're definitely looking forward to an
32 additional round to this if we can afford to do that.
33 But I'll see what I can tell you.
34
35
            ATTAMUK: Yeah.
36
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Any more questions for
38 Steve. Thank you, Steve.
40
            MR. FRIED: I guess not, you're welcome.
41
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Selawik Wildlife
43 Refuge. You guys are running a good team here.
            MS. AYRES: We got a good team, yeah,
45
46 it's not a big team but it's a good team. My name is
47 LeeAnn Ayres, Selawik Refuge. And I'd like to take just
48 a minute of the Council's time to go over what was
49 happening in the Refuge as far as transporters and our
50 special permit process.
```

00126 This year the new Refuge manager, Gene 2 Peltola used his discretion as Refuge manager to put some 3 restrictions in the special use permits. The Refuge 4 manager is allowed to do this, they're only able to stay 5 in effect one year so they're kind of viewed as temporary 6 restrictions. And those restrictions were to close all 7 the selected lands to permittees and this is guides and 8 transporters that are permitted, not everybody or any 9 non-local hunter, just those transporters and guides that 10 are permitted through the Refuge. And selected lands 11 were closed as well as 72 miles along the Selawik River 12 that were from the second island above the village up to 13 the Kugarock River and Selawik Confluence. We currently have six transporters that 15 16 were permitted for this year and one guide. And that's a 17 decrease of three transporters from the previous year. 18 There's a special agent, Mike Wade and Gene Peltola who's 19 a commissioned Refuge officer who spent about the last 20 two weeks patrolling the Refuge and contacting people. 21 Their overall impression were that there was about the 22 same number of hunters in the Selawik as there was the 23 previous years. As far as actual numbers that we usually 24 provide, we'll have to do those at our spring meeting 25 after everybody turns in their reports. The plan right now is -- actually the 27 28 compliance with the restrictions was very good. A lot of 29 that area had not previously been used by the 30 transporters that have been working in that area with the 31 exception of one year when there was a problem and that's 32 kind of -- this was one of the things that was done to 33 address that. The plan is to hire Jimmy Fox's position 34 sometime this winter, he's transferred to Fairbanks and 35 start working on the compatibility determination that's 36 needed in order to make these or similar restrictions 37 permanent. These specific ones can't remain permanent 38 until there's a public comment period and process that's 39 gone through. So anyway, we hope to do that this winter 40 and have the stipulations on the special use permits 41 finalized by next fall. 42 43 And I think I'll let -- are there any 45 questions about that from anybody on the Council? CHAIRMAN STONEY: You said you had the 48 same amount of hunters this year at the Refuge, what are 49 the numbers?

```
00127
            MS. AYRES: Well, I'm not sure. This was
2 just their impression from flying and looking at the
3 numbers of camps and where people were.
5
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay.
6
            MS. AYRES: So, yeah, just kind of a
8 general impression just passing on, yeah.
10
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay.
11
            MR. RAMOTH: Good afternoon. Clyde
13 Ramoth, Selawik Refuge. I think I'll stick to that issue
14 real briefly and I don't know if you still call it user
15 conflicts but we still are concerned from the village
16 level at Selawik and I know we'll be addressing those
17 soon. I could be wearing two hats today as far as a
18 tribal council member and a Refuge info tech for the
19 Refuge.
2.0
            Through our tribal realty department
22 recently we just started the trespassing program and
23 we'll be looking forward to working with Raymond from
24 NANA and others as far as, I think getting our folks
25 involved and monitoring our rivers and air and certainly
26 that's always a concern for, it seems like forever.
27
             And one good thing about -- I just want
29 to have an open question, do we know if each village has
30 a license, a hunting and fishing license vendor? If not,
31 I think that's very beneficial. I do it there at
32 Selawik, before we had other folks who did it but it's
33 very good when you get to know the hunters and the users
34 out there, you know, it's educational and it's -- you
35 know, you could talk to the hunters more openly about
36 regulations and harvest monitoring. And we still do got
37 our traditional hunters, I can't say what age group, it's
38 more like our mid-age, younger guys that are hesitant on
39 getting their hunting license, they say, you know,
40 they're Inupiats and they have their right to hunt and
41 stuff. But when we tell them, you know, like it's good
42 to monitor our big game and stuff so that's always
43 something I -- I wanted to just bring out briefly.
45
             Environmental concerns, our folks up
46 there, you know, there's always concern about the beaver
47 contamination and global warming, you know, changes
48 within our -- what's the word now, you know, with the
49 caribou and moose migration routes, that's always a big
50 issue, I think, statewide, if I may say.
```

```
00128
            These kind of things we address at the
2 tribal level and sometime at the local community
3 meetings.
            Real quick, I think we'll be heading to
6 -- we'll start wit Noorvik and Kiana soon on steelshot
7 clinics. We had one in Selawik put on July 31 and August
8 1 with help from a couple folks from the Interior from
9 Huslia. But we got a team along with Lynn Johnson,
10 myself. I just recently got certified as an instructor
11 for hunter safety, education programs. So those are good
12 stuff that we want to teach our younger hunters,
13 especially with the steelshot and, you know, the
14 importance of using that with our waterfowl.
15
16
             And of course, there's always the
17 whitefish study that -- and thanks for Susan for
18 definitely being up there in Selawik and I know she's
19 looking forward to the other villages but I think that's
20 very important we keep that -- our folks are always
21 concerned and they're getting more interested about how
22 our whitefish are doing.
23
            Other than the migratory bird survey,
25 Maniilag contract, Enoch Shiedt will be heading that and
26 I'll be assisting him. That's something we're looking
27 forward to as far as monitoring and observing our fish
28 and game.
29
             Other than that, thank you and I got a
31 flight at 4:15, I've got a couple of meetings in the
32 morning.
33
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Any questions from the
35 Council to the Wildlife Refuge. If not, any questions
36 from the public for the Wildlife Refuge. If none, thank
37 you very much.
38
39
            MS. AYRES: Thank you.
40
41
            MR. RAMOTH: Thank you.
42
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: National Park Service.
44 National Park.
45
            MR. ADKISSON: Mr. Chair, Board members.
47 Ken Adkisson National Park Service and Willie Goodwin
48 with me. We'll make this, I think, rather brief.
49
50
            The only thing I've really got for you at
```

```
00129
```

this time is an informational note on the Seward
 Peninsula muskoxen hunt for this year and all of the
 basic information is included in the material I passed
 out so I won't go into it in any depth. But let me say a
 couple three points about it.

First of all, all of the regulatory 8 changes that you folks supported were adopted. 9 essentially by the State Board of Game and the Federal 10 Subsistence Board, largely as recommended. So those 11 changes now are in place and in effect for the current 12 hunt. Keep in mind that it's a jointly managed State 13 Tier II hunt and Federal subsistence hunt. The permit 14 distribution process is what we'll focus on and basically 15 it went pretty well overall this year. In fact, the 16 material I passed out to you on the last page there's an 17 actual breakdown by village and by State and Federal 18 management regime. The only real problem I would say 19 from a Federal subsistence users point of view that 20 occurred was in your area. in the 23 southwest hunt area. 21 and essentially what happened there of the nine State 22 Tier II permits available, roughly half of those wound up 23 in Kotzebue and not Buckland and Deering. In fact of the 24 State Tier II permits, only three of the total nine wound 25 up in Buckland and Deering.

There are a number of people that
28 expressed a great deal of concern about this. I've
29 looked into the matter and what I came up with has been
30 summarized in the first couple pages of the material I
31 provided to you. Basically in a nutshell it's this, the
32 State Tier II hunt does work, it is working elsewhere on
33 the Peninsula by and large. Why it doesn't seem to be
34 working in Buckland and Deering comes down to one
35 unfortunate fact, that is, it doesn't seem like people
36 are applying for it. So they're not participating in the
37 State hunt.

I don't know whether they're actually not
40 getting permits or whether they're not completing them in
41 and mailing them in or whatever but they're just not
42 participating. And unfortunately there's not a really
43 good Federal fix for that because if you look at the map
44 of the distribution of the animals and the information I
45 provided in the summary and on the tables, it shows, for
46 example, for three years of census material the
47 distribution of animals on Federal and State lands and
48 the truth of it is over the last couple of years, the
49 bulk of the animals are found on State managed lands, not
50 on Federal public lands. So simply shifting permits is

```
00130
1 not going to do much good.
3
            Basically we've identified a number of,
4 perhaps, solutions to work with Buckland and Deering on
5 ways to increase participation in the State hunt and
6 we've talked to Percy at length about this and had a
7 teleconference or two with the IRAs and will continue to
8 do so. But, you know, we're committed to try to make the
9 thing work in a nutshell and from discussions I've had
10 with Jim Dau over at ADF&G, he's willing to do what he
11 can to see if we can improve participation in the State
12 hunt by the residents of those two communities.
13
14
            That's it in a nutshell for the 23 hunt.
15 So far to date we haven't had any reported successful
16 Federal harvest. I don't think in most of the villages,
17 there may not even have been any State reported harvest
18 yet though. Around the Nome area there's been a number
19 of muskoxen harvested under the State Tier II program.
            That's it for me unless you have
21
22 questions.
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Ken, I got one
25 question. I see way down on the bottom permits were
26 issued, one to Ambler and one to Kiana and then if these
27 permits were issued to these villages, how do they hunt
28 to the area where there's muskox, do you go on up over to
29 Deering or Candle or Buckland, or, I mean how would you
30 hunt out of Ambler or Kiana?
31
            MR. ADKISSON: No, that's the point. The
33 hunt is in 23 southwest, that's south of Kotzebue Sound
34 and from the Buckland drainage west. So if you're in
35 Kotzebue or Ambler or Kiana or wherever these people are
36 and they get a State permit they have to hunt on State
37 managed lands in that hunt area and no, they can't use
38 that permit around -- right around home.
40
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Oh.
41
            MR. ADKISSON: And there's no aircraft
43 use allowed under the State hunt so they've got to get
44 down to the hunt area some other way.
45
            MR. BALLOT: I wonder if these people
46
47 know that.
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Nobody -- I don't even
50 know if they.....
```

```
00131
           MR. BALLOT: Because I was told somebody
1
2 already got a muskox from one of the villages so.....
           MR. ADKISSON: From other than Buckland
5 and Deering?
6
7
           MR. BALLOT: Roger.
8
9
           MR. ADKISSON: Um?
10
11
            MR. BALLOT: Roger.
12
            MR. ADKISSON: Roger?
13
14
15
            MR. BALLOT: Roger, yes. I said, yes.
16
            MR. ADKISSON: Oh, yeah, okay, I'm with
17
18 you. Yeah.
19
20
            (Laughter)
21
            MR. ADKISSON: Yeah, I don't know that,
23 you really need to ask ADF&G but I believe it probably
24 says on the permit that aircraft aren't allowed to be
25 used and I'm sure that their information and stuff says
26 that, too, so I mean if they are using aircraft they're
27 violating, you know, State provisions.
28
29
            MR. BALLOT: Going back, I just wanted to
30 mention that Buckland and Deering were very upset and we
31 had a teleconference and then we talked to you separately
32 and we had talked about a lot of different things of what
33 we want to do and we came to a thing that we want it to
34 work, why not keep this program going. But we need more
35 participation like from the IRA, in addition to the
36 vendors.
37
38
            It says here that three people got --
40 applied this year, it doesn't say how many permits were
41 given out.
42
43
            MR. ADKISSON: There were.....
44
45
            MR. BALLOT: No, the permits were handed
46 out to the people.
47
48
            MR. ADKISSON: Oh.
49
50
            MR. BALLOT: I heard the vendors say,
```

```
00132
1 hey, I give a lot of them out, you know, but these had to
2 be mailed back into you, to the State, the application.
3
4
           MR. ADKISSON: No, that.....
5
6
           MR. BALLOT: A few were handed out
7 then....
           MR. ADKISSON: Yeah, I don't know. All I
10 know on that Percy is I got to take, I guess, Tim Gavin's
11 word for it. At the teleconference Tim said he -- and
12 he's the vendor, he said that he handed out, you know, a
13 fair number of permits, he didn't say how many but he
14 said he thought, you know, quite a few. The bottom line
15 is, you know, regardless of how many he handed out, there
16 were only three of them that ever came back into ADF&G.
17
18
            MR. BALLOT: Uh-huh.
19
20
            MR. ADKISSON: And of those three, two of
21 the people got permits and the third one was denied a
22 permit on the basis of the State requirements that you
23 can't have more than one permit per household. So what
24 happened was three people in Buckland actually completed
25 their application, mailed it into the State, two of those
26 lived in the same household. So essentially everybody
27 who could qualify got a permit and so, you know.
28
29
            MR. BALLOT: Okay.
30
            MR. ADKISSON: So it's not a scoring
32 issue, it's strictly I think a participation issue and I
33 think one of the things that we want to look at and work
34 with you on is try to work with the IRA and try to
35 increase the number of locations and the information that
36 gets out about the hunt.
37
38
            MR. BALLOT: Uh-huh.
39
40
            MR. ADKISSON: And, you know, see if we
41 can overcome that kind of gap in applications.
42
43
            MR. BALLOT: Okay.
44
            MR. ADKISSON: And there's some things we
46 can do and those are laid out there.
            MR. BALLOT: So if the State -- if we
49 make these recommendations are they going to follow it,
50 too, or are they going to go okay, let's go for it.
```

```
00133
           MR. ADKISSON: That's my understanding
2 from talking to Jim is, is that they'll do what they can
3 along those lines I indicated.
5
           MR. BALLOT: Uh-huh, okay.
6
7
           MR. ADKISSON: So we'll keep you posted
8 and stuff.
10
            MR. BALLOT: Uh-huh.
11
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Any more about muskox,
12
13 Ken or Jim?
15
            MR. ADKISSON: Jim Magdanz just pointed
16 out something that may clear up any confusion, what Tim
17 Gavin, the licensed vendor is doing is providing people
18 with applications, they're not actually permits to hunt.
19 So you have to fill out.....
2.0
21
            MR. BALLOT: Right, we know that.
22
            MR. ADKISSON: ....the application and
24 mail it back in. And we're going to work on, you know,
25 trying to get more in. That's it, in a nutshell.
            MR. BALLOT: We understand that but they
27
28 still have to get the applications.
30
            MR. ADKISSON: Right.
31
            MR. BALLOT: If they don't know the
33 application is there, how can you apply? That's the
34 point I'm trying to make that's why we need some, you
35 know, some more communication on when they're available,
36 where can they be at, more than just one place because
37 at certain times, before Tim wasn't a vendor and we had
38 to go to Deering, so those kind of things need to be
39 clarified. The IRAs are always going to be there, we
40 always share information with our public so I think
41 that'd be a good source of helping out in trying to get
42 the word out, how to fill them out and the where the
43 hunter permits will be available.
            MR. ADKISSON: My understanding is that
45
46 ADF&G is willing to make the applications available
47 through the IRA. So we really will work with the IRA on
48 that Percy.
49
50
            MR. BALLOT: Okay.
```

```
00134
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: And Percy, does the IRA
2 make recommendations that these permits are issued to you
3 or somebody else or they just select them as they wish?
           MR. BALLOT: No, they go to the IRA, the
6 IRA will....
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: To select.....
10
            MR. BALLOT: .....give them out to the
11 people to help them out when they're here and stuff like
13
14
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay.
15
16
            MR. ADKISSON: And my understanding is
17 that we could also -- actually the IRA could probably
18 collect the permits and sort of package them up together
19 and mail them in in one shot so that's another way that
20 we might be able to help the process. But the idea, I
21 think, working with the IRA is we will train the Staff in
22 the IRA offices on how to issue the applications and how
23 to help people fill them out and stuff. Because you can,
24 if you don't answer the questions right, you can wind up
25 with a lower score than what you're probably entitled to.
26 So understanding the application is important but the
27 first hurdle we've got to get over is getting people to
28 actually apply.
29
            MR. BALLOT: Mr. Chairman, I want to
31 thank the National Park Service, especially him and Fred
32 for trying to help us kind of work out these issues.
33
34
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Uh-huh.
35
36
            MR. BALLOT: Because just when we finally
37 start thinking the muskox taste good, we can't get much.
38
39
            (Laughter)
40
            MR. BALLOT: But thanks a lot and we'll
42 make an effort to work more with the State.
            MR. ADKISSON: You're very welcome.
44
45
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay. It's like when
47 Willie was Chair, sitting in the seat right here, we'd
48 have a pot of roast muskox behind us someplace.
49
50
            MR. GOODWIN: You're slipping Raymond.
```

```
00135
1
            (Laughter)
2
3
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Any more questions for
4 Ken about the muskox permits or harvest.
            MR. ADKISSON: Okay, the other thing we
7 wanted to touch on this afternoon was along the line of
8 the user conflict issue and things is what's the Park
9 Service doing in relation to its concession management
10 which will deal with the guides and transporters and I'll
11 let Willie explain what those efforts are because he's
12 one of our key people involved in it.
13
14
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Willie.
15
            MR. GOODWIN: Thank you, Ken. Before I
16
17 get into the commercial service plan I wanted to report
18 to you that with respect to the number of permits issued
19 to transporters and guides, our ranger went on personal
20 leave for an operation so we weren't able to put that
21 information but we will have something in the winter
22 meeting to show number of permits out there. And by that
23 time we should have that information how many permittees
24 had clients out there.
25
             The commercial services plan, that was
26
27 one of the reasons why I went to work for the Park
28 Service is to do this. As you remember, sitting on that
29 side of the table I always insisted that the Federal
30 government, the agencies revisit their permit system so
31 that we can find out if there's a way we can limit the
32 number of clients the permittees have. So the plan now
33 is to do the commercial services plan for the four parks
34 in the region, the Bering Land Bridge, the Cape
35 Krusenstern National Monument, Kobuk Valley National Park
36 and Noatak National Preserve. It will be a blueprint on
37 how commercial operations will be managed in the park
38 lands for 10 to 15 years or so and revisit it again at
39 that time.
40
41
             Identify the types and levels of
42 commercial services. Mainly with your concerns on user
43 conflicts, certainly the issue of number of permits
44 issued for transporters and guides will be thoroughly
45 looked at and after public review -- let me just show --
46 this is -- I've got a draft newsletter that we're going
47 to be sending out and distributing to various tribal
48 governments and of course you will get a copy of it once
49 it's finalized, it's got a couple of more reviews to go
50 through and it will tell you what we're doing or going to
```

```
00136
1 do with this process.
3
            And then I intend to have some meetings
4 in November in Kotzebue, Nome, Ambler, Kiana, Noatak and
5 Shishmaref to review and do the scoping process to hear
6 public concerns on what services should be allowed, to
7 what extent and if there's limits to be imposed and what
8 are their proposals on numbers.
10
            So once we do that then we'll get out
11 another newsletter and then we'll start making the
12 documents and decisions and publish the final plan.
13 Hopefully, and I want to get this done by the spring of
14 2004 we'll have it implemented.
15
16
            So one of the issues you were talking
17 about this morning, Enoch, the Park Service will do here.
18 And I feel excited because I co-chaired the first user
19 conflict meeting that we had here in Kotzebue back a few
20 years ago and I've been staying on top of the issue but
21 now I got to do it, for the Park Service.
23
            Thank you.
24
25
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Any questions for
26 Willie.
            ATTAMUK: Yeah, I got one for Willie.
29 Yeah, I'm in support of the user conflict as happening,
30 the conflict is the transporters dropping off people out
31 in the country. I've been thinking about this for a long
32 time, since the meeting and the best thing I could come
33 out with so far and I know I'm not -- I can't have an
34 answer for everything but that drop off location is
35 probably affecting the migration route of our animals.
36 So I think what we need to do is look into also the
37 location of drop off by the transporters dropping off.
            MR. GOODWIN: Certainly that will be an
39
40 issue that we'll be talking about, not only where they
41 drop off but at what time of the year.
42
43
            ATTAMUK: Uh-huh.
44
            MR. GOODWIN: As the migration of the
46 caribou start to move. There's certain times that we
47 have to make sure that there's no disturbance.
            ATTAMUK: Yeah, before we had this we had
```

50 no conflict. Now that they're dropping off people out

```
00137
1 there we're starting to have conflict. So like I say, I
2 think it's really important that we have the location
3 looked at.
            MR. GOODWIN: Also this plan will take
6 into consideration all the other uses, you know, rafting,
7 canoeing, hiking.
            ATTAMUK: There's not only -- you can't
10 even touch some of those guys in canoe, their bags are so
11 heavy, they won't even let you touch their bags. I tried
12 it in Noatak a couple of times, we know there's more than
13 what's in there, what's going on right at the Preserve,
14 nice heavy rocks. I mean I tried it and they wouldn't
15 even let me touch their bags. I know a heavy bag when I
16 see a bag, I got alcohol a couple times.
17
18
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: When they go in there
19 they get low.
            MR. GOODWIN: That completes my report,
21
22 thank you.
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Thank you very much,
25 National Park.
26
            ATTAMUK: Thanks.
27
28
             CHAIRMAN STONEY: We got the Bureau of
30 Land Management, Squirrel River update, Randy Meyers.
            MS. MEYERS: I think I only have about 13
33 or so copies so if you want one, this is the Squirrel
34 River update in terms of number of transporters and
35 guides for '01 and '02, so maybe if not of great interest
36 to some people perhaps. Randy Meyers, BLM and sitting
37 with me is also Jeannie Cole from BLM.
            And at the very beginning of the meeting
40 I put next to your spots, three different pieces of paper
41 and they all have the Department of Interior BLM logo on
42 it with the bison so look for that in your packets.
43 There are three different reports there.
45
             Okay, the first report is one that if I
46 had been here for your March meeting I would have given
47 but I was in Nome at the annual meeting for the Reindeer
48 Herders Association so Jeannie was here representing BLM
49 but this would be, we're going back now to last fall,
50 2001, and the information that I have here is based on a
```

00138

1 number of sources based on myself flying in the Squirrel 2 for one visit in a Cessna 206 so we could only land at 3 one of the bigger landing strip areas on No Name Creek 4 but we overflew the whole rest of the Squirrel looking to 5 count the number of camps and stuff. And then I talked 6 in my office with several of our special recreation 7 permit holders and I also interviewed one of our special 8 recreation permit holders by phone and interviewed Darryl 9 Hildebrand, the Fish and Wildlife protection officer 10 after the hunting season to get his impressions, you 11 know, what he was seeing in the Squirrel and how that 12 compared to some other areas, the Tag and the Noatak and 13 the Kobuk. So drawing on those sources of information 14 that's what went into the two pages that are the BLM 15 special recreation permit summary for fall 2001 in the 16 Squirrel River. 17

So I'm just going to give you a few 18 19 little highlights from that. We flew on the 18th of 20 September so there were still a lot of hunters in the 21 field because the non-resident moose season runs until 22 the 20th. And we saw a total of nine hunting camps and 23 there were four special recreation permit holders, so 24 those are four guides and they had four main camps and 25 then they each had one or more spike-camps scattered 26 around. And of those guides, they guided 36 clients and 27 they also in camp had a total of 24 support persons and 28 so this is, you know, throughout those nine camps. So 29 the support people are acting as cooks and assistant 30 guides and they're packing the meat out and that kind of 31 thing. And a lot of times when these support people are 32 in camp, they're trading their services for a guided hunt 33 so they're also hunting.

And then you can see that the numbers of 35 36 game taken includes 16 moose, 54 caribou, five brown bear 37 and one black bear. And that goes for the guiding 38 operations that BLM has responsibility to watch over. 39 What I don't have is information on how much game the 40 transporters took and how many clients the transporters 41 dropped off. But I do know that there were four 42 different transporter operations working in the Squirrel 43 last fall.

And then on Page 2, what I did was to 45 46 just give you an idea of what goes on during the several 47 week that a guide camp is in operation, so I kind of 48 summarized their activities in several of the different 49 camps. And the people that I have named here, Wayne 50 Taylor, Matt Own, Ron Aldridge, those are special

```
00139
1 recreation permit holders so they have a permit from BLM
2 to conduct their guiding operations and to have a camp.
            And I'll go ahead and talk about this
5 years but does anybody have any questions on that first
6 report for the fall of '01?
            (Pause)
            MS. MEYERS: Yes, Enoch.
10
11
             ATTAMUK: For your transporters, you got
13 any idea how many animals was taken?
15
            MS. MEYERS: You know, I don't and in
16 previous years I've actually tried to call those guys up
17 and ask them. And so my report for this year is still in
18 progress. I have some information but it's not complete
19 yet. So what I'm going to do is I'm going to ask them
20 about this year and then ask them to think about last
21 year and they might have records they can go back to but
22 if not I'm just going to ask them for their impression.
23 So when I give you an update this spring on this year's
24 information from the transporters I hope to have a little
25 bit of information from last year.
             Just generally how it's been in the past,
27
28 that the number of hunters that the transporters drop off
29 has been higher than the number of clients that are
30 special recreation permit holders take in or guide and it
31 makes sense. Because if you are responsible for, you
32 know, taking a person out and finding an animal for them,
33 that takes a lot more time than just, you know, dropping
34 someone off on a gravel bar. So they have to feed them,
35 keep them happy and, you know, talk to them about the
36 regulations and, you know, how to tell a 50 rack moose
37 from a 60 rack moose and all that kind of stuff.
39
            ATTAMUK: I got another question.
40
41
            MS. MEYERS: Enoch.
             ATTAMUK: Are you ever going to talk to
44 the guides to see what they see what they think of this
45 transporters dropping off people? I know they have their
46 -- there's a conflict right here, the guides versus the
47 -- the guides don't like the transporters because they're
48 disturbing the hunting area for their clients and the
49 clients are having a harder and harder time getting their
```

50 bag.

```
00140
            MS. MEYERS: The issue seems to be if
2 there's enough spacing between this guide here and the
3 transporter party that's dropped over there. And so if
4 there's enough, you know, room in between the two they
5 seem to be able to coexist. But if when they start
6 getting crowded then there is definitely some conflict.
7 And just generally talking to Wayne Taylor this year at
8 No Name Creek, he said that he really wasn't feel the
9 press of other people this year. There was -- while I
10 was there visiting him you could hear an airplane
11 downstream from where we were and every once in a while
12 you could see one and it was circling a lot and we
13 weren't sure what was going on. And you could see that
14 he was a little bit concerned about that and he said.
15 boy, I sure hope they don't drop somebody near my camp.
16 So it is an ongoing concern.
17
18
            ATTAMUK: Let me ask another question.
19
2.0
            MS. MEYERS: Yes.
21
            ATTAMUK: Can any plane land anywhere on
22
23 the Squirrel River in the sand bars?
25
            MS. MEYERS: Yeah, wherever they can find
26 a place on the sand bar that's long enough for them to
27 land safely.
28
29
            ATTAMUK: Uh-huh.
30
31
            MS. MEYERS: That's legal.
32
33
            ATTAMUK: Yeah.
            MS. MEYERS: Okay. For this year the
36 weather hasn't been real great for flying and then on the
37 days when there is halfway decent weather, the two
38 outfits that I would fly with to make a visit in the
39 Squirrel have been pretty busy. So I was able to fly in
40 a Cessna 206 on the 12th of September and I had hoped to
41 get out before that, either on the 10th or an 11th in a
42 smaller plane, in a little Super Cub so we could land at
43 more different spots than we could in the 206, that
44 didn't work, I've just been out that one time in the 206.
45 And I've tried after that time frame to get out in the
46 Super Cub, haven't yet, but I'm still on their schedule.
47 So that will give me some more information to add to this
48 report.
49
50
            But when we did fly on the 12th of
```

```
00141
```

```
1 September, we saw 11 camps and this was only in the
2 western third of the Squirrel River so we were in the
3 headwaters fork area, the west fork area, No Name Creek,
4 the main stem of the Squirrel and we saw 11 camps. Not
5 all of them were big, some of them were small spike-camps
6 that just had one tent. But others were bigger camps
7 that had maybe, you know, anywhere from four tents to six
8 tents.
10
             We landed at Wayne Taylor's again because
11 we were in a 206 and his operation was a lot smaller this
12 year than he's had in previous years. He had one guided
13 hunter, so only one client and then he had four other
14 drop off clients. And he said he thought that the
15 terrorist bombings from last year and the generally
16 depressed economy this year had effected his client
17 numbers. And he is planning on trying to have a few more
18 next year but with that small group he had the one guided
19 hunter, four drop offs and besides himself in camp he had
20 only two other people support, doing the cooking and
21 assistant guide and that kind of thing. So it was a
22 pretty small operation this year.
             And he said the caribou were coming
25 through in nice small bunches pretty regularly, not a lot
26 of them but they saw caribou every day and they were a
27 nice mix, some cows and calves but usually had some bulls
28 mixed in. So they had shot some caribou and were hoping
29 to get, you know, one moose for his camp and then they
30 were going to pack up and head out.
31
             So I still have some work to do to find
33 out how the other special recreation permit holders did.
34 Another thing that we do that I forgot to mention is that
35 they need to send in annual reports and these reports are
36 pretty cut and dry, not a whole lot of information in
37 them. But that also tells us, you know, how many clients
38 they took out and what type of game they took and the
39 dates and that kind of stuff, so those reports are still
40 coming in -- well, they're not even here yet, they won't
41 come in until this winter.
42
            So any questions for this year?
43
44
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Questions.
45
46
            MS. MEYERS: Okay, the last is a special
48 request from Percy because we've had a lot of focus on
49 the Squirrel but he wanted to know, well, what's
50 happening down in Buckland and this is for '01. I haven't
```

```
00142
```

1 done the -- actually this is a blend, this is a blend for 2 '01 and '02, last year and this year. And we actually 3 have three special recreation permit holders down in the 4 general Buckland area. One fellow is in the Kauk River 5 area, one fellow is in the Kiwalik River area and the 6 third guy is in the upper Koyukuk River. Let's see, what do I want to say, because 9 these are for different times of the year, one operation 10 was a small operation, John Walker's, he just took out 11 one hunter in May of last year and they got a brown bear. 12 Mike Vanning started hunting last year, started guiding 13 last year in Northwest Alaska and his is kind of a medium 14 size operation, four to eight clients each year for 15 caribou and moose. And then Bob Boutang is new this year 16 and he has a little bit bigger operation but in talking 17 to one of his assistant guides who is actually a full 18 fledged guide in his own right, but anyway, he's 19 assisting Bob this year, they realized that they bit off 20 more than they can chew. The 27 drop off hunters that 21 they took in, he said that that was way too many hunters. 22 They just couldn't ride herd on those guys. And he said 23 they spent some time briefing them on game regs, on, you 24 know, land status and agency policies with respect to 25 land status and, you know, stuff about safety and 26 hypothermia and that it seemed to just kind of, you know, 27 not really sink in. So next year, they're already 28 planning for next year, they want to come back but they 29 want to streamline their operation and they want to 30 increase the number of guided hunters, not by a lot but --31 and decrease by quite a bit, the number of drop off 32 transporters. So I thought that, you know, they were 33 being practical and realistic and I was glad to hear 34 that. 35 36 Questions in the Buckland, especially 37 Percy. MR. BALLOT: Just that it doesn't really 40 say how much hunters are dropped off by the transporters? MS. MEYERS: You're right. That is the 43 weakness of this one because the people that I talked to, 44 and they were names that I had heard in the past that had 45 operated around here. Tanana Air. Wright Air. Warbelo's. 46 Northwest Aviation, of course, and there was one other 47 and none of them were taking anybody into the Buckland 48 River area. And so that includes Deering and the Tag and 49 all of that. Oh, Brooks Valley Outfitters or something --50 Brooks Range Outfitters, those were the five and it was

```
00143
1 just too far away from their operations, their base of
2 operations. But the people that I didn't talk to didn't --
3 they were busy, you know, they were out flying and
4 hunting and so I think the three that I've listed here
5 probably are active this year and were active last year
6 in the Buckland and I'll try to get some figures from
7 them
            MR. BALLOT: I do appreciate your report
10 and your effort.
            MS. MEYERS: Oh, good, good. And that's
13 all I had.
            MS. COLE: I'm Jeannie Cole and I'm with
15
16 the Bureau of Land Management. And I just wanted to take
17 an opportunity to update the Council on the progress of
18 the Western Arctic Caribou Management Plan. I put a copy
19 on the table for all of you and it's also got a cover
20 letter from Fish and Game on it.
21
            This plan has been in the process for
23 about two years, Raymond is really familiar with it.
24 He's been working on it. And this is the public review
25 draft which is out for public comment right now. The
26 deadline for commenting is October 31st of this year.
27 And the reason for that deadline is that the planning
28 group is supposed to meet in November to go over people's
29 comments and then the final plan will be given to the
30 working group at their December meeting for their
31 approval. And then if they approve it, it would go to
32 the Board of Game and the Federal Subsistence Board in
33 2003 for approval.
             And we'd really like to get more public
36 input on it, especially from the villages out in the
37 region. The cover letter here gives you a little
38 background on it. It has the address of where you send
39 comments. It has John Trent's phone number. If you
40 don't want to write comments you can call John and give
41 him verbal comments. He's also got his e-mail address
42 here if you have e-mail access and you like doing e-mail.
43 You can send comments by e-mail. There's a comment form
44 in there which is self-addressed and postage paid so you
45 can just write comments right on there and fold it up and
46 staple it and put it in the mail. It has specific
47 questions on it but you can also attach more papers if
48 you just want to make general comments.
49
```

There's more copies of the plan and these

```
00144
```

```
1 forms over there on the table if you would like to take
2 more of them home with you, if there's other people in
3 your villages that you think might be interested in
4 looking at the plan and willing to comment on it. Please
5 take as many as you want, give them out to people, try to
6 get people to make comments.
            It looks kind of long but it's actually
9 -- a lot of it appendices, the actual plan is only 15
10 pages long and it's kind of an overall strategy plan just
11 to guide the management of the herd.
             And the major issues addressed in it are
13
14 population management, it splits the herd up into a low
15 population, medium population or high population and kind
16 of outlines what kind of management strategy would be
17 used at those different population levels. It has a
18 section on habitat management, a section on regulations,
19 section on the reindeer industry and conflicts. And also
20 an education component and a knowledge component, how to
21 preserve the traditional, ecological knowledge and use it
22 for management and also how to -- what additional
23 scientific knowledge do we need and how are we going to
24 go about getting that information.
25
             So I just wanted to make you all aware of
26
27 that and that the deadline for comments is coming up and
28 that the working group would really, really like to get a
29 lot of input from local people. That's all I have unless
30 you all have questions.
             CHAIRMAN STONEY: Questions for Jeannie
33 from the Council.
            MR. BALLOT: I'm just wondering, it's
36 just background information that you here, it says that
37 the last census was '99, when's the next one going to be
38 or how often do they do them?
39
            MS. COLE: They do them every three years
41 and they were supposed to do one this summer but the
42 weather didn't cooperate. So I imagine they'll try it
43 again next summer.
             MS. MEYERS: And the interesting thing on
45
46 the weather this summer, it wasn't so much that they
47 couldn't fly, that it was low ceilings and visabilities
48 but it was cool and windy up on the North Slope and so
49 they depend on the caribou getting together in huge
50 aggregations, thousands and thousands of caribou all mass
```

```
00145
```

```
1 together if the whether is hot with not much wind because
2 they're trying to get away from insects and so they're
3 traveling towards the coast and they just band together
4 in big bunches. Because it's harder for the insects to
5 get at them if they're all crowded together. But this
6 July up on the Slope, it was warm down here but it was
7 cool and rainy up there so they flew a lot and they had
8 taken all their supplies up to where they base out of,
9 their base camp and they were ready to do the census, all
10 the people were waiting but the caribou never got into
11 their big groups so it wouldn't have done them any good
12 to go up. So they were ready to do that census this
13 year. So they're hoping -- and that was kind of an
14 unusual year for that to happen. Usually the caribou are
15 really balled up in July.
16
            MR. BALLOT: Thank you.
17
18
             ATTAMUK: Yeah, Percy, a majority of the
20 caribou were up in the mountains this year, not like they
21 used to be on the flats, where they had been on -- on the
22 grounds where they usually have -- when I talked to Jim
23 is why they couldn't take the census this year.
25
             And I thank Randy for the Squirrel River
26 and we still need to get a handle, like I say, on the
27 conflict, user conflict at the BLM land. Because there's
28 only so much the land could take before it starts
29 suffering and we need that information. I know it's
30 going to be a slow process but I'd say you're doing a
31 good job and don't give up on it. I'm pretty happy with
32 what I've seen so far.
33
            MS. MEYERS: Thank you.
34
35
            MR. BALLOT: The other part is, does this
37 plan -- I'm just wondering how much over the years
38 reindeers have mixed with the caribou? What are those
39 numbers and what are they doing, are they making super
40 reindeer or caribous or what?
41
42
            (Laughter)
43
            MS. COLE: Well, based on what I've
45 talked to Jim Dau about that, he says the number of
46 reindeer that have disappeared from the Seward Peninsula,
47 like, for instance this last year, maybe a few thousand
48 more, two or three thousand more reindeer took off from
49 the Seward Peninsula but that is such a small number of
50 reindeer compared to the 450,000 caribou, that it
```

```
00146
1 probably doesn't make a big impact as far as genetics and
2 interbreeding. And also the reindeer tend -- they breed
3 like -- they drop their fawns a month earlier so they
4 tend to get left behind as the caribou are migrating
5 north, they tend to drop out and not be able to keep up.
            But that's a good question.
7
8
            MR. BALLOT: I was looking at the
10 satellite tag and stuff and the tracking. I heard some
11 folks told me that they went all the way somewhere and
12 ended up in Sosolic, straight across. I'm just
13 wondering, is that what they're doing now?
             ATTAMUK: Yeah, I'll answer that. I
15
16 forward that to your office at Buckland.
             MR. BALLOT: Oh.
18
19
2.0
             ATTAMUK: On the information I got. And
21 every time I get information from Nome I forward it to
22 you. But the process on that satellite is this, that I
23 recommended and it's accepted, that they delay a
24 satellite information by one month except for certain
25 access into it that we could get the satellite tagging
26 reading but the outside sporthunters will get information
27 the caribous -- the exact location to date is delayed by
28 one month. It's okay up to a point. Most guys got an
29 idea, you know, the migration routes of the caribou but
30 outsiders that don't know anything, they will use that
31 satellite tagging to get information to find the
32 location, individuals with planes, that way they would
33 use it. And it has a delay in there, Percy, because I'm
34 the one that -- Raymond and I is in that working group --
35 on this plan here, up to a point, I'm satisfied with it
36 but I'm not going to -- I'm still not completely -- I'm
37 not 100 percent. I don't think I'll ever be 100 percent
38 in my life anyhow.
39
40
             (Laughter)
41
             ATTAMUK: But still, there's some things
43 left out by the State that I have concerns over. They
44 don't have a biological study done on the food chain of
45 the caribou and I see how it -- it will effect the
46 caribou and it will effect -- if they don't have any food
47 out there like any other animals they'll decline. And
48 this is one of the reasons we have to look into it. And
```

49 I've been trying to tell the State and they're not

50 hearing me. And that's the thing I'm going to object to --

```
00147
1 I'm not agree to -- this is my -- I'm not going to agree
2 to this here and the input I get from the people here
3 when they call me, the IRA villages, I had about eight
4 different IRA villages call me because I e-mailed this --
5 I scanned this.
            MS. COLE: The plan you did, oh, good.
7
8
            ATTAMUK: I scanned it and I e-mailed it
10 to everybody.
            MS. COLE: Good.
12
13
14
            ATTAMUK: Because I find out when you not
15 only mail it sometimes it gets tossed aside in the office
16 and they never see it but e-mail is a different thing,
17 they have to respond to it. That's one of the tools.
            MS. COLE: There is a section on habitat
20 and it does recommend habitat monitoring but right now
21 BLM is the only agency that's doing any habitat
22 monitoring and we have such a small number of studies out
23 there that, you know, it's hard to extrapolate that over
24 the whole range of the herd.
25
            ATTAMUK: I asked Randy to give us a
27 speech on moss study that she's done so far and the
28 plants she did.
30
            MS. MEYERS: Lichens.
31
            ATTAMUK: Lichens study she did because I
33 like the work she's doing and happy with what she's doing
34 so far. But still, like I say, the completion of this
35 plan, is, to me, it's not complete, yet and I can't agree
36 to anything that's not complete like anything else, even
37 like.....
            MS. COLE: Well, keep in mind this is an
40 overall strategy plan so you could -- not everything's
41 going to be answered in this plan. This plan is going to
42 say, okay, the next step is we need to do this and then
43 as the plan is implemented additional things can be done
44 and so.....
45
            ATTAMUK: Like we say at the meeting when
46
47 is the Feds going to take over.
48
49
            MS. COLE: Anything else.
```

50

```
00148
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: That's it.
1
2
3
            MS. COLE: Okay, thank you.
5
            MS. MEYERS: Thank you.
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: All right, we're down
8 to Alaska Department of Fish and Game, A, B, C, D. State
9 Fish and Game.
            MS. GEORGETTE: I think it's just us
12 Raymond. My name is Susan Georgette and this is Jim
13 Magdanz and we work with the Subsistence Division with
14 the Fish and Game Department here. And we just have a
15 brief update on some of our work. In fact, I have some
16 pictures here from the whitefish work that I thought you
17 could just flip through and pass around.
             As LeeAnn mentioned earlier we're working
20 on a regional project to document Inupiat knowledge of
21 whitefish in Kotzebue Sound. And the funding's come from
22 several sources, including the Selawik Refuge, the Gates
23 of the Arctic National Park and the Federal Subsistence
24 Office. My colleagues in this project have been Attamuk
25 with Maniilaq and Clyde Ramoth with the Selawik Refuge.
26 Last winter and spring, Clyde and I interviewed about a
27 dozen elders and fishermen in Selawik about their
28 knowledge of whitefish. Most of what we're trying to
29 document are things people know about their abundance.
30 the kinds of whitefish that are in their area, where they
31 spawn, how they move, which kinds are preferred, just all
32 sorts of things. And as you know, whitefish are kind of
33 a complicated animal or fish and I had this one chart I
34 used sometimes in our interviews that shows the different
35 kinds of whitefish that scientists recognize but in
36 Selawik, for instance, people had six or seven names of
37 different kinds of whitefish that we were never totally
38 able to say well this is this kind and where they're
39 different, whether mixed species or age or different
40 drainages and that's one of the reasons why Jeff Adams
41 and LeeAnn talked about that project that they wanted to
42 do in Selawik to really figure out the different species
43 and how they correspond. Because you really need to be
44 out there for a long time looking at what people are
45 catching and talking with them.
             Jim Magdanz and I spent last week in the
48 Upper Kobuk River fish camps talking to people about
49 whitefish and looking at what they catch. Charlie Lean
```

50 and I boated around in Selawik last June for a couple

```
00149
1 days and looked at what people caught and talked with
2 people in the camps and it's really been a pleasure to
3 work on and a lot of fun to work on with people.
            We're hoping to do similar work in the
6 coming year in Noatak and possible Kotzebue and Noorvik.
7 So we have kind of different areas of the region covered.
            We're also working on our subsistence
10 salmon harvest surveys that we do every year and I'm
11 working with John Trent and Maniilag on caribou and big
12 game harvest surveys in a couple of villages each year.
13
14
            So that's all I have right now.
15
16
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Jim.
17
18
            MR. MAGDANZ: Mr. Chair.
19
2.0
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Oh, wait a minute, any
21 questions for Susan. No questions, okay, Jim.
            MR. MAGDANZ: Mr. Chair, Jim Magdanz.
24 I've worked on several projects over the last year.
            One of the projects has been down in the
27 Nome area, just to mention it, with Kawarek, we surveyed
28 households in Nome that fished outside of the Nome permit
29 area to estimate salmon harvest down there. And it's
30 been a productive project with Kawarek and we've come up
31 with a better estimate of Nome salmon harvest than we
32 have ever before. Fish and Wildlife has supported that
33 research.
            In this area I've been involved in a Park
35
36 Service project looking at the human land relationships
37 on the Upper Kobuk River. It was an outgrowth of the
38 user conflicts. We've been interviewing elders there for
39 three years, talking to them about how they use the land
40 through their lives and how their families use the land,
41 where they camped and when and why and how those uses
42 have changed over time.
43
            This year we're interviewing Rose Custer,
45 who lived most of her life in Kobuk Our other
46 respondents have all been from Shungnak. So Rose moves
47 us up river a village and now we have a Kobuk respondent.
48 And I've been working with Vernetta Nay and Jonas Ramoth.
49 Vernetta Nay is with Maniilag's Inupiat program, Jonas is
```

50 with the Park Service and so the three of us have been

```
00150
1 working with Rose on that project. And the ultimate goal
2 is to write a report that documents the use of land on
3 the Upper Kobuk River for subsistence.
            Looking ahead, the Park Service is
6 funding a project to do a comprehensive survey of
7 subsistence in one community on the Kobuk River. We
8 periodically will do what we used to call baseline
9 surveys where we not only ask about salmon and big game
10 but we ask about really everything that people use. We
11 also ask about employment and we ask about family history
12 in a real comprehensive survey. And these surveys, we
13 don't do, by any means every year and we certainly don't
14 do them for every community but the Kobuk River is one
15 place where we've never done a survey like this. We've
16 got Kivalina and Kotzebue and Noatak and Deering. But we
17 don't have anything on any of the villages to the east.
18 And so we're going to propose that we do that -- I think
19 we're going to do that in Shungnak but we need to sit
20 down with the IRA there and see what their level of
21 interest is. And if we do do it with a community we'll
22 do a cooperative agreement with the IRA and bring them in
23 as a partner on the project.
25
            So that's what's on tap for me in the
26 coming winter.
             One other item, I attended the Board of
29 Game meeting in November and again in January when they
30 discussed the controlled use area on the Upper Kobuk. I
31 got the tapes of those meetings and I put them on CD, so
32 if anyone is interested in listening to the public
33 testimony and the Staff reports and the Board's
34 deliberations on that controlled use area to see what
35 information the Board had before it in January and what
36 the Board said in the process of making their decisions,
37 I can put that on CD for you. I made a couple copies of
38 that and took it up to Shungnak recently and gave it out
39 to some people in the village who had been interested in
40 that so they could hear what the Board had done.
41
42
            Mr. Chair.
43
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Any questions from the
45 Council to Jim. Agencies. Public. I guess no
46 questions, Jim.
47
48
            MS. GEORGETTE: Thanks.
49
            MR. MAGDANZ: Okay, thanks.
```

```
00151
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Thank you very much.
2 Okay, other reports, do we have anything on other
3 reports, Percy, as far as from the agencies?
5
            MR. BALLOT: Mum's the word.
6
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay. If none, we're
8 to the next item, written reports and informational items
9 for Council members, where'd that come from?
            MR. BOYD: Mr. Chair, I think that's sort
11
12 of a catch all in case you didn't get briefed on
13 everything. But I'm not aware of any other written
14 materials that are available either in the book or from
15 the agencies unless the agencies have anything to add.
            I think that's one of the standard items
17
18 that we put in our agendas to make sure we catch those
19 things. But I'm not aware that there are additional
20 information that you haven't seen already.
21
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay. Down to other
22
23 business.
25
            MR. BALLOT: Yes, Mr. Chairman.
26
27
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Percy.
            MR. BALLOT: I do have one that we should
30 consider and that is the issue we were talking about
31 earlier about transporters and stuff like that. After
32 hearing all that, them not being regulated like our
33 guides are and all that kind of stuff. I'd like to -- I
34 don't know whether we need -- what it should be called,
35 an opinion letter or a letter requesting that there is
36 something that needs to be in place in regards to
37 regulating transporters and stuff like that. So I'd like
38 to see a letter written that needs to be addressed by the
39 State or whoever the powers that be at some point in time
40 because of the user conflict interest things that are
41 going on. And I'd like to, that we recommend from this
42 board that this issue be looked at and considered very
43 highly.
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay. Anything else on
45
46 other business? I presume that the agencies will look
47 into this, what persons.....
            MR. BOYD: I think we'll work with you,
50 Mr. Chair, in terms of a letter.
```

```
00152
1
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay. Tom.
2
3
           MR. BOYD: I'm trying to recall some of
4 the discussion but is this -- would this letter be
5 addressed to -- whom would you be addressing that letter
6 to?
8
           MR. BALLOT: Well, like I said, it'd be
9 the State of Alaska, Governor.
10
11
            MR. BOYD: Okay.
12
            MR. BALLOT: Create whatever it might be,
13
14 I don't know, if you'd call them a Game Board.....
15
16
            MR. BOYD: Right.
17
            MR. BALLOT: .....but these transporters
18
19 aren't regulated like guide hunters are or whatever.
20 Isn't that what I heard today?
21
22
            MS. MEYERS: That is correct.
23
            MR. BALLOT: Okay, that's what I'm saying
25 then. Is that -- somebody needs to address these issues
26 that these transporters are creating.
27
28
            MR. BOYD: Okay. I'm going to be asking
29 Helen to work directly with you, Mr. Stoney.....
31
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Yeah.
32
33
            MR. BOYD: .....to work on that.
34
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Sure. Okay, I'll do
35
36 that.
37
38
            MR. BOYD: Okay.
39
40
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Anything else on other
41 business. I guess there's none so the back of your.....
42
43
            ATTAMUK: Oh.
44
45
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Did I miss anything?
46
            ATTAMUK: Yeah, I got one but these
48 pictures are interesting. I got one, is there anywhere
49 in the Park lands, not only here in Alaska but other park
50 areas that are having the problems with the user conflict
```

```
00153
```

```
1 people coming into an area, in the migration -- is there
2 anywhere -- a study done on the user conflict? This
3 question was asked before and never answered. Like
4 Yellowstone or anywhere, where how much could the land
5 take before it started being hurt. Because with the
6 conflict we're having right now we are starting to hurt
7 just from the -- just on caribou alone. You know, if
8 there was no caribou we probably wouldn't holler but we
9 are caribou people, you know.
10
            Are you aware of any?
11
12
            MR. BOYD: Well, I'm sure there is.
13
14
15
             ATTAMUK: Because there is only so much
16 the land can take and abused.
            MR. BOYD: I would defer to my colleagues
18
19 in the various agencies on this. It's not an area that
20 I'm expert enough to speak to. But I'm sure that there
21 are such studies on limits of types of uses in
22 various.....
23
            ATTAMUK: Because it's such a small area,
25 the Squirrel River is being hit, also Buckland and
26 Selawik, you know. And even if they're not landing in
27 Noatak, I'm feeling it and the people in Noatak is
28 feeling it, you know, just the planes just flying over
29 you know.
31
            MR. BOYD: Would any of my colleagues
32 like to respond?
            MR. SPIRTES: Dave Spirtes. I'm
35 superintendent of Western Arctic Park lands. There's a
36 tremendous number of studies of impacts of people on
37 social -- the social effects on other visitors in the
38 Lower 48 and now in National Parks there's a study of
39 aircraft impacts. Gates of the Arctic, in fact, is doing
40 one between users. None of the ones that we've been able
41 to find really correlate to the situation here of sort of
42 impact of outside hunters on traditional cultures and
43 communities. And so there's methodology for determining
44 what those effects are but we really haven't seen
45 anything that we could really apply very well to this.
47
             And I will say this, as Willie goes
48 forward with the -- he's going to be the lead planner on
49 the commercial services planning, we'll be looking a
50 little more closely into that and we can make a report
```

```
00154
1 back at the next meeting as to what we've been able to
2 find about how we can mitigate those impacts.
            ATTAMUK: Because, you know, as Natives
5 out there, you know, in what we're hearing, you know,
6 just by the migration back to it again, you know, we're
7 feeling it, you know, and then why are the people in
8 Noatak hunting down river instead of up like they used
9 to, you know. And like I stated back earlier, you know.
10 just the drop off points of the canoes or somebody
11 somewhere is changing the route of the caribou, the
12 migration route. And that's going to impact the herd and
13 if they do decline everybody's going to hurt. Not only
14 the subsistence takers or users, it's going to effect the
15 guides, too, everybody's going to be effected. And if we
16 start working on it now it would be nice and stay ahead
17 of the ball game, you know. We need to do it now and
18 start worrying about it now. Because right now just
19 because the caribou is healthy we shouldn't worry about
20 it. I think that's when we should start worrying about
21 it, when the numbers are getting too high.
            MR. SPIRTES: And as you know, Enoch,
23
24 just because of the natural variability in what caribou
25 do, it just makes it so complex to separate out what's an
26 impact by an aircraft or by human activity from what's
27 just their natural variation. But we certainly have to
28 find better ways to do that.
30
            ATTAMUK: I'm glad the caribou play games
31 with us.
32
33
            (Laughter)
34
            ATTAMUK: Thanks.
35
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay, I've been waiting
38 for this, 15, establish time and a place for the next
39 meeting. On the back of your packets you've got winter
40 2003. Tom.
41
```

MR. BOYD: You'll notice that behind Tab

There are two concerns that I present in

43 M, Mr. Chair, there's a letter from me to the Regional 44 Advisory Council members about the scheduling of 45 meetings. I hope you've had a chance to read it.

48 two pages. One is when we hold the meetings and the 49 other is where we hold the meetings. And in general, the 50 concerns on where we hold the meetings and I've been

46

47

```
00155
```

1 focused on the smaller communities and the attendant 2 concerns and problems associated with traveling to the 3 smaller communities. That has not been an issue in this 4 region because most of the meetings if not all of them 5 have been held here in Kotzebue. However, from time to 6 time we see that, you know, there may be issues specific 7 to a small community where we would want to figure out a 8 way to consult with those folks and hold smaller meetings 9 in smaller communities as well. But given the growth of 10 this program after we took over fisheries and the larger 11 number of Staff that have to travel and get to places, 12 there have been a number of problems associated with 13 traveling to the small communities. That's problem 14 number 1. 15 Number 2, is scheduling. It's something 16 17 you don't see that I see is that when we try to fit 18 together a schedule for 10 Councils sometimes because we 19 have Staff that has to travel to more than one meeting we 20 see conflicts. Not only conflicts with that but just for 21 administering 10 different meetings. So I've proposed in here a discussion on 23 24 effective ways to address the question of, you know, 25 whether we should conduct as many meetings in the smaller 26 communities and, again, I'm not sure that that is a 27 concern of this Council since all of the meetings or most 28 of the meetings are here and the most effective ways to 29 proceed on that in the future. And the second concern is 30 how to address the question of managing 10 Council 31 meetings and how to engage with you in doing that. And what I've proposed on the second 33 34 concern, on the when question or the scheduling question 35 is that we not only identify the dates for the winter 36 meetings that are coming up in about six months but the 37 meetings that will occur next fall, we identify those as 38 well at this meeting. So that we can plan a year out. 39 And then we can put together a schedule for next fall and 40 bring it to you at the next meeting so you can see how 41 you fit in with all the other Councils and where there 42 might be conflicts and where there might be opportunities 43 for making adjustments. Does that make sense? What I'm asking is to do some planning 45 46 further out than just the next meeting, so that we have 47 an opportunity to make adjustments if we need to, you 48 know, before the meeting occurs and I can -- or my Staff 49 can consult with the entire Council, you know, before we 50 have those meetings and that sort of thing.

```
00156
            So I'm asking you to kind of look at, not
2 only the next meeting next winter but the meetings next
3 fall as well. And we've got two of these -- I don't know
4 if you have this.
            MR. FRIED: I don't think they were in
6
7 the suitcase.
            MR. BOYD: Yeah, there's only one in the
10 book and maybe -- this is where I need my coordinator
11 here. We have another meeting window schedule that we
12 can hand out to you. I don't know if we can get copies
13 of this now?
14
            ATTAMUK: I hear what you're saying
15
16 because there's a conflict with -- might, but not yet,
17 though, but with the Migratory Birds, Western Arctic
18 Caribou herd and this group here.
20
            MR. BOYD: Well, exactly.
21
            ATTAMUK: And with the Migratory Bird, we
23 designated two meetings and they'll be about which month,
24 too, and they're going to be scheduled. So that was
25 going to -- what I was going to say is we need to do that
26 with this organization here that way if I have my
27 Migratory Bird meeting I will say this is about when we
28 will have our unit -- our eight -- we'll have our meeting
29 at these dates and it will be okay. I can't speak for
30 the other Councils, you know, and that's the problem
31 we're running into from the other regions is the other
32 Councils can make certain meetings but we can't always
33 satisfy everyone. That's why it was nice for the
34 Migratory Birds to have alternates.
35
36
            MR. BOYD: Yes.
37
            ATTAMUK: So if we could pick two dates
39 for the winter and spring meeting it would be nice and
40 now -- we stick to those -- not always the same dates but
41 real close to the dates there within the week to two
42 weeks.
43
44
            MR. BOYD: Okay.
45
            ATTAMUK: That way 10 years from now
47 we'll always stick to that schedule. And your meeting
48 with the smaller communities, I would like to comment on
49 that. We tried that with different Western Arctic
50 Caribou, Migratory Birds, it don't quite work out like
```

```
00157
1 that due to hotel. There's no place to put them. And
2 it's hard to impose on people and say, can we have people
3 stay in your house.
5
           MR. BOYD: Yes.
6
           ATTAMUK: Even me, with some of my
8 relatives, I had a hard time asking to stay in there
9 homes. So you know, we have to be careful of where -- if
10 there is a hotel, yes, like -- but Upper Kobuk, but
11 there's only so much the little places could take.
            So unless there's a real conflict of
13
14 decline or issues we have to hit in the community, that's
15 the only time I would recommend that we come in where
16 there's no hotels.
17
18
            So when is our next opening date without
19 the other calendars, the ones we have here with other
20 Councils?
21
            MR. BOYD: Mr. Chair, I'd suggest maybe
23 we look at the -- if you want to do that now, look at the
24 winter meeting window and select a date or alternate and
25 then we'll look at next fall if that's okay with you.
27
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: So just look at the
28 winter.....
            MR. BOYD: Well we can first look at the
31 next winter meeting schedule, this is what you have in
32 your book.
33
34
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Uh-huh.
35
36
            MR. BOYD: And pick some meetings dates
37 off of that calendar.
38
39
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay.
40
41
            MR. BOYD: The window runs from February
42 18th to March 21st.
44
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay.
45
            MR. BOYD: And you could pick a time for
47 that meeting and then we can work with that. And if you
48 want to pick an alternate that's fine, too. And then I
49 thought we could look at next fall as well.
```

```
00158
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Yeah.
1
2
           MR. BOYD: Next fall would not be set in
4 stone yet. We could then come back to you next winter
5 and show you what it looks like for all the Councils and
6 if we need to make adjustments and plus these other
7 meetings and then if we need to make adjustments then we
8 can do that.
10
           I think the idea is we want to keep
11 looking forward so that we avoid these conflicts, as far
12 forward as possible.
13
14
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: You guys want the dates
15 in February or March? February 16th through March 22nd.
16 I know that March is somewhat busy, isn't it Lillian?
17
18
           MS. JOHNSON: Uh-huh.
19
2.0
           MR. BOYD: Yeah, it's February 18th
21 through March 21st on your calendar where we have the
22 meeting window opens.
23
24
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Yeah.
25
26
           MR. BOYD: February 18th and it closes on
27 the 21st of March.
29
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Pick your dates.
30
           MR. BALLOT: Do we need two days? One
32 day? Half a day?
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Well, depending on what
35 we got, right?
           MR. BOYD: Yeah. Normally you've had one
37
38 day meetings.
40
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Yeah.
41
42
           MR. BOYD: You could pick two days and
43 then when we see the agenda we can make an adjustments.
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Sure. Pick two days
45
46 then because like we're almost barely going to.....
           MR. BALLOT: 27 and 28.
48
49
           ATTAMUK: Out.
```

```
00159
1
          MR. BALLOT: Out.
2
3
          ATTAMUK: February or what -- oh, got to
4 be February.
6
          MR. BALLOT: What do you have open?
7
8
          CHAIRMAN STONEY: February what?
10
           MS. JOHNSON: 27 and 28 he say.
11
12
           MR. BALLOT: I'm just throwing numbers
13 out.
14
15
           ATTAMUK: I would like to travel back on
16 Friday. I don't want to spend the weekend in Anchorage.
17
18
           MR. BALLOT: It's in Anchorage?
19
           ATTAMUK: Well, the 28 is a Friday.
20
21
           MR. BOYD: Well, the meetings would be
22
23 here in the region.
24
25
           ATTAMUK: Here in our region?
26
27
           MR. BOYD: Yes.
28
29
           ATTAMUK: Okay.
30
31
           MR. BALLOT: Yeah.
32
           ATTAMUK: Then I got no problem with
33
34 Kotzebue.
35
36
           (Laughter)
37
38
           MR. BALLOT: 27, 28 sounds fine then?
39
40
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Lillian, February 27,
41 28.
42
43
           MS. JOHNSON: February?
44
45
           MR. BALLOT: Yeah.
46
47
           MS. JOHNSON: Yeah, February.
48
           ATTAMUK: Just to be fair to the agencies
50 we're always saying we want to come -- as Natives we
```

```
00160
1 always want to go home for the weekend, they want to go
2 home, too. Let's be fair. 26, 27.
3
4
           MR. BALLOT: Sounds good.
5
           ATTAMUK: If they're lucky it will get
6
7 stormy and stay Friday.
9
           (Laughter)
10
           MR. BOYD: That'd be a wonderful time,
11
12 I'm sure.
13
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay.
14
15
16
           MR. BOYD: Okay.
17
18
           MR. BALLOT: Okay, no problem.
19
20
           ATTAMUK: I don't have my calendar, it's
21 in my office.
22
23
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: February 26 and 27.
24
25
           MR. BOYD: Well, I should have planned
26 ahead and had copies of this for you, Helen handed this
27 to me on my way out the door. But the winter -- the next
28 fall meeting, the window opens on September the 8th and
29 closes on October the 10th. And I should probably give
30 this to you so you can look at it Mr. Chair.
31
32
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay.
33
           ATTAMUK: September what?
34
35
           MR. BOYD: September the 8th and closes
37 on October the 10th. And if you could pick a time in
38 that, if we could look ahead and schedule that.
39
40
           MR. BALLOT: Two days.
41
42
           MR. BOYD: Yes, and again we can make
43 adjustments in that next winter.
45
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: The place of the
46 meeting is 26 and 27, February, Kotzebue?
47
           MR. BALLOT: Uh-huh. Is that the March
49 one -- or are you on the next one now -- what's wrong
50 with today's date a year from now?
```

```
00161
           ATTAMUK: On or around.
1
2
3
           MR. BALLOT: September 18.
5
           ATTAMUK: Or near September 18, 19th.
           MR. BOYD: Is that on a weekend, that
8 would be the only hang up, I guess, unless you want to
9 meet on the weekend.
           MR. BALLOT: If it's on a weekend we
11
12 could move it.
13
14
           ATTAMUK: On or around.
15
16
           MR. BALLOT: I'm easy.
17
           MR. BOYD: What was that again, same
18
19 dates as today, September the 18th. That's a Thursday,
20 September the 18th is a Thursday.
21
22
           MR. BALLOT: Just what you guys wanted,
23 uh?
24
25
           MR. BOYD: That'd be great, the 17th and
26 18th then?
27
28
           MR. BALLOT: Sure.
29
30
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay.
31
32
           MR. BOYD: Good.
33
34
           MS. JOHNSON: Kotzebue.
35
36
           MR. BALLOT: Uh-huh.
37
38
            ATTAMUK: '03.
39
           MR. BOYD: Yes. Are there any concerns
41 about the other question of where we have the meetings,
42 smaller communities versus the regional hub of Kotzebue
43 for this region?
            MR. BALLOT: I remember one time I
46 invited them to Deering or Buckland but we never did make
47 it up that way.
            MR. BOYD: I'll just say out loud, our
50 desire from the agency standpoint is to go into the hubs
```

```
00162
```

```
1 because from a planning and timing standpoint it's a lot
2 easier, less costly and the accommodation issue -- and I
3 don't know what Deering has or other communities, but in
4 some smaller communities, the large contingent of Staff
5 that are coming to these meetings, there may not be
6 enough places to stay or that sort of thing or even
7 facilities to eat and I don't know about Deering or other
8 communities. But as we look at this around the state.
9 those are concerns that have come up. And it also takes
10 a lot more time when we're traveling from one meeting to
11 the next and I have some Staff that have to go to more
12 than one meeting, to get from one location to another
13 one, when we add that extra leg of travel. So I'll just
14 say from the standpoint of the Federal agencies or maybe
15 even the State agencies, it's a lot easier to come into
16 regional hubs. And if that's an issue with you, we want
17 to hear it.
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: It's like, Tom, if you
20 wanted a meeting in a smaller community, like for
21 Buckland or Deering or Kiana or Ambler or Kobuk or
22 Shungnak, you know, sure we would like to have it but
23 look at it this way, if you have to go up to Kobuk or
24 someplace, you have to up on a scheduled airline and then
25 you have to go back so you wind up with, let's say,
26 chartering probably three, maybe four caravans, by the
27 time you get done, you know.
28
29
            MR. BOYD: Yeah.
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: By the time you get
32 done with that, if you see your billing it's very, very
33 high.
            MR. BOYD: Yes, it is. And that's a
35
36 concern I have. And we can go into those smaller
37 communities if we need to meet with people where there
38 are special issues. I mean one or two people, we could
39 take the Chair or a member and go meet and talk to people
40 if necessary and we've done that on occasion where there
41 are issues that need to be talked about.
             CHAIRMAN STONEY: Like for our next
43
44 meeting, you know, like for myself, you know, all the
45 agencies are here, you know, finally, I like all the
46 agencies to work with. And I could see, you know, that
47 Kotzebue would be a very good place, you know, the way I
48 feel, you know. Kotzebue or anyplace like that. But in
49 September, though, it's hard to go to small communities
50 because everybody's busy and their hunting and then even
```

```
00163
1 though -- even Kotzebue is busy.
2
3
           Enoch, how do you pronounce your name?
4
5
           ATTAMUK: Attamuk.
6
7
           MR. BOYD: Attamuk.
8
           MR. BALLOT: Attamuk.
10
           ATTAMUK: You're worse than the White
11
12 people.
13
14
           (Laughter)
15
16
           ATTAMUK: What kind of Eskimo are you.
17
18
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: I know, I can say it
19 but I can't pronounce it.
20
21
           ATTAMUK: Just think about it, Attamuk.
22
23
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay.
24
25
           ATTAMUK: I'm happy with the winter
26 meeting, the dates. Any days that don't conflict with my
27 other interests, so the winter dates are fine with me
28 right now, even the one in the fall -- I mean this --
29 this one here right now is -- I'm happy with it.
30
31
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Are we clear now, Tom,
32 February 26 and 27, Kotzebue, Alaska.
33
34
           MR. BOYD: Yes.
35
36
           ATTAMUK: If you e-mail us the dates.
37
38
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: 8:30.
39
           MR. BOYD: Well, we'll look at the
40
41 schedule but chances are you're right, 8:30.
42
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay. I know you guys
43
44 are ready to go.
45
            MR. BOYD: And we do have tentative dates
47 for next fall, the 17th and the 18th of September.
48
49
            CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay.
50
```

```
00164
           MR. BOYD: I will bring those back to you
1
2 next winter to look at again.
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay.
5
           MR. BALLOT: Mr. Chair.
6
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Percy.
           MR. BALLOT: We're going to have our two
10
11 new members at that time?
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: I certainly hope so by
13
14 this winter meeting.
15
16
           MR. BOYD: Yes.
17
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay.
18
19
20
           MR. BOYD: Yes.
21
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Maybe at that time
22
23 we'll elect officers.
25
           MR. BALLOT: Okay. Because I kind of
26 would rather have done something about the elections so
27 that we don't call you actor -- acting Chair versus our
28 Chair, you know.
29
30
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay.
31
32
           MR. BALLOT: You've been acting too long.
33
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Is that it?
34
35
36
           MR. BALLOT: That's it.
37
           CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay, we're down to the
39 last one, I want to thank the members that traveled here
40 to Kotzebue for today's meeting and all the Staff, the
41 National Park, the BLM, State and I'm glad you guys all
42 made it here and we're very proud to work with you guys
43 and hope we accomplished something and we'll be seeing
44 you guys in February. Willie and Ken, all you guys,
45 thanks for coming.
46
47
           Do I hear a what, adjourn?
48
           MS. JOHNSON: Adjourn. I move the
50 meeting adjourn to next February.
```

```
00165
1 CHAIRMAN STONEY: Okay. The meeting has 2 adjourned at 5:15, meeting adjourned.
3
4 (END OF PROCEEDINGS)
```

```
00166
            CERTIFICATE
1
2
3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
                                      )
                    )ss.
5 STATE OF ALASKA
                                )
      I, Joseph P. Kolasinski, Notary Public in and for
8 the state of Alaska and reporter for Computer Matrix
9 Court Reporters, LLC do hereby certify:
       THAT the foregoing pages numbered 02 through 165
11
12 contain a full, true and correct Transcript of the
13 NORTHWEST ARCTIC FEDERAL SUBSISTENCE REGIONAL ADVISORY
14 COUNCIL MEETING, taken electronically by Salena Hile on
15 the 18th day of September 2002, beginning at the hour of
16 8.45 o'clock a.m. at Kotzebue, Alaska;
17
18
       THAT the transcript is a true and correct
19 transcript requested to be transcribed and thereafter
20 transcribed by under my direction and reduced to print to
21 the best of our knowledge and ability;
23
       THAT I am not an employee, attorney, or party
24 interested in any way in this action.
25
       DATED at Anchorage, Alaska, this 25th day of
26
27 September 2002.
28
29
30
31
32
                Joseph P. Kolasinski
33
                Notary Public in and for Alaska
34
                My Commission Expires: 04/17/04
```